1
1
2
3
4
5
6U.S.DEPARTMENTOFAGRICULTURE
7
8U.S.TRADEREPRESENTATIVE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16WORLDTRADEORGANIZATIONNEGOTIATIONS
17PUBLICLISTENINGSESSION
18JULY8,1999
19
20
21AUSTIN,TEXAS

1MS.COMBS:Goodmorning.I'm

2delightedtowelcomeyou-allheretoAustin.My

3 name is Susan Combs and I am the Commissioner of

4AgriculturefortheStateofTexas.I'mdelighted

5thatwe'vegottensuchagreatresponseforthis

6event, because trade is, of course, an extremely

7importantissue.I'mdelightedtoseemyfriend

8BettyBrownhere,representativeofthefarmingand

9ranchingcommunity.

10Weareheretodaytogiveboththe

11Texasandtheregional perspective on international

12tradetothenegotiatorswhowillbeinvolvedinthe

13 nextround of World Trade Organization talks. The

14WTOministerialbeginsonNovemberthe30thin

15Seattle.Todaywearegoingtohearaboutwhathas

16workedandwhathasn'tworkedintheglobaltrade

17arena.

18ThislisteningsessionhereinAustin

19isoneof12regionalhearingsbeingheldnationwide

20togivenegotiatorsachancetomeetfacetoface

21 with farmers, ranchers, and commodity groups and

- 22heartheirconcerns. We'realsoverypleased to
- 23 have some distinguished visitors from our
- 24neighboringstates;IbelievethatRoyJohnsonis
- 25herefromthe--thedirectorofmarketing

1 development for the Louisiana Department of 2 Agriculture and Forestry, and Ithink Rick Maloney 3 is here, the director of marketing and development 4 services from the Oklahoma Department of 5 Agriculture.

6Letmetalkalittlebitabout

7Texas.Texashasamajorstakeintheresolutionof
8severaltradeissuesduringthisnextroundof
9negotiations.Afterall,ourstateisoneofthis
10nation'sleadingagriculturalexporters.Werank
11amongthetopfivestatesinoverallvalueofag
12exports,withourexportsfromthisstateworth3.1
13billiondollarsin1997.Asoverseaseconomies
14begintoimprove,TexasandU.S.farmersand
15ranchersmusthavefairtradeandfairaccessto
16thesegrowingglobalmarkets.Texassupportsfree
17tradeaslongasourtradingpartnersarewillingto
18supportafairandequitablesystem.

19Onemajorissueisthetimely

20resolutionoftradedisputes, especially when

21 perishable products are involved. We need quicker

22 way stores olve disputes and a system to anticipate

23 and mediate differences before they become major

24 disputes. Right now the World Trade Organization is

25thearbitratorofthelastresort.Onesolution

1couldbegroups, such as a proposed U.S./European 2 Union Trade Dispute Panel, that would work as an3earlywarningsystemtoresolvearguments in their 4infancy. This proposed panelisa good start, and 5I'msurewecancomeupwithotherwaystomakethe 6tradedisputeprocessspeedierandmoreworkable. 7Anothertradeissueinvolvesanimal 8andfoodsafety,knownintradegroupsassanitary 9andphytosanitaryrestrictions. Wemustendthe 10practicethatsomenationshaveofhidingbehinda 11so-calledfoodoranimalsafetyveilwhenthe 12scienceisnotthere.Soundscience,notunfair 13protectionoflocalmarkets, must be the criterion 14forfairglobaltrade. 15Othercountriesarealsolagging 16behindincommitmentsmadeduringthelasttrade

16behindincommitmentsmadeduringthelasttrade
17negotiationstoremoveagriculturaltariffsand
18subsidies.TheEuropeanUnionisstillbeing
19allowedtospendnearlyeightbilliondollarsinthe
20year2000tosubsidizeagriculturalexports.This
21ismorethaneighttimestheUnitedStates

- 22 expenditures of 600 million for the same year.
- 23 While the United States has stood by the original
- 24 commitment to reduce export subsidies, other
- 25 countries have taken advantage of this situation to

1gainmarketadvantage.AllWTOmembersmustfollow

2throughontheircommitmentstoworktoward

3eliminatingexportsubsidies.

4Reducingtariffsisanotherareathat

5needswork. The U.S. Department of Agriculture

6 reports that tariffs on U.S. exports averaged more

7than50percent.50percent,comparedtoimportsin

8theUnitedStateswhichweresubjecttotariffsof

9lessthanfivepercent.TheUnitedStatesshould

10nothavetoreduceitstariffsanyfurtheruntil

11othercountriesfollowsuit. This clearly is an

12 unfair situation that shut sour producers out of

13marketsthattheyneedtoreachtohelpimprove

14recordlowcommodityprices.

15Anotherunfairsituationthatwas

16callingfordecisiveactionisthefloodoflamb

17 imports from New Zeal and and other countries. The

18U.S. International Trade Commission has found that

19importsofforeignlambsurged47percentbetween

201993and1997.Inaddition,1998lambimportswere

2130 percent above the 1997 figures. These imports

- 22haveseverelyharmedourdomesticlambindustry.
- 23 I amglad to say that as of late
- 24 yesterday, the White House didgoahead and agree,
- 25insubstance, with the recommendation of the

1 International Trade Commission. But to put the need

2forthatindustryinperspective,Iwanttogiveyou

3acoupleofnumbers.ThevalueofU.S.exportsin

4lambtoAustraliaforthetwo-yearperiod'95to'97

5was\$5,000.\$5,000.WehadzeroexportstoNew

6Zealand. The imports in the same two-year period of

7lambfromAustraliainthetwoyearswas142

8million;108millionfromNewZealand.Soit's

9\$5,000versusabout250million.

10Anothernumberthat'skindof

11 interesting is with respect to be ef. This country

12exportedaboutamilliondollarsinbeefina

13two-yearperiodtoAustraliain'95through'97.We

14imported640million.ToNewZealand,inthesame

15period, weexported about \$730,000 worth; we

16importedfromNewZealand500million.

17Iamsurethattodaywewillheara

18 great de almore about these is sues and others that

19arerestrictingTexasandU.S.producers'accessto

20othermarkets. Again, on behalf of Texas

21 A griculture and Austin, thankyou for taking the

22 time to come and test if yatthis session to day.

23NowI'mgoingtoturnthe--the

24session's facilitatoro verto Tony Purcell. Tony is

25 with the Texas State Network and he's going to be

1 our terrific moderator fortoday's session.

2MR.PURCELL:Thankyou,

3Commissioner.Andwelcomeeveryonetothissession

4that,asyouknowfromthereleases,it'sa

5listeningsession. The schedule is pretty tight. A

6coupleofgroundrulesfirst. Everyoneknowsthe

7speakerswillhaveafive-minutepresentation, and

8allpresentations will be made from the podium to my

9right,toyourleft.Andthissessionisalsobeing

10transmittedliveontheInternet,andtheacoustics

11 in this room, it will pickup some one talking in the

12backaswellasitwillpickupsomeonetalkingon

13thestage.Soifyouneedtohaveadiscussion

14abouttestimonycominguporanythinglikethat,

15 please go completely outside the room to do that.

16Becausewe'dliketokeeptheroomnoisetoan

17absoluteminimum.

18You'regoingtohearavarietyof

19 issueshere. Infact, the seguy son the stage are

20reallygoingtogetanearful,becauseIdon'tthink

21 any state around has such variety in its

22 a gricultural production as the state of Texas.

23Andit'sallamatterof

24 per spective. It hought it was interesting last

25week,thenationalheadlinewas"NewYorkswelters

1underaheatwave, "anditwas 96 degrees there.

2AndonthesamedayinDallas,whereIlive,the

3localheadlinewas"NorthTexansenjoyamild

4summer, "anditwas95degreesthere.So, youknow,

5that's amatter of perspective, and that goes for

6tradeandagricultureaswell.

7Solet'sgoaheadandkickthisoff.

8 We'll hear some opening remarks from our panelists

9andthenwe'llgointothepresentationsfromthe

10list.Andonlythosewhoareonthelistwillbe

11makingpresentationsbecausethescheduleisvery

12tight.

13Soourfirst--ourfirststatement

14willbefromtheUnitedStatesTrade

15Representative's office, and representing that

16officeisSharonBomer-Lauritson.She'sthe

17 director of a gricultural affairs and she works on

18 preparations for the WTO as well as bil a teral

19agriculturaltradeissueswithCanadaandseveral

20SouthAmericancountries.Now,priortojoining

21 USTR, Sharon worked for tenyears in the USDA ag

22 marketing service, and she also served as a lobby is t

23 for the United Fresh Fruit and Vegetables

24Association.

25Solet'shearnowfromSharon

1Bomer-Lauritson.

2MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Thankyouvery

3much, Mr. Purcell, and especially to you,

4CommissionerCombs,foralltheeffortandhardwork

5youhaveputintoarrangingthisopportunity forus

6tomeetwithyouproducers, ranchers, and

7agribusinessrepresentativesinthisregion. This

8isalisteningsession, so Iwantto spendmost of

9 my time this morning and afternoon hearing from

10you.

11Butletmebeginwithsomebrief

12remarksontheprinciplesofouragriculturaltrade

13policies,theAdministration'sviewoftheneedfor

14anewtradenegotiatinground, and the major

15 agriculturalissuesitis likely to address.

16U.S.agriculturaltradepolicyrests

17onafewbasicprinciples; opportunity, fairness,

18andrespectforscience.First,Americanfarmers

19aretheworld'smosthighlycompetitive and

20technicallyadvanced.With25percentoftotal

21receiptscomingfromagriculturalexports, weare

22andwillbeinthefutureincreasinglyrelianton

23exportstoremainprofitableathome. Theregion

24thatwe'rerepresentingtoday, Texas, Oklahoma,

25 Louisiana, and New Mexico, alone export over four

1billiondollarsworthofagriculturalgoods.

2Agriculturalproducersalsodependon

3strictandimpartialenforcementofourfairtrade

4lawstoaddressfarmdumpingandsubsidiesfor

5importsurgesinparticular commodities. And

6exportersandconsumersalikerequireastrong

7science-basedfoodinspectionregimetoensure

8confidenceinthefoodsupplyandmakesureforeign

9 countries are not creating new tradebarriers.

10Wehavepursuedthesegoalsina

11numberoftradeforum.First.intheWorldTrade

12Organization, we have created a set of international

13 principle stoen sure open markets and fair treatment

14forAmerican producers. The WTO represents 50 years

15ofbipartisanAmericanleadershipandthecreation

16ofaninternationaltradingsystemthatbringsdown

17 for eightrade barriers and promotes the rule of law

18intrade.Ithashelpedraiseincome,tocreate

19jobs, and promote American values of fair play and

20ruleoflawworldwide.

21Webroughtagriculturefullyintothe

- 22 trading system of the WTO in 1995 and the results
- 23 have been very good. USDA will be providing some
- 24 very much more detailed information on this, but I
- 25 would like to focus now a little bit on the strong

2surethatourtradingpartnersliveuptotheir 3commitments.TheU.S.hasbeenthemostactiveuser 4ofthissystem. Wehavesucceededin 20 of our 22 5casesthatwehavebroughtagainstothercountries 6intheWTO, and nine, nearly half, have addressed 7agriculturalcommoditiesfromfruitsalestoJapan, 8porkinthePhilippines,dairyinCanada,and,of 9course, the very well-known ones with the European 10Uniononbananasandbeef. 11Onabilateral front, we have found 12newopportunitiesthroughaseriesofbilateraland 13regionalagreements;almondstoIsrael,beefto 14Korea, grains in Canada, porkand poult ryin the 15Philippines, apples in Japan, and more. The most 16recentexampleisthebilateralsanitaryand 17phytosanitaryagreementwereachedwithChinain 18April.ThiswasmadepossiblebecauseoftheWTO's 19sanitaryandphytosanitaryagreement.Ithas 20alreadyliftedChina'sbanoncitrusproducts,which 21IknowisimportanttoSouthTexas,wheatandother

1enforcementmechanismthatwehavecreatedtomake

22 grains from the Pacific Northwest, and meat and

23 poultry from all USDA-approved plants. When

24 combined with cuts in Chine setar iffs, after China

25joinstheWTO, we expect to see significant

1 increases in U.S. exports to China.

2Atthesametime,however,thework

3isfarfromdone.Numeroustradebarriersand

4unfairpracticescontinueoverseas. The President,

5therefore, called for a new round of international

6 tradenegotiation sunder the WTO in his State of the

7Unionaddress.Weexpecttherounditselfwilltake

8 three years to conclude and will address a number of

9issuesbeyondagriculture.Agriculturalissues

10 themselves will be at the heart of our agenda. As

11 Vice President Gorehass aid, our economy depends on

12 fully productive and competitive agriculture, and

13theroundisoursinglebiggestopportunityintrade

14policytomakesureAmericanagricultureremainsthe

15worldstandard.

16Inthemonthsaheadwearebeginning

17tosetaveryspecificagenda.Broadlyspeaking,we

18expecttoaddressreducingtariffsandother

19barrierstoourproductsoverseas,promotingfair

20tradebyeliminatingforeignexportsubsidies and

21 reducing trade-distorting domestic supports,

- 22 ensuring greater transparency and fairness in state
- 23 trading, helping to guarantee that farmers and
- 24 ranchers can use biotechnology without fear of trade
- 25 discrimination, and ensuring that American producers

1havetherighttoeffectiveremediesagainst

2dumping, subsidies, and importsurges.

3Asweprepareforthiswork, weare

4consultingwithAmericanindustries,withCongress,

5andwithourtradingpartnerstosetspecific

6objectives. Wealsoneed adviced irectly from

7producers and others in the agricultural industry on

8thespecificobjectivesweneedtoset, and that is

9whyweareheretoday.Becausethebestwaytofind

10 out is to askyoudirectly. We are here to listen

11toyouasproducers, experts, and people involved in

12thefoodindustry. Wewanttohearyour priorities

13 and understand first hand the problem syouseein

14internationaltrade. With the right objectives and

15 successful negotiations, we can ensure secure access

16tomarketsforAmerica'sgrowers,ranchers,and

17dairyfarmers, as well as to ensure safeguards

18againstunfairpracticesinourmarket.Wecan

19raiselivingstandardsforAmericanfarmandranch

20 families, we can ensure good prices and healthy food

21 for our consumers, and we can realize the broader

22humanitarianmissionofaworldfreefromhungerand

23 with stronger protection for land, water, and

24 wildlife. It is a great opportunity for our

25producersandforourwholecountry.

11wanttothankallofyouforcoming

2todaytohelpuswithyourthoughtsandadvice.

3Andonceagain,letmethankyou,

4CommissionerCombs,forhostingus,andforallthe

5hardworkyourstaffhasdonetoarrangethis

6session.Thankyou.

7MR.PURCELL:Thankyou,Sharon.

8Anytimeyoutalkaboutworldtrade,

9you'reinvolvingdiplomacyonvariouslevels.And

10 representing the U.S. Department of State we have

11PaulAceto.Now,he'sbeenworkingforthe

12DepartmentofStatesince1985;he'sbeeninthe

13OfficeofAgriculturalTradePolicysince'97,and

14hisresponsibilitiesincludeWTOissues,foodaid,

15 and sanction spolicies.

16Now, his previous assignments werein

17Korea, Italy, and Taiwan. He's from upstate New

18York, lives in Virginia with his wife and

19daughters.So,Paul...

20MR.ACETO:Thankyouforthe

21introduction, and also thanks to Commissioner Combs

22andherstaffforalltheworkthey'vedonein

23puttingthistogether.

24PerhapsIshouldreallyfocusmy

25 remarks on why the State Department is uphere on

1thetable.I'msuremostofyouaremorefamiliar

2withtheUSDAandUSTR,notasfamiliarwithhow

3Statefitsintotheprocess.Well,thesimpleand

4perhapsgeneralanswertothatisthat,as

5Mr.Purcellsaid,werepresenttheU.S.overseas,

6andthatincludestheinterestsofranchersand

7farmersaswell;infact,everyone'sinterestshere

8inthisroom.Butobviously,forustodoagood

9job,wehavetoknowwhattheconcernsareofpeople

10backhome.

11Onamorespecificlevel, obviously,

12we'reheretodaytotalkaboutthetrade

13negotiations, and in that effort we will be working

14verycloselywiththeUSDAandUSTRontheround.

15Wedohavesomekindofspecialassetswethinkwe

16canperhapssay;toacertainextent,wehavetroops

17alreadyoutthereonthefrontlineinourembassies

18 and consulates and they can act a sour eyes and ears

19duringthenegotiations.Sowecanknowwhatother

20countriesareupto, what they want, but also, more

21importantly, we can get our views across and try and

- 22winsomecountriesovertooursideinsomeofthe
- 23 big issues, for example, export subsidies.
- 24Icangive, for example, avery good
- 25 example of where this is going to be important

1duringtheroundandevenbeyondtheround,isin
2theareaofbiotech.Everyone,Ithink,isvery
3familiarwiththeproblemswe'vehadinEurope
4lately.Butthinkingahead,it'snotgoingtobe
5toolongbeforewestarttohaveproblemswithAsia,
6LatinAmerica,andotherareas.Sowe'realready
7planninghowwecanuseourembassiestogetour
8viewoutonbiotechnology.Afewofthosecountries
9supporttheirembassykindofsettingthestandard
10forEuropeans.

11Soonthat,letmecloseoutand

12 perhaps say that I hope and expect that the program

13 to day will be as rewarding as the pork spareribs I

14hadlastnighthereintown.Thankyou.

15MR.PURCELL:Thanks,Paul.Finally,

16 representing the United States Department of

17 A griculture is Tim Galvin. He's the administrator

18 of the Foreign Ag Service at USDA, and even though

19 he's from Iowa originally, has some real strong ties

20 to Texas. He was on the House Ag Committee staff

21 when Kiki Delagarza was chairman, and so he's no

22 for eigner to Texas agriculture. He worked in the

23 of fice of Senator Bob Kerry of Nebraska, he was the

24 legislative assistance for agand trade, and is

25 really one of the movers and shakers of

1 international traderightnow.

2SoI'mpleasedtointroduceTim

3Galvin.

4MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Tony.Good

5morning.Iwouldliketojointheothersin

6 thanking Commissioner Combs and the State of Texas

7forallthatthey'vedonetoorganizetoday'sevent

8andtoprovidethiswonderfulplacefortheforum.

9Thisisactuallytheeighthofthe12regional

10hearingsthatwe'redoingaroundthecountry,andI

11cantellyouuptothispointeveryonehasbeena

12realsuccess. And that's been largely due to the

13factthatwe'vehadthefullsupportandassistance

14 of the National Association of State Departments of

15 A griculture as well as the National Conference of

16StateLegislatures.Andthat'sjust--again,the

17quality, Ithink, of the hearing sjust would not

18havebeenthesamewithouttheircompletesupport

19andcooperation.

20LastyearinGenevaatthe50th

21 annivers a ry of the world trading system, President

- 22Clintoncommentedontheimportanceofopentradeto
- 23 all nations. He also highlighted the need for the
- 24 WTO to provide a transparent and open for umwhere
- 25business,labor,environment,andconsumergroups

1canprovideregularandcontinuousinputtohelp

2guidefurtherevolutionoftheWTO.

3Thatisexactlywhatwe'retryingto

4dowiththisseries of listening sessions around the

5country. Wewanttogetyourinputtohelpshape

6 our agricultural tradepolicies for the new round of

7 negotiation sunder the WTO as we enter the next

8century.

9Weappreciatethetimeandeffort

10thatyou'vemadetoattendthissessiontoday.As

11 weprepare for the beginning of a new round of

12multilateralnegotiations, it's critical that we

13hearandunderstandissuesthatshouldbepriorities

14 forus. This will help us indeveloping U.S.

15negotiatingstrategy.

16Asyouknow, while our national

17economyhasbeenbooming,ithasbeenayearof

18struggleandhardshipinmanypartsofrural

19America. Weat USDA, from Secretary Glickman on

20down,recognizethatmuchofagricultureisgoing

21throughaverydifficultperiodrightnow.AtUSDA

- 22 we are marshaling all of our resource stoad dress
- 23thiseconomicsituation. We'remaking surethat
- 24emergencyeconomicreliefgetstoproducersassoon
- 25aspossible,thatstrengtheningofthefarmsafety

1netisatthetopoftheagenda,thatconsolidations

2andmergerssweepingagriculturearesubjectto

3properscrutiny, and that we continue to press to

4opennewmarketsforourexports.

5WhatI'dliketodonowistakejust

6afewminutestoreviewthreethings; first, the

7criticalrolethatexportsplayforagriculture,

8second, the role that trade agreements have played

9inobtainingthecurrentlevelofagricultural

10 exports, and third, our general goals for the

11upcomingWTOroundofnegotiations.Wethenwantto

12hearfromyou, those who are most directly affected

13byouragagreements, and we'd like to hearyour

14directexperienceswithourtradeagreements; what

15isworking, whatisnot, and how we should move

16forward.

17Wehavetodimthelightsherea

18bit.Wewanttobeatthethirdslidehere.

19U.S.agriculturalexportsreached

2058.6billionin1998,agriculturalexportssupported

21 nearly 750,000 jobs, and products of nearly one in

22 three harvested acres are destined for overse as

23markets.

24Eveninthecurrentdownturn,about

2525percentofagriculturalsalesareexportsales,

20ftheeconomy. The vast majority, or 96 percent, 30fpotential customers for U.S. products, including

1compared with 10 percent, on average, for the rest

4 a gricultural products, live outside the United

5States. Wemustworktoincrease our opportunities

6toselltheseproductsinglobalmarkets.

7Accesstocustomersinforeign

8marketsisakeyfactorinthehealthofU.S.

9agriculture.Comparedtothegeneraleconomy,U.S.

10 agriculture's reliance on export markets is higher

11andprojectedtogrowfaster.Agricultureis

12alreadymorereliantonexportsthantheeconomyas

13awhole.

14Otherfactorspointtotheincrease

15 in importance of exports. The overall trend has

16beenoneofincreasingexportsforAmerican

17agriculture.U.S.agriculturalexportsclimbedto

18nearly60billionin1996,upfrom40billionatthe

19beginningofthe1990s.Exportsweredownlast

20year, and likely will be down for 1999 as well due

21 to record world wide cropproduction, the financial

22crisisinAsia,andthestrongdollar.Andalthough

23agriculturalexportswereatnearly54billionlast

24 year, we project exports at about 49 billion dollars

25inthecurrentyear.

1However, Ishould point out that we

2actually expect export volume to be up five percent

3thisyear.Sothefactthattotalvalueisdown

4simplyreflectsthecurrentlowpricesthatwe're

5allexperiencing. When the globale conomyre bounds,

6thetrendofincreasingexportsisprojectedto

7continue, and exports should account for a larger

8percentageoffarmincome.

9The1996FarmBillincreasedthe

10marketorientationofagriculture, and to be

11prosperousinanincreasinglycompetitivemarket,we

12 must increase our exports in those are as where we

13haveacompetitiveadvantage.Certainagricultural

14sectorssuchasalmondsarealreadyexportingmore

15than60percentofproduction.

16Exportsalesareoveronebillion

17 dollars annually for a number of food and

18agriculturalproducts.U.S.agricultural

19productivityisincreasingwhiledomesticdemandfor

20agricultural products is growing more slowly.

21Therefore, we must develop new overseas markets for

22ourproducts.

23 Another factor pointing to the

24importanceofexports--toagricultureisthelevel

25 of farm equity as it has tracked level of exports

1 overtime. Expanding export markets, while

2certainlynottheonlytool,isaveryimportant

3toolforleadingusoutofthecurrentslumpin

4agriculture. Therecovery is likely to be agradual

5one.Itisestimatedthat45percentoftheworld's

6economyoutsidetheUnitedStatesisnowsuffering

7recessionordepression.Buttherearesome

8 promising signs of recovery, such as in Korea, for

9example.

10Asalong-termstrategy, expanding

11ourexportmarketsiscritical.Akeytoexpanding

12exportmarketsandincreasingouraccessto

13customersoutsidetheU.S.isthroughtrade

14agreements. Wewould not be at the level of exports

15 currently if we had not negotiated trade agreements

16 such as the Uruguay Round and the North American

17FreeTradeAgreement.

18Tradeagreementshaveclearlyboosted

19exports.Soonaftertheimplementationofthe

20UruguayRound,U.S.agriculturalexportsreached

21theirhighestlevel.Ofcourse, many factors,

- 22 including exchange rates, affect the level of
- 23exports, but almost alleconomists agree that
- 24 lowering trade barriers through trade agreements has
- 25beenacritical factor. It is estimated that in the

1year2005,agriculturalexportswillbefivebillion

2dollarsmoreannuallythantheywouldhavebeen

3withouttheUruguayRoundagreement.

4Othertradeagreementshavesimilar

5benefits.Itisestimatedthatin1994wesold1.3

6billiondollarsmorebeefandcitrustoJapanthan

7wewouldhavewithoutthetradeagreementwe

8successfullynegotiatedwiththatcountry.For

9exportgrowthtocontinue, we must move forward with

10 our strategy for opening markets throught rade

11agreements.

12NAFTAisalsofulfillingitspromise

13toagriculture.OurNAFTApartners,Canadaand

14Mexico, have become more important destinations for

15U.S.products,nowaccountingforover25percentof

16U.S.exportsales, surpassing the total for the

17 European Union. We estimate that in its first three

18 years, NAFTA cantakecredit for three percent

19additionalexportstoMexicoandsevenpercent

20additionalexportstoCanada.The11percentgrowth

21 from 1997 to 1998 in exports to Mexico and Canada

22 was especially welcome, as over all U.S. exports fell

23sixpercentthatsameyear.Thus,theNAFTA

24 agreement helped off set the sales declines that we

25 experienced with several leading Asian markets.

1Ontheotherhand, were cognize that 2althoughweachievedmanybenefitsforagriculture 3inourrecenttradeagreements, the playing field is 4farfromlevelandthere'smuchworktobedone.A 5majorpartofourstrategytoleveltheplaying 6fieldforagricultureistobesuccessfulinthe 7upcomingWTOround.Tounderstandwhereweare 8goingintheWTO,itisimportanttounderstand 9wherewehavebeen.TheGeneralAgreementon 10TariffsandTrade,ortheGATT,wasestablishedin 111948andsetthebasicrulesforinternational 12trade. Anumber of multilateral GATT negotiations, 13 or rounds, took place between 1948 and the present, 14withthemostrecentround, the Uruguay Round, 15concludingin1994.TheUruguayRoundestablished 16theWorldTradeOrganization,ortheWTO,whichis 17basicallyacontinuationoftheGATTsystem. 18TheUruguayRoundagreementsopeneda 19newchapterinagriculturaltradepolicy,committing 20countries around the world to new rules and specific 21 commitments to reduce levels of protection and

22 support that were barrier stot rade. A griculture

23 finally became a full partner in the multilateral

24 trade system. For the first time, countries had to

25makeacross-the-boardcutsinagriculturaltariffs;

1forthefirsttime, exportsubsidies had to be 2reduced and internal support policies that distort 3tradewerecappedandreduced.Newrulesseta 4scientificstandardformeasuresthatrestrict 5importsonthebasisofhuman,animal,orplant 6healthandsafety,andanewdisputesettlement 7processwasadopted, one that we have successfully 8usedinanumberofcases.Infact,somethinglike 9150caseshavebeenfiledwiththeWTOoverthelast 10 five years, and more than a third of those were 11broughtbytheU.S. 12Andjusttogiveyouanexample,we 13recentlywondisputesettlementpanelsagainstthe 14 European ban on beefand cattle produced with growth15hormones, and against the EU's banana import 16licensingregime,andalsoagainstJapan's 17restrictivequarantinerequirementsforfresh 18fruit.Wenowmustmaintainafirmlinetoensure 19thatthebananaandhormonedecisionsarecarried 20 outs oth at U.S. exporters have the access

21determinedtobetheirlegalright.

- 22TheUruguayRoundagreementwasa
- 23 good start and it has contributed to increased U.S.
- 24 ag exports, but the Uruguay Roundwas just a start
- 25 and the first important steping lobal agricultural

1tradereform.Wearenowplanningforthenext 2majorstep, which, of course, will be gin in Seattle 3onNovember30th.Thatmeetingwillbeamajor 4event, with representation by most of the 134 member 5countries. Wealso expects trong private sector 6attendance. The actual negotiations will startnext 7yearandthescopeofcoverageofthenegotiations 8isyettobefinallydetermined.Butagriculture 9andserviceswilldefinitelybeincluded.The 10generalexpectationisthatthenegotiations should 11lastthreeyearsandbecompletedin2004. 12Insettingtheagendaforthenext 13WTOroundofagnegotiations, we will build on the 14UruguayRoundaccomplishments.Tariffswerereduced 15inthatUruguayRound,butasothersherehavesaid, 16they'restilltoohigh, with some countries 17maintainingagtariffsof50percentormore, while 18theU.S.averageisabouteightpercent.Itisour 19goaltonegotiatefurtherreductions in these 20 tariffs. We also want to expand market access under

21TariffRateQuotasbyincreasingthequotaamount

- 22 and decreasing the tariffout side the quota.
- 23Wealsowanttoseeareductionor
- 24eliminationofexportsubsidies, especially for the
- 25 European Union. The EU has outspent us by more than

120tooneonexportsubsidies, and last year the EU

2accountedfor85percentoftheworldwideuseof

3exportsubsidies.

4Anotherprobleminagricultural

5marketsareStateTradingEnterprises,orSTE's,

6whicharegovernmententitiesthatactastrading

7monopolies. When an STE has government authority

8andmonopolypower,itmaybeabletopricetheir

9productsartificiallylowandunfairlyincrease

10theirmarketshare.Itisimportantthatwedevelop

11stricterWTOrulestoensurethatSTE'soperateina

12 fair and transparent manner.

13Trade-distortingdomestic supportis

14beingreducedundertheWTOrules, buthereagain,

15theplayingfieldisstillveryuneven.A

16comparison of the levels of such supports how that

17globally,butespeciallyinEuropeandJapan,

18domesticsupportremainshigh.Ourgoalforthe

19nextroundistomakefurtherprogressinseeing

20thatgovernmentsgiveto--seeingthatgovernment

21 as sistance for a griculture is provided in ways that

- 22donotdistortmarkets.Generoussubsidyprograms
- 23 that encourage farmers to produce a smuch as
- 24 possible without regard to efficiency or
- 25 environmental costs can only be sustained by keeping

1 out competition and dumping surplus production on

2theworldmarkets. And that tends to hurt U.S.

3products.

4Othergoalsfortheroundinclude

5ensuringthatexistingstrong--theexistingstrong

6agreementrequiringthatbarriersrelated to health

7andsafetybebasedonsoundscienceiscontinued

8andfindingbetterwaystoallowtradingproducts

9resultingfromscientificinnovation, such as

10biotechnology.

11 Tradere form through the WTO provides

12thesinglebestmeanstoincreasemarketaccessfor

13 U.S. products worldwide. In one WTO agreement we

14 canget 134 countries to cuttar iffs and other

15barriers.Butgettingallthesecountriestoagree

16onmajorreformswilltakealotoftimeandeffort

17anditwon'tbeeasy.TheU.S.hasalready

18completedmuchpreparatoryworkinGenevawherethe

19WTOislocated.WeareusingtheWTOCommitteeon

20Agriculturetoidentifyplaceswherecurrentrules

21 and commitments don't go far en ought oop en up

22markets. At the same time, we're using aless

23 formal process to build a consensus and prepare the

24 ground for the toughne gotiations that are yet to

25come.

1Inputfrom those of you who will be

2 most affected by the results of the WTO negotiations

3iscriticalatthisearlystageandasUSDA and USTR

4worktogetherinthenegotiationsthatlieahead.

5Weneedyouradviceandsuggestions, including any

6specificproposalsthatyoumayhaveforour

7negotiations.

8Finally,Iwouldjustliketoadd

9thatinadditiontothetestimonythatwereceive

10heretoday, wecertainly encourage you, in an

11ongoingway,tocontinuetoprovideyourcommentsto

12USDA,toUSTR,totheStateDepartmentasour

13 positions on the sevarious agnegotiations become

14moredetailedandformalizedoverthemonthsahead.

15Andthankyouandwelookforwardto

16thetestimony.

17MR.PURCELL:Okay.Nowwe'llbegin

18what's really the heart and soul of today's session,

19thecomments and testimony from the audience.

20Again, you have five minutes and then there will be

21 a five-minute period for questions from the panel.

22Andwe'renotgoingtoberealfanaticsaboutthis,

23butweaskeveryonetocooperatebecausethe

24scheduleisprettytight.Butifworsecomesto

25worse, wedohaveaman with a hook behind, in the

1wingoverthere,andmycousinBubbaisbehindthe

2wingoverhere.

3Solet'sgetstarted.Firstwe're

4goingtohearfromtheLouisianaDepartmentof

5AgricultureandMr.RoyJohnson.

6MR.JOHNSON: Thankyouverymuch.

7 Thankyou for inviting the Louisiana Department of

8AgricultureandForestrytothislisteningsession.

9 Commissioner Bob Odomsends his best regards and

10 regrets that he can't be here with us to day.

11MynameisRoyJohnsonandIserveas

12themarketingdirectorandinternationaldirector,

13 trade director, of the Louisiana Department of

14AgricultureandForestry.Aportionofmy

15responsibility is monitoring and evaluating the

16importsofagproductsintotheU.S.,especially

17thosethatareindigenoustoLouisiana.

18Iamheretodaytovoiceourserious

19concernsononeprovisionofthe U.S. Antidumping

20Law, Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930.

21Specifically,we'reconcernedabout19U.S.C.,1675

22(a)(2)(B),orthe"newshipperreview"portion.

23 This provision was included in the Uruguay Round

24 agreement, and accordingly, Title VII was amended.

25 Subsequently, U.S. Department of Commerce

1promulgatedregulations(19CFR,subsection351.214)

2thatestablishedproceduresforanewforeign

3exporterorproducertoapplyforaseparateduty

4foraproductfromacountryalreadyunder

5antidumpingorders.

6Newshipperreviewsaretobemadeon

7thebasisofanapplicationfromanexporteror

8producerthatwasnotexportingthesanctioned

9productduringtheantidumpingpetitionperiodof

10investigation.Frequently, asingleshipmentis

11usedforthebasisofthisreview.Thereviewis

12supposedtoconsideracommerciallyviableshipment

13madetotheU.S.bythenewshipperafterthe

14antidumpingdutyorderbecameeffective. Theimport

15priceofthisoneshipmentisthenusedby U.S.

16DepartmentofCommercetodeterminethedumping

17marginforthisnewexporter.

18Whencommerceconsiders--considered

19commentsonitsproposedregulationstoimplement

20thenewshipperreviewprovision, commenters

21 requested some method to determine if this one

- 22 shipment was abona fides ale. The commenters were
- 23 concerned about, and I quote from the Federal
- 24Register,"newshippersconspiringwithan
- 25 un affiliated U.S. customer to engage in a single

1transactionatahighpricethatwouldgeneratea 2 dumping margin and deposit and assessment rates of3zero."Commercedecidednottoadoptanysafeguards 4forthisprocedure, believing that, and I quote 5again, "the statutory and regulatory schemes provide 6adequatesafeguardsagainstsuchmanipulation,"end 7quotes.Pleaseletmetellyouhowthesesafeguards 8havenotprovedadequateandwhythis regulation is 9referredtoasthe"antidumpingloophole." 10Intheearly1990s,ourdepartmentin 11Louisianastartedmonitoringtheimportsofpeeled 12crawfishtailmeatfromChina.Theimportstarted 13asasmalltricklebutincreasedrapidlytoa 14 cat a strophic flood. Imports of craw fishtail meat15 from Chinarose from 600,000 pounds in 1993 to over1610millionpoundsin1995, justtwoyears. 17In1996,theLouisianaCrawfish 18ProcessorsAlliance,agroupofU.S.crawfish 19 producers, with the assistance of Commissioner Odom20 and the Louisiana Department of Agriculture filed an

21antidumpingpetitionwiththeU.S.International

- 22 Trade Commission and the U.S. Department of
- 23 Commerce. The funding of this petition process was
- 24 made possible by an appropriation from the Louisiana
- 25 Legislature and from industry funds.

1Subsequenttotheinvestigation

2requestinthispetition,crawfishtailmeat

3importedfromChinawasfoundtohavecausedinjury

4totheU.S.industry.In199--inSeptember1997,

5 the U.S. Department of Commerce is sued an

6antidumpingorderoncrawfishtailmeatimported

7fromChina.Theextentofthedumpingwassogreat

8thatthedutyratesannouncedwerefromalowof

991-and-a-halfpercenttoover201percent.

10In1998, anewshipper of crawfish

11 tail meat from China file dar equest for a separate

12dutyundertheprovisionsoflawandregulations

13 mentioned above. The request was made based on one

14smallshipmentmadeduringthisshipper'speriodof

15 investigation. Based on the artificial price of

16thatoneshipment, Commerce granted the new shipper

17azerodutyrateonMay24th,1999.Thisisexactly

18whatthecommentershadpredictedinMayof1997.

19Howdidanewshippergofromthe

20weightedaverageofthedutyintheoriginal

21antidumpingorderof123percent,forthose

22 producers or exporters who cooperated with Commerce

23 in their investigation to zero? Are those costs of

24 production to this new shipper somuch different?

25 No. The difference between 123 per centand zero is

1 the declared value of that one small ship ment and

2howthatvalueaffectstheformulausedby U.S.

3DepartmentofCommerce.Nothingchangedexceptthe

4highexaggeratednon-commerciallyviableimport

5price.Sourcesintheindustrytellusthat

6crawfishtailmeatisnowbeingofferedbythis

7exporteratprices much lower than the import price

8usedinhispublicsubmissionstoCommerce.

9Wearenowfacingthreemorenew

10shipperrequests. The preliminary duty on these

11companies are due out later this month; we are

12conditionedtoexpectmorebadnewsfromthesenew

13shipperrequests. They have learned their tricks

14well, and what is to prevent them from using these

15sametactics?

16Thisloopholeintheantidumpinglaw

17 must be closed. The demands on the U.S. industry to

18 fighteachnewshipperinase parateadministrative

19proceedingareexceedinglyburdensome.Ourstate

20hasbeenforcedtofundtheoriginalpetitionand

21oppositiontoeachnewshipper,andthenagainfund

- 22 our participation in the annual review which also
- 23 includes these same new shippers. This double sour
- 24 costs intrying to maintain the viability of an
- 25 in dustry which is so important to the economy and

1socialfabricofourstate.

2Somethingmustbedonetoensurethat

3exports, slash, producers are not based--are not

4basingtheirapplicationonfiction.Newshippers

5shouldbegranted,uponapplication,thepreferred

6weighted-averagedutygiventothoseexporterswho

7agreedtocooperatewithcommerce, but whose

8facilitieswerenotchosenforinvestigation.Then

9thenewshipperscouldbeautomatically included in

10thenextadministrativereview.

11Irealizethatproceduresfornew

12shipperreviewsarebasedoncommitmentsmadeinthe

13UruguayRound,butyoushouldrealize,asyou

14 prepare for Seattle, that those procedures are not

15 working and are detrimental to the purposes of the

16antidumpinglaw.Ioffertheassistanceofmyself,

17 our department, to further clarify and describe our

18 experiences with the Title VII Antidumpting Petition

19 involving an agricultural product against a

20non-marketeconomy.

21Thankyouverymuchforyour

22attention.

23MR.PURCELL:Questions?

24MR.ACETO:Actually,Ihavea

25 question, Mr. Johnson. Are you aware of this being

1aproblemwithotherproductsorinotherstates?

2Haveyouheardfromotherstateagriculture

3departments as to whether this is an extensive--

4MR.JOHNSON:No,Ihaven't.This

5zerodutycameoutlastmonth--well,itcameout

6inMay.

7MR.ACETO:ButImeanthegeneral

8problemofsendingin--whatyou'resayingiskind

9ofaoneshipmenttogetamarginandthencomingin

10after. Areyouaware that this is a problem in

11other--

12MR.JOHNSON:Idon'tknowthatthis

13haseverbeenusedbeforeinagriculture. Ithas

14beenusedinotherproducts, and the attorneys in

15theBeltwayareveryfamiliarwithit,especially

16thosewhoarespecializingininternationaltrade.

17AsfarasIknow,thismaybethefirsttimethis

18(inaudible).

19MR.ACETO:Thankyou.

20MR.PURCELL:Anyfurtherquestions?

21 O kay. Thankyou, Mr. Johnson.

22Nextwe'regoingtohearfromthe

23 Texas Farm Bureau and Curt Mowery.

24MR.MOWERY:Mr.Chairmanandmembers

25 of the committee, the State of Texas appreciates

1youropportunityofcominghereandlettingussound 2ourcomments. Weappreciate the opportunity to 3testifytodaybeforeyouregardingnegotiating 4objectives for a griculture in the next round of 5 tradetalk sinthe World Trade Organization.6Ourorganization,theTexasFarm 7Bureau, represents 312,836 members in the state of 8Texas.Ourmembersproduceabroaddiversityof 9agriculturalcommodities including beefcattle, 10cotton,dairy,poultry,corn,wheat,rice, 11 vegetables, and an umber of other crops. Texas 12producersdependonaccesstocustomersaroundthe 13world;morethan24percentofTexascashreceipts 14wereattributedtoagriculturalexports,3.1billion 15in1997.Texasagriculturalexportsgeneratedan 16additional4.1billionineconomicactivityand 17created44,000jobsinthestate. 18AgricultureisoneofthefewU.S. 19 industries that consistently runs at rade surplus, 20 postinga positive tradebalance of trade every year

21since1960.TheU.S.,alongwithagriculture,must

- 22 be at the negotiating table in the next WTO trade
- 23 rounds in a meaning ful way with tradenegotiating
- 24 authority to ensure that the surplus, this trade
- 25 surplus, continues.

1TheabilityofU.S.agricultureto

2gainandmaintainashareofglobalmarketsdepends

3onmanyfactors,though,includingobtainingstrong

4tradeagreementsthatareproperlyenforced,

5enhancingtheAdministration's ability to negotiate

6increasedmarketaccessfor U.S. agriculture, and

7buildinginthenecessarychangestotheWTOdispute

8settlementprocesstoensuretimelyresolution of

9disputes.

10 When Congress passed the 1996 Freedom

11toFarmAct,itphasedoutourpricesupports,

12makingU.S.agriculturemoredependentontheworld

13market.Americanfarmersandranchersproducean

14abundantsupplyofcommoditiesfarinexcessofour

15domesticneeds, and our productive value continues

16toincreaseeveryyear. Exports are agriculture's

17 source for future growth in sales and income.

18Asyou'rewellaware, U.S.

19agricultureisreelingfromlowcommodityprices

20thisyear.InTexas,totalcashreceiptsfrom

21 for eignmarkets declined 3.5 percent from 1997 to

221998 and have fall en an additional 11 percents of ar

23thisyear.For1999,Texascashreceiptsforcrops

24 are off 38 percent compared to this same time last

25 year. Given an abundant domestic supply and a

1stableU.S.populationrate,thejobofexpanding

2 existing mark et access and opening new export

3 markets for a griculture is more important now than

4ever. Agriculture's long-standing history of a

5 trade surplus will not continue if we re legate to

6thesidelinesasnewnegotiationsinagriculture

7commercecontinue.

8Moreover, global fooddemand is

9expandingrapidly,andmorethan95percentofthe

10 world 's consumers live outside the U.S. borders.

11DespitesignificantprogressinopeningU.S.

12markets,agricultureremainsoneofthemost

13 protected and one of the most subsidized sectors in

14theworld'seconomy.Inaddition,U.S.agricultural

15 producers are placed at a competitive disadvantage

16 due to the growing number of regional trade

17agreementsamongourcompetitors.MERCOSUR,for

18example,hasprovidedArgentinawithtrade

19preferencetoBrazilwheatmarkets,costingTexas

20producerslostsalesandprofitsinthatgrowing

21 market forgrains.

22Whatweseeisobjectivesforthe

23nextround.OurU.S.negotiatorsmust

24 comprehensively address high tariffs, trade

25 distorting subsidies, and other restrictive trade

1practices in the new round of negotiations on

2agriculture.

3Ourmarketisthemostopeninthe

4world. There's nodenying that. We cannot sitidly

5bywhileourcompetitorstradeopenlyinourmarkets

6butdenyusaccessintotheirmarkets.Wemust

7beginthenegotiations and conclude the mase arly as

8possibletoincludeU.S.andTexasagricultural

9producersonalevelplaying field with the rest of

10 the world. To this end, we suggest a goal to

11 complete the agricultural negotiations by the end of

122002toensurethatproducersgainincreasedmarket

13accesstothesemarkets.

14Second, we support a single

15undertakingforthenextroundwhereinall

16negotiationsconcludesimultaneously. This format

17 would prevent other countries from leaving the

18 difficult agricultural negotiation suntil the bitter

19end, while more or less cherry-picking the easier

20 negotiations in other sectors. We believe that this

21approachwillpreventlong,drawn-outnegotiations

22thatbecometoocomplicatedtoconclude

23expeditiously.

24Third, we must call for the

25 elimination of export subsidies by all WTO member

1countries.Ourproducerscannotcompeteagainstthe 2mountainofspendingbyourprimarycompetitorslike 3theEU.TheEUspendsinexcessofeighttimesthe 4 level of domestic and exports ubsidies as the United5States.DatafromtheUSDAandtheEuropean 6 Commission show that total EU domestic and export7subsidyexpendituresfor1997exceeded46billion 8dollars,comparedto5.3billionspentbytheUnited 9States. This level of spending distorts world trade 10andunderminesU.S.producers'competitivenessin 11vitalexportmarkets.EUexportsubsidiesonwheat 12aloneaverage\$1.13abushelduringAprilof1999. 13 As a result, Texas wheat has been put at a distinct14disadvantageontheworldmarket, selling at nearly 15\$5 at on above wheat from the EU. Consequently, EU16exportsubsidieswereoneofthefactors 17contributingtothedeclineinU.S.Gulfwheat 18exports.

19Fourth, webelieve that the new
20negotiations must include are commitment to a
21 binding agreement to resolves an itary and

- 22 phytosanitar y is suesbased on scientific principles
- 23 according to the WTO agreement of sanitary and
- 24 phytosanitary measures. Unfounded Mexican health
- 25 restrictions on hog sare on example of a

1non-scientificanimalhealthregulationwhichhas
2virtuallystoppedTexashogproducersfromentering
3Mexicoduringcertaintimeperiods.Fortunately,
4manyoftheseissueshavebeenresolved,butnot
5beforetradesweredisruptedandpricesdeclined.
6TheprovisionsoftheUruguayRoundSPSagreement
7aresoundanddonotneedtobereopened,inour
8opinion.

9Fifth,thenextroundshouldresult
10intariffequalizationandincreasedmarketaccess
11byrequiringU.S.tradingpartnerstoeliminate
12tradebarrierswithinspecifictimeframes.Our
13producerscompeteopenlyintheirowndomestic
14marketandwiththeirforeigncompetitors,butare
15shutoutofexportmarketsduetohightariffs.
16Canadiantariffsof250percentonimportedmilkand
17300percentonbutterhaveseverelylimitedTexas
18accesstoaffluentconsumermarkets.Weneedto
19correctthisimbalanceforallourfarmers.AllWTO
20membercountriesshouldreducetariffs,bothbound
21andapplied,inamannerthatprovidescommercially

- 22 meaning ful access on an accelerated basis.
- 23Sixth, we must imposed is ciplines on
- 24 State Trading Enterprises that distort the flow of
- 25 trade in world markets. Every effort should be made

1 to craft an agreement that sheds light on the

2pricingpracticesoftheSTE's and end their

3discriminatorypractices.Ourproducershavelost

4toomanysalesinthirdcountrymarketsduetothe

5noncompetitive, nontransparent operations of STE's.

6Seventh, wemustensuremarketaccess

7forbiotechnologyproductsformedfromtheGMO.

8Significantdelaysandalackoftransparencyinthe

9regulatoryapprovalprocessforGMO'sintheEU

10 indicate an eed to clearly establish that

11biotechnologyproductsarecoveredbythe

12 science-based provisions of the WTOSPS Agreement.

13WecannotcontinuetobeheldhostagetotheEU's

14nontransparentdiscriminatoryprocessthatdenyus

15 mark et access just be cause of our GMO products.

16Finally,ournegotiatorsmustmake

17 change stotrading practices that would facilitate

18andshortendisputeresolutionprocedures and

19processes.TheprocessforaWTOdisputesettlement

20casetypicallyrunstwoyears;threeyearsifthe

21WTOrulingisimplemented.Ourtradingpartners

- 22cannotbeallowedtounilaterallyweakenthevery
- 23principlesnegotiatedinthatUruguayRound
- 24 Agreement. The expedited disputes ettlement process
- 25 for perishable a gricultural products outlined in the

1 WTO D is pute Settlement Understanding should be

2modified to allow the procedure to be used if the

3agreedpartyrequestsit.CurrentlytheWTO

4requiresthatbothpartiesinthecaseagreetouse

5thisprocedure. As a result, it's never been used.

6Asmentioned,nearly25percentof

7Texasfarmcashreceiptsareattributedto

8agriculturalexports, with an additional 4.1 billion

9 ine conomic activity to Texastied directly to these

10 same exports. In summary, we support liberalization

11inglobalagriculturalmarketsthatwillresultin

12truereformofthecurrenttradingregimeandbring

13aboutfairtradeforourproducers. Withdeclining

14governmentsupportstoU.S.agriculture,however,

15greateraccesstointernationalmarketswillbean

16 important force in influencing the future growth and

17prosperityoftheagriculturaleconomyofTexasand

18theUnitedStates.

19Mr.Chairman, on apersonal note,

20it's extremely important that this message be

21deliveredinWashington,theimportanceofopening

- 22marketswithwhichwecurrentlyhavetrading
- 23 restrictions with. These includes uch countries as
- 24Iran,Iraq,LibyaandevenneighborsoftheU.S.,
- 25Cuba.Thesurvivaloftheagricultureindustryin

1thiscountryasweknowittodayisdependentupon

2theprinciplessetforthinthepresentation and the

3 opening of sanctioned markets.

4Justasasidenote, wethankyoufor

5the--asCommissionerCombsalludedto,aboutthe

6lambissueonthemarkets,thelambindustryneeds

7thatsignificantly.Butwefeelitshowstheneed

8 for amore speedy resolution to those WTO disputes.

9Ifyouhaveanyquestions,I'llbe

10happytoanswerthose.

11MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Curt.Justa

12coupleofquickcomments,ifIcan.Firstofall,

13 on your point about sanctions, as you know, the

14Presidenthasannouncedarevisedsanctionspolicy.

15Andwe'reverybusynowworkingontheregstoput

16thatinplace, and we're hoping that they'regoing

17tobeadoptedinthenexttwotothreeweekssothat

18wecanactuallystartmakingsalestoplaceslike

19IranandLibyaandelsewhere.

20I'dliketogobacktooneofthe

21earlierpointsthatyoumade,becauseIthinkit'sa

22pointthat's often overlooked. And that is, there

23arealotoffreetradeagreements, specialtrading

24 arrangements in this hem is phere; there 'sover 30 of

25 them, and the U.S. is a party to only one of them,

1and,ofcourse,that's NAFTA. Ithinkone of the

2realriskswehaveifwedon'thavethenegotiating

3authorityweneedisthatwewon'tbeabletoreally

4gettothetabletomakesurethatwehaveapartin

5thesemarketopeningagreements.

6Andagoodexampleofhowthat

7disadvantagesU.S.producersisthecurrentfree

8tradearrangementthatCanadahaswithChile.

9Becausethosetwocountrieshaveafreetrade

10 agreement, Canadian grain and livestock and other

11productsgetintoChileessentiallyduty-free,and

12that'san11percentadvantagethatwedon'tenjoy

13hereintheU.S.

14MR.MOWERY:That'sgreat.We

15appreciatethat.

16MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Yeah, Ihavea

17questionon--youraisedtheissueofthesingle--

18basicallythesingleundertakingthatweshould

19 include all parts of a multilateral agreement at the

20 sametimes othat agriculturedoesn't getleft

21behind.AndIknowthat'saconcerntothe

- 22agriculturalcommunity;Iguess,though,ifwhat
- 23yourviewisifthereverseweretrue.For
- 24instance, if we were able to get agreement on
- 25 agriculture in the two or three-year time period,

1 but the rear eactually some much more difficult2sectorsthatwearelookingtonegotiateon, should 3weholdagriculturehostagetotheconclusionofthe 4negotiations of some of those other sectors? 5MR.MOWERY:We--inouropinion,we 6feeltosomeextentagriculturehasbeenheld 7hostagetothat. They have gotten to an agreement 8andneedonemorebargainingchip;thatbargaining 9chipseemstobeoursegment,ourindustry.It 10seemstobesomethingthatwillprobablycausethe 11leastamountofproblemorleastamountofgriefup 12inWashingtonwhentheagreementissold.And 13it's--whetherthetariffsareincreasedtothat 14countryorwhatever, whatever it takes to make it 15work, we pretty much feelwe're the bargaining chip 16 that is used to help get the agreement togo ahead17andsettlethrough. 18MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: And I have

18MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: And I have
19another question on biotechnology. You made a
20comment that you don't really see are as on for
21 opening up the SPSA greement for renegotiation, but

- 22lookingforrecommitmenttoit.Andthen,inyour
- 23laterremarks, youtalked about biotechnology
- 24incorporatingthatintoSPS.Haveyoulookedata
- 25mechanismofhowwebalancethosetwosothatwe

1don'topenuptheSPS,butsomehowmakesurethat

2theproblemswearehavingwithEUonapproval

3processesissomehowmorefirmlylinkedtotheSPS?

4MR.MOWERY:No,wehaven't.Notto

5myknowledge,no.

6MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Andthatwould

7besomethingIthinkwewouldwelcomeideas--

8MR.MOWERY:Onthat?Yeah.

9MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:--onthatas

10tohowwe'regoingtomovebiotechforwardwithout

11 opening up the SPSA greement.

12MR.MOWERY:Okay.Wecandothat.

13Thankyou.

14MR.PURCELL:Okay.Nextwe'llhear

15from,representingFarmlandIndustries,J.B.

16Cooper.

17MR.COOPER:Greetingstoyou,the

18Panel, on this important is sue to day. I appreciate

19theopportunitytoappearbeforeyouinthis

20listeningsession.MynameisJ.B.Cooper.Iama

21 farmer active in the production of cotton and grain

- 22 sorghum since 1950 in West Texas. I'm amember and
- 23 patron of Farmland Industries through my association
- 24oftwolocalcooperativesinmyhomearea. I've
- 25 be enadirector of the Farmland Industries board in

1 years past and now serve on the Gulf Nations Council

2forthatorganization.

3FarmlandIndustriesisthenation's

4largestfarmer-ownedcooperative.Foundedin1929,

5itisafederatedco-opofover1,700cooperative

6partnersand600,000farmer-ownerswhomakeupthe

7FarmlandCooperativeSystem.Farmlandhasmajor

8businesses, unitsinfertilizer, meats, grain,

9feeds,petroleum,andshipping.Focusingonmeeting

10 the needs of tomorrow's customer, Farmland has

11 adopted business strategies to meet the demand of

12globalconsumersthatisaproducer-owned

13cooperative.

14Thefutureeconomicwell-beingof

15Americanagricultureiscloselytiedtoour

16 competitiveness in an expanded global market. The

17 importance of tradet othe future of American

18agriculturehasbeenemphasizedinthe1996Farm

19Bill, with the reduction in support of farm

20 programs. The U.S. producer will depend on exports

21 for an estimated 35 percent of gross receipts by the

22year2003.U.S.tradepolicymustreflectastrong

23 commitment to expanding world markets.

24Theimportanceoftradeis

25 unders cored by America's shrinking share of the

1world'spopulation. American owcomprises only four

2percentoftheworld'spopulation,andwe're

3experiencing minimum population growth while many of

4thecountries that we trade with a reexperiencing

5significantpopulationgrowth.Tofurtheraddto

6thisphenomenaisagrowingstrengthofthemiddle

7class.Thepowerofemergingmiddleclasses

8worldwidemadeupofconsumerswiththeabilityto

9shifttheirconsumptionpatternshavebecomea

10 critical factor driving consumer markets.

11InIndia, for example, there's 115

12millionnewmembersinthemiddleclassin2005.In

13Chinatherewillbe196millionmoremembersofthe

14middleclassby2005.Thesenewmiddleclass

15consumers around the world represent a booming

16potentialmarketforourforeignproducts.

17TheFarmlandSystemhasdeveloped

18businessstrategiesfocusedontacklingthe

19 potential of the emerging world markets. During the

20pastsixyearstheFarmlandSystem'sinternational

21saleshavegrownfromlessthan200milliontoover

224.1 billion dollars. In Mexico, since the passage

23 of the North American Free Trade Agreement, our

24tradehasincreasedfromlessthan50millionin

251992to450millionin1998.

1TheannualsalesofFarmland

2Industriesoverthelastcoupleofyearshas

3amountedtoover10milliondollars.Soabout40

4percentofthetotalsalesofFarmlandIndustries,

5whichiswhatthecooperativehasnow,ismadeupof

6internationalsales.

7Asyoupreparefortheupcomingtrade

8roundthisNovemberinSeattle,pleaseconsiderthe

9followingitemsthatwehopetoillustrate:

10 Elimination of export subsidies, tariffs must be

11furtherreduced, State Trading Enterprises must

12evolvetofullpricetransparencyandeventuallyto

13freemarketentities, therules governing sanitary

14andphytosanitarymeasuresmustcontinuetobe

15stronglybasedonsoundscienceandriskassessment,

16assuretradeingenetically-modifiedorganismsis

17basedonfair,transparent,andscientifically

18acceptablerulesandmeasures.

19Negotiations should be comprehensive,

20 with the use of expedited actions and timeliness,

21 with the same under taking during the next round of

- 22 negotiations. Disputes ettlement mechanisms must be
- 23 shortened and the remust be an end in the process.
- 24 If countries are permitted to disregard dispute
- 25settlementfindings,producerswillhave--lose

1 confidence in the multilateral trading system.

2Thankyouagainfortheopportunity

 ${\it 3} to appear before yout od ay and provide comments on$ 

4prioritiesfortheupcomingtradetalks.American

5farmers,ranchers,andagribusinesspeopleare

6growingmoreandmoredependentoninternational

7tradefortheirlivelihoodanditisbecoming

8increasinglyimportantfortheU.S.governmentto

9haveatradepolicythatisstronglycommittedto

10 expanding opportunities for American farmers,

11ranchers, and businesses. Thankyou.

12MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Mr.Cooper.

13Weappreciateit.

14MR.PURCELL:Ijustnoticed

15 something as we goalong. If you have a page ror

16cellphone, please turnit off. Because once again,

17thisisbeingtransmittedliveontheInternetandI

18knowalotofradiostationsarerecordingit.

19Nextwe'regoingtohearfromthe

20AmericanPeanutShellersAssociation,Evans

21Plowden,Jr.

22MR.PLOWDEN:Thankyou.Good

23 morning. Again, I'll repeat the comments of earlier

24 speakers. We appreciate this opportunity to present

25 our views to you on the upcoming tradetalks. We

1 particularly thank Commissioner Combs and her staff2fortheaccommodationsthatthey'vemadetousover 3thelastfewweeksinpreparingforourtestimony 4andtheseaccommodationsheretoday. 5IrepresenttheAmericanPeanut 6 Shellers Association. Our organization is a trade7associationrepresentingmostofthecommercial 8peanutshellersintheUnitedStates.TheU.S.is 9thethirdlargestproducerofpeanutsintheworld; 10however, wearethelargest producer of edible 11 peanuts. Both China and India produce more peanuts 12thanwedo, butmostoftheir cropiscrushed into 13oil. They are, however, a significant producer of 14ediblepeanuts, but not quite as large as weare. 15Mostofourcrop--mostofthe 16UnitedStatescropisusedforediblepurposesin 17confectionery, peanutbutter, and salted nuts. The 18UnitedStatesisamajorexporterofediblepeanuts 19intheworldtrade, althoughinthelastfewyears, 20itisnotthelargestexporter. Atonetime wedid

21 occupy the number one position, but we no longer are

22numberone.Butweareamajorexporter.

23Werecognizethattoday,thisnext

24 round of tradetalks, is in a very, very early stage

25 and we don't know what other countries' proposals

1maybewithrespecttopeanuts.However,thereare

2twoissuesthatarefairlycleartodaythatwe'd

3liketopresenttoyou.

4Wearealsoconvinced-andIwantto

5emphasizethisasmuchasIcan-thatthenext

6roundofinternationaltradenegotiations will be

7vitalwithrespecttothepeanutindustry.The

8health--futurehealthoftheindustry-andI

9includethatinthatterm,notonlyourgroupbut

10growersandmanufacturersaswell-willbe

11 influenced by the results of this next round.

12ThetwoissuesIwanttomention

13todayareconflictingUnitedStatespoliciesand

14unscientificEuropeantrade--I'mtoldtocallthem

15 standards. Is ee the mas barriers. But Iguess to

16bepolite, they would be called standards. Both of

17thesearegoingtoadverselyaffecttheUnited

18Statespeanutindustry.

19First,theconflictingUnitedStates

20policies.Ourcountryhasfavoredfreetrade.Most

21 of the speakers here to day also favor free trade.

- 22Andpursuanttothatpolicy,we'veopenedour
- 23 borders to significant quantities of lower
- 24world-pricedediblepeanuts. These imports enter in
- 25theformofeitherrawpeanuts,kernelsorin-shell,

1 peanut butter or peanut paste-which is essentially

2aprimitiveformofpeanutbutter-andalso,

3importantlyandsomewhatoverlooked,asan

4ingredientinconfections; candy coming into the

5UnitedStatesthatalreadyhaspeanutsinit.

6TheUnitedStatesalsomaintainsa

7domesticquotapeanutprogram, which, in essence,

8requirespeanutshellerstopurchaseonlydomestic

9quotapeanutsfordomesticedibleuse. Those

10domesticpeanutsaresupportedatapriceof--a

11nationalpriceof\$600perton.Therefore,onthe

12onehand, we'refaced with a government policy that

13allowsworld-pricedpeanutsintotheUnitedStates,

14whichareroughly\$350perton,andanotherpolicy

15thatrequiresustopurchaseforourusesmuch

16higherpriced peanuts.

17Letmeemphasizethattodaymygoal

18isnottodisagreewitheitheroneofthese

19policies. Advocates can make a good argument for

20either.Rather,mypointistopointouttoyou

21thatwecan'tsurvivewithboth.Ourindustry

22 cannot compete with \$350 per tonworld market

23 peanuts if we, by law-in essence, by law-have to

24purchase\$610pertonpeanutsforourcompeting

25product.

1I'msuremostofyou-allhaveheard 2thestoryofthefellowsellingwatermelons, and he 3waslosingmoneyoneachoneandhedecidedhis 4solutionwastomakeituponvolume. And sohewas 5goingtobuyabiggertrucktomakeitupon 6volume. Wesimplycan't getalar geen oughtruck to 7make610competitivewith350.Iwanttoemphasize 8 one more time, I'm not criticizing either one of 9thesepolicies, butthey'reinconflict and we can't 10survivewiththeexpansionofbothofthem. 11Letmetouchamomentonthe 12unscientifictradebarriersintheEU.TheEuropean 13Unionisourlargestexportmarketforedible 14 peanuts. It has implemented an aflatoxin import 15standardapplicabletopeanuts, and, frankly, 16applicabletootherproducts as well, that is not 17 scientific based and is quite detrimental totrade. 18Ratherthandiscussthetechnical 19detailsofthatrestrictionorstandardhere, I've 20takenthelibertyofattachinganappendixtomy

21testimonythatIhopewillgiveyouthetechnical

22 background that you may need with respect to that

23issue.

24Therestrictions, suffice it to say,

25 are much more stringent than those required in the

1UnitedStatesandthoserequiredorsetby 2internationalstandard-settingbodies.Meetingthe 3EUstandardsisvery, very difficult and detrimental 4totheUnitedStatespeanutindustry,butthat 5difficulty is compounded by the sampling and testing 6 systems that are in use in the EU. If United States7peanutsaretestedhereintheU.S.bya 8USDA-approvedlaboratoryandcertifiedasmeeting 9eventhosestandardsthattheEUhasset--which, 10again, wethink are not scientifically based. But 11evenifwewereallowedtohavethepeanutstested 12intheUnitedStatesunderthosestandards, wewould 13havetheassurancethatwhenthosepeanutsreach 14Europe, they would be accepted. 15Thesituationnowisthatit 16tested--theEUwillnotrecognizeUSDA-approved 17labs,andit'sthereforetestedintheEU.Andif 18 for some reason they fail when they get the re, the 19U.S.shipperisfacedwithaquantityofpeanuts 20overseaswithvery, very fewalternatives with

21 respect to that product. If we were allowed to test

- 22themhere, even if they failed, we would have a
- 23 number of other alternatives that we could
- 24 practically use and operate in a fashion that would
- 25 allow us to mitigate, at least, the consequences of

1thisunscientificstandard.

2Insummary, it would be our hope that

3eventuallytheEUstandardcouldbebroughtinline

4withtheCodexAlimentariusstandard,whichisthe

5internationalstandard-settingor

6standard-recommendingbody. Wewouldhopethatthat

7standardcouldbebroughtinlinewithwhatthey

8haveconcludedisappropriate.Butintheinterim

9wewouldhopethatourrepresentatives could

10 negotiate an agreement with the EU so that the

11samplingandtestingcouldbedoneinUSDA-approved

12labsbeforeleavingAmericanports.

13Letmejustsayfinallythatweknow

14we'relookingatanumberofyearsoftasksbefore

15usandbeforeyou. We'rewillingandanxioustobe

16ofassistancewhereverwecan.Ifwecontinueon

17thisconflictingcourse, I'mafraidthatwewillsee

18theUnitedStatespeanutindustrymoveoffshore,

19whichwouldbeatragedyforAmericanpeanut

20growers, our industry, manufacturers, and Ithink

21 for the American consuming public. So we wishyou

22wellandwe'llbeofassistancewheneverwecan.

23IfIcouldrespondtoanyquestions,

24 I'd behappy to. Otherwise I'll yield whatever

25time, if any, I have to the next speaker.

1MR.GALVIN:Mr.Plowden,thankyou.

2Irealizethisisabitofaloadedquestion,butdo

3youhaveanysuggestionsforusonhowwegoabout

4tryingtoresolvewhatyoudescribeasthat

5conflicting U.S. policy?

6MR.PLOWDEN: Well, Iwouldhavea

7coupleofcomments, with the caveat, Mr. Galvin,

8thatnoneofthisbeconsideredasassociation

9policy.Butsomehow,ifwe'regoingtoopenthe

10borderstoimports, which we have done either

11throughgrantingothercountriesaccessor,asI

12say,usingpeanutsinotherproductsthatcomeinto

13theUnitedStates, wehavetofindamechanismthat

14protectstheAmericanfarmerpricewisebutdoesnot

15 penalize the customer of that product and give that

16customer, our people and manufacturers, an

17uncompetitive product.

18Andthat'sapolicydecisionthatI'm

19sureCongresswillhavetolookat.Ithasfound

20thatsolutionwithmany, manyotheragriculture

21 products, a syouk now. When in its wisdom it has

22decidedthatagricultureneedssomesupport-not

23necessarilysubsidy,butsomesupport-ithasmade

24 the political decision in a way that did not, at the

25 same time, make that product uncompetitive in its

1marketplace.

2SoIdon'twanttotrytosuggesta

3specificsolution. There are a number that have

4beenkickedaroundinCongress,butIthinkthe

5basicguidelinehastobethatifwearetocompete

6inthisU.S.market,thenwehavetohavea

7competitivelypricedproduct.Now,I'mnot

8proposingheretodaythatthepeanutfarmerneedsto

9simplycuthispriceorcuthisincometothelevel

10ofworldmarket;that'sadecisionthatundoubtedly

11 will be made in Congress over time with a lot of

12inputfromanumberofpeople.Butwhateverthat

13 solutionis, it 's gottomake that product price

14competitive.

15Formany,manyyearsbeforeNAFTAand

16GATT--beforeNAFTA and the latestround of GATT,

17therewereessentiallynoimportsofpeanutsallowed

18intotheUnitedStates.Sotherewasnota

19competingproductthatwaslowerpriced. Wedon't

20 have that today, after both NAFTA and GATT, so

21 creative minds are going to have to find a way to

- 22dealwiththatnewreality.
- 23AndI'mnotproposingheretodaythat
- 24 the way to solve that problem is to simply cut farm
- 25income,butfarmincomewillbecutunlesswefind

1 an answertoit, because the product can't be sold.

2MR.GALVIN:Thankyouverymuch.

3MR.ACETO:Justonequickquestion.

4WhowasthepracticalbeneficiaryofthisEuropean

5standardbeingset?Aretheymeetingtheir

6demands--

7MR.PLOWDEN:Idon'treallythink

8it'sthetypicalsituationwhereyouhavesomebody

9beingprotected, frankly, because the EU does not

10 growpeanuts. Ithinkitis a product of an

11unrealisticfearwithregardtofoodsafetythat

12seemstobesweepingthroughoutEurope.Wehavehad

13somesuccessin--particularlyinCodex,ingetting

14astandardthatisscientificallybasedandfrankly

15issimilartothestandardintheU.S.,andworkis

16continuing.BothUSTRandtheUSDAhavebeenmost

17helpfulinthatregard,andwejusthopewecan

18continuetomakeprogresswithrespecttothe

19standard.

20Butifwecan't--inthemeantime,

21 if we can find some practical helpin, say--you

22 probably know, if you sample any large fungible

23groupofproducts, eventhes ampleits elfisgoing

24 to vary unless you sample the entire product. And

25 therefore you destroy the product in the sampling

1process.Soyou'regoingtohavevariations.

2Weneedasituationwherewecan

3sampleitattheearliestpoint, where the owner has

4themostpossiblealternativeswithrespecttothat

5sample.Rightnowwe'resamplingatthelast

6possiblepoint.Thankyou.

7MR.PURCELL:Okay.Thankyouvery

8much.

9We'vebeengoingprettysteadyhere

10 for an hour and a half. It's 10:30. We're going to

11takeaboutafive-minutebreak,andlet'sallplan

12onbeinghereat10:35. Yeah. Backinhereat

1310:35.Thankyou.

14(BREAK)

15MR.PURCELL:Okay.We'llget

16startedbackagain. Andareminder, wearerunning

17alittlebitbehindschedule.Soareminder,please

18keepyourcomments within the five-minute window, if

19youcould, and we'll tryand get backonschedule.

20Weleftoff--ournextspeakerwill

21 be Dan Hunter, representing the National Peanut

22GrowersGroup.

23MR.HUNTER:Dowewanttowaiton

24CommissionerCombs?

25 MR. PURCELL: No. She's going to be

1goneforabout20to25minutes.

2MR.HUNTER:Okay.Firstofall,I

3appreciate the opportunity to behere before you

4today.It'sanhonortobeabletocomebeforethis

5group, and we appreciate the efforts that 's being

6 made not only by USDA and USTR and the State

7Departmentaswell, but we appreciate the listening

8sessionsthatarebeingheldthroughoutthe

9country.

10 I represent the Southwestern Peanut

11GrowersAssociation.TodayI'mheretorepresent

12theNationalPeanutGrowersGroup.TheUnited

13Stateswas, aswasearliermentioned, wasonce

14reliedonasthepredominantworldmarketsupplier

15 of peanuts based upon a combination of price and

16quality. Withpasttradeagreements assuring

17 Argentina and other countries as hare of the U.S.

18 market, the tradelaw shave encouraged an expansion

19ofpeanutproductionincountries which supplies not

20onlypartoftheU.S.marketbutalsomuchofthe

21worldmarket.

22Accordingtotradebalancedata,GATT

23andNAFTAappeartohavecausedAmerica,especially

24inpeanuts, to be come more for eignfood dependent,

25 and historyal ways tells us that those who become

1dependentuponotherfoodsupplieswillalwaysbe 2vulnerable.Andwhenwelookatfoodsecurity,we 3lookatitasanationalsecurityissueandtruly 4believethatfoodsecurityistheumbrellaof 5national security.

6Inouropinion, previous trade 7agreementshavefailedtoadequatelyprovide 8safeguardsforchangingexchangeratesbetween 9currenciesorfordramaticfluctuations in the 10stabilityofoverseaseconomies. Asiahas 11 historically been one of the largest markets for 12U.S.exportedpeanuts, buttherecentfinancial 13 problems have dramatically reduced the amount of14 peanuts exported to this region. Chinais now the 15largestproducerofpeanutsintheworld, and while 16 only as mall quantity of their peanuts can enter the 17U.S.annually,insteadtheyenterthroughother 18countriessuchasCanada.IncountrieslikeCanada,

19theseChinesepeanutsarethenmadeintobutter

20and/orpaste,aswasmentionedearlier,andare

21enteringthe U.S. in expanding quantities. China

22hasalsorecentlybecomeamajorworldsupplierina

23 mark et that has become driven by cash needs, with

24 little or no consideration for quality or market

25price.TheU.S.peanut-producingindustryhasbeen

1damagedasaresultoftheU.S.failingtoensure

2 strict rules of origin when the Canadian Free Trade

3 and North American Free Trade Agreements were

4negotiated.

5Thefollowingpointsareafewitems

6whichwewouldlikeforyoutoconsider,simple

7pointswhenyoudeveloptradepolicies, especially

8astheyrelatetothepeanutindustry.Inlooking

9backatpasttradeagreements,theU.S.peanut

10grower,tomyknowledge,hasnotbenefitted.

11There's now not a single country importing U.S.

12 peanuts merely as a result of NAFTA or GATT. Rather

13thanseeingexportsincreaseasaresultofthe

14tradeagreements, we have instead seen them

15decline.U.S.exports--aswasmentionedearlier,

16U.S.usedtobethenumberoneexporterintheworld

17marketasfaraspeanutswereconcerned, with

18decreasefrom403,000metrictonsin1991toalmost

19halfofthat,of203,000metrictons,in1997

20and'98.

21Secondly,tomyknowledge,there's

22 be ennoconsideration given in the past agreements

23 to the U.S. government regulatory system as compared

24toothercountries. And it was mentioned earlier,

25 and I'm sure will be mentioned throughout testimony

1 today, the U.S. farmers must comply with the
2 numerous amount of regulations. Many of these
3 factors, of course, are not found in other
4 countries, and put our producers at a disadvantage
5 as far as price competition. We must be given the
6 opportunity to compete on a level playing field,
7 which in our case has not equated to the definition
8 of free trade.

9Marketaccessmustbecontrolledby
10strictrulesoforigin.AsImentionedearlier,
11Canadadoesnotgrowpeanuts;however,theyhave
12builtanentireinfrastructurebaseduponprocessing
13peanutsintopeanutproductsfortheexportmarket.
14AndasImentioned,Chinadumpsthesepeanutsonthe
15worldmarketforcashneedsandthencountriessuch
16asCanadapickthemupandprocessthemandbring
17themintotheU.S.marketatbelow-marketcost.
18Inaddition,accessforacommodity
19shouldbegrantedtocountries,inouropinion,that
20aredirectlyinvolvedinproducingthecommodityas
21determinedbytherulesoforigin.Asyouknow,

22everyimportedpeanut,peanutproduct,peanutpaste

23comingintothis country displaces the domestically

24producedpeanut, displaces apeanut that the

25shellerscanthenshellandthemanufacturercan

1thenalsomanufacture.Anditremovesincomesfrom

2ourfarmers in their communities and damages our

3balanceofpayments.

4Fourthly, the current agreements fail

5toadequatelyprovide,inouropinion,safeguardsin

6theeventofchangeinexchangeratesbetween

7countries, as evident by the recent economic woes in

8theAsianmarket.Thisisanimportantissueand

9mustbeconsideredinmanyfuturetradeagreements.

10Itwasalsoclearinthelastmeeting

11oftheWTOinGenevafortheanniversary

12celebration, which Tim Galvin, you mentioned you

13wereat, that phytosanitary and sanitary standards

14weretobeastrong--that, youknow, that would be

15astrongconcern.Weneedtocontinuetowork

16towardsthoseeffortsandmakesurethatthose

17effortsarestrengthenedinthesanitaryand

18phytosanitaryefforts.

19Andasfarasotheritems, with the

20elimination, asyouknow, of the Section 22 during

21theNAFTAnegotiations, importprotections for

22 peanut growers, of course, now are very few. One

23thingthatwewouldask, as an imports ensitive

24 product, that the Administration take a look at the

25 possibles nap-back provisions that would protect the

1 peanut programatany time imports cause significant

2interferencetotheoperationofthemarket.

3Asyouknow,thepeanutindustryasa

4wholeopposed--orthegrowersopposedbothNAFTA

5andGATT.Andourreasonsforopposingthe

6 agreements are more evident today. We have lost not

7 only our number one worldranking as far as

8 exporting in the market, but we also lost 20 per cent

9ofourmarketshare. And to be more competitive,

10U.S.farmerswerealsoaskedtoreducetheirprices,

11 and took a 10 percent reduction in the last Farm

12Billasfarastheirdomesticsupportprices.That

13pricesupportratehasremainedfrozen,asyouwell

14know, which essentially, for the farmer out there,

15 equates into an annual declinean dreduction of

16 incomedue to inflationary costs. As a peanut

17 farming industry, we are not better off to day prior

18toNAFTAandGATT--aswewerepriortoNAFTAand

19GATT.Andthisisnotonlyevidencedbythefarm

20incomereductions, but is also evident by the

21reductioninthenumber of U.S. peanut farmers. We

22 have seen 35 percent, over one-third of the U.S.

23 farmers in the United States that produce peanuts,

24gooutofbusiness.

25InorderthattheU.S.peanut

1producerscanmakeadjustmentsnecessarytomaintain
2theirroleinproducingtheworld'shighestquality
3peanuts,aswasmentionedearlierbyMr.Plowden,
4ourproductgoesintothemarket,intotheexport
5market,asanediblemarket.Mostotherpeanuts,
6especiallythoseproducedinChina,whichisthe
7largestproducer,goesintothecrushmarketorinto
8theoilmarket.

9Webelievethatbothdomesticand
10importmarketshave--wehavethehighestquality
11inboththosemarkets.Andwestronglyrecommend
12thatminimumaccessimportlevelsforpeanuts
13enteringU.S.tradechannelsnotbeincreasedabove
14thelevelpermittedinthelastyearoftheUruguay
15Round.Andoverquota--andsecondly,over-quota
16tariffratesforshelledandin-shellpeanutsbe
17maintainedatthelevelspecifiedinthelastyear
18oftheagreement.

19AstheU.S.movesforwardwithits
20farmpolicy,werealizethatwemust--thatless
21thantwopercentofthepopulationcarriesthefood

22 security risk of our country. The farm population

23 is not going to increase. While the demand splaced

24uponthemcontinuetogroweveryyear, wecannot

25 reduce our production capability or allow the U.S.

1producertobedrivenoutofthemarket.Because 2theUnitedStatesundertookanacross-the-board 3reduction, aone-size-fits-allapproach, if you 4will,toGATTandNAFTA,theU.S.peanutfarmerlost 5agreatdealinbothoftheagreements.Andasa 6directresultofimportconcessionsbytheU.S.,we 7haveseenoverahalfofabilliontons--orhalf 8ofamilliontonsofpeanutsbeimportedintothe 9UnitedStatessinceGATTwasfinalized. 10IfthereareU.S.commodities and 11productsthatwouldbenefitfromtradetalks,the 12U.S.shouldlimititsdiscussion,inouropinion,to 13 those commodities and those products. The U.S. must14avoidpunishingacross-the-boardTariffRateQuota 15reductionsforthiscountryordiscussionsof 16allowing countries to meet average tariff rate 17reductioncommitments by decreasing tariffs on 18productsofnointeresttotheU.S.Weacknowledge 19thatthisprocess, of course, would add more 20difficultytothetalks, butinour opinion, it's

21 very vital to our industry.

- 22Finally, weask that the
- 23 Administration understand the dramatic loss that the
- 24 U.S. peanut produce rhas experienced from GATT and
- 25 NAFT A trade agreements, and we ask that you take

1this into account when considering any new trade

2agreements.

3Ithankyouforyourtime, and once

4again, weappreciate the opportunity to behere

5today. And I'llanswer any questions.

6MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Dan.I've

7got, Iguess, atwo-partquestion. First, could you

8commentjustverybrieflyontheworldplayingfield

9forpeanuts?Howunlevelisit?Whatsortof

10 subsidies or protection do some of the other major

11 producing countries of fer their peanut industry?

12Andthenthesecondpartofthat

13questionis, if we evergot to this sort of nirvana,

14wheretherewasaperfectworld,aperfectlylevel

15 playing field, how competitive then do you think the

16U.S.peanutindustrywouldbe?

17MR.HUNTER:Inanswertoyourfirst

18question,letmemaybeputitintocontextina

19realization of what the peanut production in the

20 worldwide is. If you took what the United States

21currentlyproduces, which is around 1.5 million tons

- 22ofpeanuts, and you added even the production in
- 23 South America and essentially every part of the
- 24 world except for India and China, that 's about three
- 25 million tons. The Chine seproduce 11 million tons

1ofpeanuts.Okay?Whentheydumpasignificant 2partoftheirproductonthemarket-andwhenIsay 3dump, literally, essentially, that 's what they're 4doingasacashneed-whenwelookatthe 5competitivenessthere, it's not necessarily aprice 6distortingasfarassubsidiesareconcerned.But 7youhavetorealizethatweintheUnitedStates,as 8Isaid,produceabout1.5milliontons.They're 9producing11milliontons,andtheystillhandpull 10everypeanutplant, shake everypeanutplant by 11hand,andpickeverypeanutoffthepeanutplant 12itself.Sowhenyoulookatthatproductioncost, 13there's one of the items that you'll never beable 14totrulyaddress, Iguessis what I'm saying there. 15Andtheperfectnirvana, asfaras 16whattheworldmarketwouldlooklike, Ithinkyou 17havetorealizethatintheUnitedStatesweproduce 18aproductthatisimportanttotheconsumerout 19there, and we feelit's very important that the 20consumerhaveaproductatagoodprice. Andthat's 21 very evident by the fact that any time you look at

- 22whenthere'stalkofbeingcompetitiveontheworld
- 23 market, products coming into the United States at
- 24 less than world market or less than domestic market
- 25price,ifyou'llnoticethatevensince1996and

1 since GATT and NAFTA were negotiated, consumer

2prices, even with the 10 percent reduction in

3domestic support rate and an influx of cheaper

4imports, consumer prices have yet to godown.

5SoIthinkthatkindofanswersthat

6question.

7Yes,ma'am?

8MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:Iwanttomake

9sureIunderstandthereasonsbehindcommentsthat

10 you and Mr. Plow den made, and that 's the loss of

11 marketshare on the export market for edible

12 peanuts. On the edible peanuts side, who are the

13 competing countries and what are the factors that

14haveledtousnolongerbeingthenumberone--

15MR.HUNTER:Well,partofitiswhat

16hementionedwithregardstoanaflatoxin.Wealso

17haveseenanincreaseinthosecountriesoutthere

18wherewehavehelped,asfarasintradeagreements,

19toincreasetheirproduction, such as Argentina.

20China, onceagain, is exporting more peanuts into

21theworldmarketthaneverbeforeandbecomeamajor

22player.

23Thankyouverymuch.

24MR.PURCELL:Okay.Thankyou,Dan.

25 Next two speakers represent the sugar

1 industry. Firstwe'llhear from Jack Nelson of the

2 Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers.

3MR.NELSON:I,too,wanttothank

4youfortheopportunitytoparticipateinthis

5listeningsession.MynameisJackNelsonandI'm

6 president of the Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers.

7RioGrandeValleySugarGrowersisacooperative

8that'sownedby134farmersandproduces35to45

9thousandacresofsugarcaneinHidalgo,Cameron,

10 and Willacy Counties. Rio Grande Valley Sugar

11 Growers injects about 40 to 60 million dollars into

12thatlocaleconomy. We'revery important in that

13areaofthestateofTexas.

14U.S.agricultureisextremely

15 vulnerable as we approach the next traderound. If

16wenegotiatecarefully, there's enormous potential

17forresponsibleAmerican producers to compete and

18prosperinagenuinefreetradeenvironment.U.S.

19sugarfarmersendorsethegoalofgenuine,global

20freetrade. Throughournational coalition, the

21AmericanSugarAlliance, we have endorsed the goal

22 since the start of the Uruguay Roundin 1987. We

23 want global free trade because U.S. sugar producers

24 are efficient by worldstandards, and we would

25 welcome the opportunity to compete on a genuine

1levelplayingfield.

2U.S.sugargrowersareamongthemost

3efficientintheworlddespitecosts--highlabor

4costsandenvironmentalstandards.Theworldsugar

5 mark et is the most distorted in a gricultural trade.

6 Lavish exports ubsidies by the European Common

7Marketallowittodumpexcesssugarontheworld

8 market. Also the EEC helped subsidize exports of

9sugarfromAfrica,theCaribbean,andPacific

10 regions through refined sugar from Europe.

11Mexicohasbeenanimporterofsugar

12 for a number of years prior to the inception of

13NAFTA; nonetheless, NAFTA has provided Mexico with

14morethanthreetimesitstraditionalaccesstothe

15U.S.marketduringthefirstsixyearsofthe

16agreementand35timesitstraditionalaccessduring

17thesevento14years,andvirtuallyunlimited

18accessthereafter.

19Theseprovisionswerenegotiatedby

20U.S.andMexicangovernmentsandcontainedin

21 President Clinton's NAFTA submission to Congress

22 which Congress approved in November of 1993. The

23 sugar provision, as altered from the original NAFTA

24 text, we recritical to the narrow Congressional

25 passage of NAFTA. Nonetheless, Mexico is now

1 under mining the integrity of NAFTA by claiming that

2thesugarprovisions are somehow invalid. This

3questioningbyMexicohasbreddeepfeelingsof

4distrustintradeagreementsamongU.S.

5sugar-producing--myU.S.sugar-producing

6colleagues.

7TheWTOministerialwillplaya

8pivotalroleinestablishingthescopeand

9 parameters and goals of the next multilateral trade

10round.Duetopastexperiencewithtrade

11agreements, U.S. sugarfarmer surgethe U.S.

12agriculturenegotiatorsdotothefollowing:

13First, U.S. mustnotforgeanynew

14tradeagreements,norreduceitsgovernmentprograms

15anyfurther,untilcountrieshavecompliedfully

16 with the Uruguay Round and other trade agreements,

17astheU.S.hasdone.U.S.mustnotproduce--

18reduceitssupportforagriculturalprograms,

19particularlyfromimportsensitivecropssuchas

20sugar, any further until other countries have

21reducedtheirsupporttoourlevel.

- 22Eliminationofexportsubsidies and
- 23 State Trading Enterprises must be given to ppriority
- 24 in the next traderound. The wide gap in labor and
- 25 environmental standards between developed and

1 developing countries must be taken into account in 2 then ext traderound to provide both incentives and 3 penalties that ensure global standards rise to 4 developed country levels. A flexible request offer 5 typenegotiations trategy must be followed in the 6 next traderound.

7U.S.sugarproducersbelievethatthe 8nexttraderoundposesaseriousthreattoefficient 9U.S.sugarproducers.Theonlywaytorespondto 10thisthreatandrespecttheintegrityoftheno-cost 11U.S.sugarprogramistooperatethetariffrate 12quotaonaneedsbasiswithadequatesecond-tier 13tariff--withadequatesecond-tiertariff.Nomore 14sugarshouldbeimportedintotheU.S.marketthan 15themarketsneeds. Todootherwise would destroy 16theU.S.sugarprogramandtheU.S.industry. 17Ithankyoufortheopportunityto 18makethesebriefcomments, and if you have any 19questions I'll begladtoanswerthem. Thankyou. 20MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Jack.Bythe 21way,IsawPaulYancy(sp)yesterdayandhesaidbe

22 sure and telly our hellot oday.

23MR.NELSON:Thankyou.

24MR.GALVIN:Doyouhaveanycomments

25 over the current implementation of the TRQ program

1forsugar?Doyoufeellikeit'sworkingwell,not

2sowell, anything like that?

3MR.NELSON:Theonly--theTRQ

4programisworkingverywell.Theonlyproblemwe

5haveiswiththelowpriceofworldmarketbeing

6 with NAFTA, where the tariff quota is so low that

7fivecentworldmarketsugarwithacompetitive

8tariffthatwouldbringinsugaroverthequota

9sugar.Itbasicallylowersthedomesticpriceof

10rawsugarinthiscountry.

11Other than that, the rest of the GATT

12isfine.IfthetariffwasattheGATTlevel,even

13attheseprices, they would not be able to bring

14sugarinoverthequotasugar. And Ithinkthat's

15theonlyway.

16MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Yeah, Ihavea

17question.Inthebeginningofyourcommentsyou

18talkedaboutglobalfreetrade.Readingbetweenthe

19lines, are you suggesting that if all other

20 countries were to eliminate quotas and have the same

21marketaccessandeverybodyhavethesameplaying

22rulesforsugar, that you would support elimination

23 of the TRQ. Or are you suggesting that we have to

24keeptheTRQnomatter--

25MR.NELSON:Wefeellikethatif

1everybodyplayedbythesamerules--inother

2words,ifyoucoulddosomethingonexchangerates

3sothatcountriessuchasBrazilgetlowered

4exchangeratesoffourpercentthreemonthsago, and

5makethemtremendouslymorecompetitive in the world

6marketthanwhatweare, something could be done on

7that.Thatifyoueliminateallthedifferent

8barriersthatthereistotradeandweplayedona

9levelplayingfield,thatwecancompetewithmost

10ofthecountries'work. There are countries like

11Australia, probably themost efficient in the world;

12wemighthaveahardtimecompetingagainstthem.

13Mostothercountrieswecould.

14There'sotheraccountingprocedures

15thatcountriesusewheretheirdepreciation and

16interestarenotcountingintheircosts.Andso--

17 and the value of the currency in those areas makes

18themmorecompetitive eventhanweare.

19Theotherthingismostofthese

20countries atworld have prices within their own

21 country that they sell their product at, they have

22 special tradeagreements with other countries, and

23 then they take 10 or 15 percent of the rest of the

24sugaranddumpitontheworldmarket.There'sonly

2510 or 15 percent of the total production in the

1 world that 's actually marketed on the world market

2 at a price far below the cost of production in most

3ofthosecountries. Thosekindsofthings are what

4I'mtalkingabout.

5MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.

6MR.PURCELL:Okay.Thankyou.Next

7 we have Charles Thib autrepresenting the American

8SugarCaneLeague.

9MR.THIBAUT:Goodmorning.Panels

10 from the office of the USDA, the State Department,

11 the United States Trade Representative, my name is

12CharlesThibaut.Iserveasthechairmanofthe

13 National Legislative Committee of the American Sugar

14CaneLeague, and amchairm an of the USDA

15AgriculturalTechnicalAdvisoryCommitteeon

16 Sweetness. I'm also a grower and processor of sugar

17caneinLouisiana.Theleaguerepresentsmorethan

18700growersandall18processorsofsugarcanein

19thestate.

20Iappearbeforeyoutotodayto

21 presenttestimony on behalf of our organization.

- 22AlthoughmycommentsreflectLouisiana'sviewson
- 23U.S.agriculturaltradenegotiations, our views are
- 24 shared by all of the producers in this country.
- 25BeforeIbegin,letmefirstexpress

1myappreciationforbeingprovided the opportunity

2totestifybeforethepanel.Iwouldliketo

3complimenttheUSDA and USTR for holding this

4hearing.

5Thepurposeofmypresentationtoday

6istoprovidesomedirectiontoourtrade

7representativesonthenextroundofagricultural

8tradenegotiations. Yousee, in Louisiana, weare

9verycognizantoftherolethattradeplaysinthe

10 production and marketing of a gricultural commodities

11inourstateandacrossthenation.Wealsorealize

12thatasanindustry, U.S. agriculture continues to

13 be one of the few industries that consistently runs

14atradesurplusandhasbeensuccessfulinexporting

15agriculturalcommodities in the highly competitive

16globalmarketplace.In1997,Louisiana's

17agriculturalexportswereestimatedat704million

18dollars, with cotton, rice, soybeans, wheat, corn,

19andcottonseedrankingasthestate'stopexport

20crops.Riceandcottonrankedasthethirdand

21 fourthlargest a gronomic crops grown in our state

22andnationally; weranked as the third largestrice

23 producing state and sixth largest in the production

24ofcotton.Insugarcane,wearesecondonlyto

25Florida.

1Theabilitytosecureexportmarket 2accessforthesecommodities has a direct effect on 3theproductivityandprofitabilityofourstate's 4producers. However, crops such a srice, cotton, 5corn, wheat, and soy bean srepresent only about 20 6percentofourstate's agricultural economy. We 7alsohaveafivebilliondollarforestryindustry,a 81.4billiondollarlivestockandanimalproduction 9industry,an885milliondollaraquaculture 10industry,a500to600milliondollarsugarcane 11 industry, a157 million dollar nursery industry, and 12an82milliondollarsweetpotatoindustry, justto 13nameafew.In1998,thetotalvalueofall 14agriculturalcommoditiesproducedinthestatewere 15estimatedat9.6billiondollars, whichillustrates 16theagriculturaldiversityofourstate, which is 17verysimilartothediversityfoundinmanyother 18states. 19Thesignificance of the senumbers are

20thatwhenweconsiderourstate's 704 million

21dollarsinagriculturalexports, were alize that

- 22 much of our state 's agricultural production is not
- 23 produced for export but for domestic markets, and
- 24 the secrops exceed the value of our export crops.
- 25Thesameistrueinmanyotherstates.Sowhilewe

1 strongly support agreements that would provide

2 greater export mark et access for commodities such as

3rice,cotton,corn,wheat,andsoybeans,wemust

4alsoconsiderframingourtradeobjectivesto

5adhere--toachieveabalancebetweenmaintaining

6domesticmarketsandincreasingexportmarket

7access.Otherwise,theonlytradeweare

8accomplishingistradingawayonecommodity's

9establisheddomesticmarketforanothercommodity's

10accesstoanexportmarket.

11Ourconcernsarenotwhetheramarket

12isanexportoradomesticmarket.Amarketisa

13market, and the largest cost to our country is the

14lossofanestablishedmarketthathastaken

15hundredsofmillionsofdollarsandmanyyearsto

16develop.

17TheformationoftheWorldTrade

18Organizationin1995establishedanagreementthat

19istheframeworkfor134countriesand30other

20 prospective one stoestablish fairtrade parameters

21 for its members. However, as we standpoised to

22 enter the next round of the WTO negotiations, the

23 United States enters with the lower aggregate level

24oftariffsandtradeprotectionsofvirtuallyany

25membercountry.InreviewingthelistofSection

1301casesinitiated, it is apparent that many

2trade-distortingpracticesstillexistwithinthe

3membercountries. Therefore we must first target

4thenoncomplianceofmembercountries in agreements

5negotiated in the last round of trade agreements--

6tradenegotiationsbeforeforgingaheadwith

7additionalagreements.Nonewagreementsshouldbe

8negotiated with member countries that have not

9complied with earlier agreements.

10Second, our negotiations--

11negotiatorsmustavoidthetemptationtoofferany

12U.S.concessiontomembercountriesinorderto

13negotiatetheircompliance.InLouisiana,apointed

14exampleofWTOmembercountrynoncomplianceisthe

15Mexicangovernment's attempt to revoke the terms of

16thesugarside-letterintheNorthAmericanFree

17TradeAgreementandtheadditionoftariffsonto

18U.S.HighFructoseCornSweetener.Theside-letter

19agreementonsugar, which caps Mexico's access to

20theU.S.market,wascrucialinsecuringU.S.

21 Congressional support for NAFTA. Now we see our

22 domestic sugar marketinje op ar dyas the Mexican

23 government pushes to ignore the terms of the

24side-letteragreementinanattempttoforcethe

25U.S.tograntmarketaccessforMexicansugar

1displacedbyHighFructoseCornSyrupfromtheU.S.

2Thistradeagreement compliance

3problemisextremely significant to the economic

4healthofourstate, since we are the second largest

5sugar-producing state in the nation and sugarcane

6isourlargestagronomiccrop. The sugarcane

7industryisalsothelargestemployerforalarge

8regionofourstate, providing more than 32,000 jobs

9in24of64parishes, one-thirdofthestate.

10 Imust state that our biggest concern

11 is that U.S. tradenegotiators will concede

12additional U.S. marketaccess for Mexican sugaras

13 the concession for Mexican High Fructose Corn Syrup

14tariffremoval.In15sugar-producingstateslike

15Louisiana, our viewisthatany reduction in the

16termsofthesugarside-letteragreementwould

17amounttonegotiatingawayourmarketfor

18 domestically produced sugar to secure a cornex port

19market.Noreductionintermsshouldbeprovidedby

20 U.S. negotiators since the High Fructose Corn Syrup

21tariffsviolatethetermsoftheNAFTAagreement.

22Anothertradeconcernthatimpacts

23ourstateisthetermsofChina'saccessiontothe

24 WTO. Since China is the world's largest market,

25 U.S. export opportunities abound for small grains

1 and other commodities. Chinais also the largest 2producerandconsumerofcottonintheworld.In 3theU.S., we export about one-third of our cotton 4productionandwehopetohaveopportunitiesto 5exportcottontoChina.However,Chinahas 6increasedtheirpresenceasanexporter, and the 7termsofChina'saccessiontotheWTOmustinclude 8adequatesafeguardsthatpreventChinesetextiles 9fromcannibalizing our nation's cotton production 10andtextileindustry. 11Negotiatorsneedtounderstandthat 12thisisespeciallyimportant, because it is our 13 nation's cotton producers that have made the 14greatestinvestmenttodevelopthedomesticcotton 15marketinthiscountrythroughtheircheck-off 16contributions for promotional advertising. The WTO 17termsmustaddressChina'scurrentcottonpolicy 18thatcurrentlyprovidesareferencepricefor 19Chinesecottonproductionof60to65centsper 20pound. The accession terms must also provide the

21U.S.textileindustrywithanadequatetransition

- 22periodtoprepareforChinesecompetition,witha
- $2310\hbox{-} year phase out of textile import quotas. \\$
- 24Anotherstickingpointinupcoming
- 25tradenegotiations, and one of my main topics, is

1thedilemmaconcerningtheapplication of sanitary 2 and phytosanitary standard sunder the terms of the3SPS agreement of the WTO. We have all witnessed how 4theEuropeanUnionhasusedtheSPSagreementsto 5createan EU-SPS standard that prevents the entry of 6genetically-modifiedcommodities and hormone-fed 7beef.IfullwellunderstandhowtheSPSstandard 8canbeusedbyacountrytocreateanon-tariff 9tradebarrierwhichisdifficulttochallenge 10becauseofitstechnicalcomplexity. However, the 11termsoftheSPSagreementprovidethegovernments 12ofmembercountrieswiththesovereignrightto 13 establish an SPS standard in order to provide the14levelofhealthprotectionitdeemsappropriate, as 15longasthestandardimposedislimitedtothe 16extentnecessarytoprotecthuman,animal,orplant 17healthbasedonsoundscientificinformation and 18assessment. 19Whilewehavebeensuccessfulin

19Whilewehavebeensuccessfulin
20winningSection301cases,wewillcontinuetosee
21SPSstandardsimplementedbymembercountriesthat

- 22 will limit mark et access for U.S. exports.
- 23Furthermore, it is the sest and ards--if these
- 24 standards are provent obe permissible under a
- 25 scientifically-based transparent formula, the WTO

1 will be power less under the technical barriers to

2tradeagreementtoruleagainstthestandard,

3despiteitseffectonU.S.marketaccess.

4Mypointisthis:TheU.S.hassome

5ofthehighestenvironmentalsanitary,

6phytosanitary, and laborst and ards in the world for

7ourdomesticallyproducedcommoditiesimplementedto

8protectthehealthof U.S. citizens. However, we

9arecurrently--wecurrentlydonotprovidethe

10samelevelofattentiontosanitaryand

11 phytosanitary standards of for eignagricultural

12commoditiesimportedintothiscountry.

13Thismustbeaconsiderationaswe

14approachthenextroundoftradenegotiations.

15Thereisfartoogreatadisparitybetweenthe

16 regulations governing U.S. agricultural producers

17 and the commodities versus the regulation simposed

18 on for eignagricultural products entering the U.S.

19Wemusttakeintoaccountthefoodsafetystandards

20 that American sexpect, and develop SPS standards for

21 imported agricultural products that establish

22standardscomparabletothoseregulating

23 domestically produced a gricultural commodities and

24products.

25Anexcellentcaseinpointthat

1 illustrates the disparity between the standards for 2 domestic versus imported commodities is the Food3QualityProtectionActimplementedbyCongressin 41996toprotectU.S.citizensfrompesticide 5exposureriskandbasedonsoundscience. The 6agriculturalchemicalsusedby U.S. producers, such 7asMalathionandLorsban,canonlybeusedoncrop 8applicationsthat fit into an acceptable measure of 9humanexposureforriskforU.S.citizens. 10However,importedagricultural 11commodities are not subject to comply with the Food 12QualityProtectionAct;thereforemanychemicals 13suchasDDTandChlordane, which have been illegal 14foruseintheUnitedStatesfor20years,arestill 15usedinforeigncountries. Their commodities 16producedwithchemicalsillegalforuseintheU.S. 17enterourcountry, withless than one percent of 18thesecommodities in spected at the border. Imported 19commoditiesmustonlycomplywiththeU.S.threshold 20residuelevels.TheFQPAdoesnotregulatethe

21 products and chemical sused on imported commodities

- 22 what so ever. If the reever was an unfair trade
- 23 practice, it is our government holding U.S.
- 24 producers to a much higher standard than that of
- 25importedcommodities.

1AscientificallybasedSPSstandard

2 based on some of the Provisions of the Food Quality

3ProtectionActwoulddefinitelymeetscientific

4criteria for validity and transparency under the

5WTO-SPSagreement. Asourtraderepresentatives

6prepareforagriculturalnegotiations, wemust

7realizethatwhetherwelikeitornot, sanitary and

8phytosanitarystandardsarealreadyapartof

9 ongoing trade agreements. We must negotiate trade

10 terms that provide equality or U.S. producers. I

11urgeimplementationofanyenhancedUS-SPSstandard,

12orrisklosing U.S. agricultural domestic market sto

13unregulatedforeignimports.

14Theimplementationofthecomparable

15SPSstandardsforforeigncommoditiesenteringthe

16 U.S. would help equalize trade within the WTO for

17U.S.producersofimport-sensitivecommodities that

18competewiththeleastdevelopedmembernations.

19Theyareallowedtosubsidizetheirproducersunder

20thetermsoftheWTOagreementandareprotected

21fromWTOcountervailingmeasures.Thelowlevelor

22absenceofsanitaryandphytosanitarystandards

23provideatradeadvantagefortheseforeign

24agriculturalcommodities in that they are not

25 required to adhere to U.S. standards established to

1protectthehealthof U.S. citizens.

2AsweenterthenextroundofWTO

3negotiations, our U.S. agricultural trade

4negotiators'focusmustbetargetedonlevelingthe

5playingfield.SincetheUruguayRound,U.S.

6agriculturehasnotonlycompliedwithour

7obligationsbuthasexceededourobligationsfor

8loweringtariffs, subsidies, and granting U.S.

9marketaccesstoforeigncountries.Nowasweview

10 our world a gricultural trade, the Uruguay Roundwas

11 successful in lowering tariffs and subsidy support

12levels, but for eign countries continue to have much

13greaterimportprotectiontotheirmarketsand

14highersubsidysupportmechanismsfortheir

15 producers than those provided to U.S. producers.

16Therefore, were commend that

17negotiatorsemployaflexiblerequest-offer

18negotiatingstrategyintheupcomingtrade

19negotiationstoreducethehugedisparityin

20 supports between U.S. and WTO membernations. The

21 formula-drivenmethodofnegotiating support

22 reductions used in the Uruguay Roundwas in effective

23 and left producers competing formark ets with

24 for eign producers with much higher subsidies. A

25perfectexampleistheEUsugarsubsidythattotally

1distortstheworldsugarpricebypayingEUsugar 2producersover30centsperpound,whilemarketing 3surplusEUsugarontheworldmarketatlessthan10 4centsapound.

5WefurtherrecommendthatourU.S.

6 negotiator starget State Trading Enterprises, the7mainmethodusedbyforeigncountriestocircumvent 8theUruguayRoundcommitments.StateTrading 9 Enterprises were not addressed in the Uruguay Round10andtheyarebeingusedsuccessfullybycountries 11 suchas Australia to provide subsidies and reduce 12interestratesforproducersoutsideoftheir 13governments'negotiatedtradecommitments. 14Asweapproachtheupcomingroundof 15WTOtradenegotiations, Iwould like to encourage 16 the United States Trade Representative to utilize17allofourresourcestonegotiatethebestagreement 18 for a griculture. In recent years our top USTR trade 19negotiatorshavebecomeveryvaluableandmanyhave 20movedtotheprivatesector.Atthesametime,many 21 comment on the length of tenure of foreign trade

- 22negotiatorsandtheirindustryadvisors. Asan
- 23 off set, I would like to encourage the office of the
- 24 United States Trade Representative to utilize
- 25 A gricultural Policy Advisory Committees on Trade and

1theAgriculturalTechnicalAdvisoryCommitteesand 2otherindustryspecialists as consulting advisors 3anddirectcounselstothenegotiatorsduringthe 4nextroundofWTOtradeagriculturalnegotiations. 5Ifeelthataccesstogreatertechnicalinformation 6duringthenegotiationswouldbenefitourcountry's 7negotiating position and allow us to trouble shoot 8problemsbeforethenegotiationsconclude. 9Inconclusion, we feel that for 10agriculture, the upcoming round of WTO agricultural 11tradenegotiationsholdthegreatestpromiseto 12expandourmarketaccessforexportcommodities.At 13thesametime, we have an opportunity and an 14obligationtobalancetheseeffortswithhard-nosed 15 negotiations to reduce the difference between U.S. 16andforeignagriculturaltrade--andforeign 17agriculturalsupportlevels.Ourleverageisthat 18thestabilityofour U.S. currency has been--has 19madeaccesstotheU.S.marketaprize.Let'suse 20thisopportunitytomovetowardamorefair

21 agricultural tradepolicy for our U.S. producers.

- 22Iwouldliketothankthepanelfor
- 23 your attention. It has been a privile geto appear
- 24beforeyoutoday.Iwouldliketosincerelythank
- 25 the office of the United States Department of

1 Agriculture and USTR for conducting these hearings.

2Thankyou.

3MR.GALVIN:Thankyouverymuch.

4Sharon?

5MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Yeah.Ihave,

6Iguess,acommentortwo.Iwanttojustsaythat

7Icanassureyouthatwewillbeworkingvery

8closelywithAPAC,ATAC,andanumberofother

9advisors,includingstateagcommissioners,

10 governors, legislators throughout the negotiations.

11Andwewouldhopethat, youknow, private sector

12farmersandrancherswillbebyoursideproviding

13us--

14MR.THIBAUT:We'llbethere.

15MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:--thatwe

16need.

17Iwouldliketoclarifyoneissue

18thatyouraisedwhenyou'retalkingaboutimported

19foodsandthelevelofstandardsinthecaseof

20 pesticides and what are allowed to be used in other

21 countries, and to clarify that imported food does

22 have to meet the same pesticide to le rance le vels as

23 established by U.S. producers. Ithink we recognize

24 that the Food and Drug Administration, which is

25 responsible for enforcement of those, does in specta

1verysmallpercentageofimports, as they inspect a

2verysmallpercentageofdomesticproductsaswell,

3butthattheAdministrationisactivelyworking

4rightnowwithmembersoftheSenateonsome

5legislationthatwasrecentlyintroducedwhichwould

6broadenFDA'sauthoritytoensurethattheimported

7 foods are produced and processed under the same

8levelofstandardsandprotectionasdomestically.

9MR.THIBAUT:Good.

10MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Andthisisa

11highpriorityfortheAdministration,andI'msure,

12youknow, for the industry. If you need more

13information, you can contact your senators or myself

14ortheFoodandDrugAdministration.

15 MR. THIBAUT: Good. I'm glad to hear

16that.

17MR.GALVIN:Thankyouverymuch.

18MR.THIBAUT:Thankyou.

19MR.PURCELL:We'restartingtorun

20waybehindschedule,soI'dliketoonceagain

21 request all speakers please be aware of your

22speakingtime.Ifwecouldkeepittofiveminutes

23orlesswouldbeveryhelpful.

24Nextwe'regoingtohearfromDennis

25DeLaughter, and he's representing the U.S. Rice

1Producers.

2MR.DeLAUGHTER:Goodmorning.My
3nameisDennisDeLaughter.I'maricefarmer.I'm
4alsothepresidentofProgressiveFarmManagement
5andProgressiveFarmMarketing.Iserveaschairman
6oftheTexasRiceLegislativeGroup,alsochairman
7oftheU.S.RiceProducersAssociation,an
8associationwhichrepresentsover6,000rice
9farmers.Thericefarmersgroupismadeupofrice
10farmersfromthestatesofMissouri,Mississippi,
11Texas,and40percentofproducersinthestateof
12California.
13IwasrecentlyappointedtotheATAC

14committeeforfeedandgrainandoilseeds,andI
15appreciatetheopportunitytocomeandtobeableto
16addressyoutoday.IwanttothanknotonlyUSDA
17andUSTR,buttheStateDepartmentfortheir
18willingnesstocomeout.AndIwouldjustsayasa
19sidenote,havingrecentlybeenattheATACmeeting,
20Irealizethattheremaybepeoplethatthinkthat
21thistypeofaneventisbasicallydoingnothing.

- 22 But I want to assure those that are list ening that
- 23theyarebeingheardanditishavinganimpact.
- 24Andthatistoyourcredit.
- 25TheU.S.RiceProducersAssociation

1hashadcooperativestatuswiththeFAS.We're

2 involved in many promotional programs over seas.

3Recently, the vice president of our group, Nolan

4Cannon, gaveatestimony to the Memphis Group. And

5because of that and the fact that I've submitted my

6testimonyhere, I'mnotgoingtoreadit. Iwould

7liketogothroughandmaybegooveraboutfive

8pointsthatarementionedinit, or five

9recommendationsthatwehaveforpolicyofficialsas

10theycomeintothisnextround.

11Numberone, webelieve that policy

12officialsneedtorecognizethatriceisnota

13homogenousproduct.Thereisasubstantial

14differencebetweenroughrice,milledrice,and

15brownrice, and they all three have specific export

16capabilities. And soit's important that policy

17officialsneedtorealizethattherearethree

18differentformsandtypesofrice,andtheyneedto

19keepthatinthebackoftheirmindasthey

20negotiate.

21Numbertwo, were commend that

22 policy makers assure that countries with current

23minimumaccessforrice,likeJapan,Korea,aswell

24asothercountries--andothercountrieswhowill

25soonhaveminimumaccesscommitments,purchaseU.S.

1rice.Wewouldliketoseethat.

2Numberthree, we would like to see

3thatthere's arbitration changes in the EU's rice

4importpolicy, and in sist on equitable treatment for

5allformsofricewhenitcomestotheEU.

6Numberfour, were commend that

7policymakersstronglyopposeillegaltrade

8arrangements as well a stariffregimes in regional

9 tradeblocs that disadvantage U.S. rice producers.

10Andnumberfive, we'dliketoseean

11 enforcement and a strengthening of the enforcement

12oftheWorldTradeOrganization'sprohibitions

13 against the use of non-tariff tradebarriers for

14rice, such as the imposition of scientifically

15unsoundphytosanitarytraderestrictions.Iknow

16you'reworkingonthatissueandIcommendyoufor

17that.

18Withthatsaid,Ihaveacoupleof

19sideremarkstomake.HavingjustbeenattheATAC

20meetingthreeweeksago, Iknowyouknowthe

21 numbers. Youknowsomany numbers it probably spins

22aroundinyourheadatnight.Irecentlyreturned

23 from Geneva, where I met with several of you and

24 toured the WTO organization, and was totally

25 impressed. I returned with a better appreciation

1forwhatyoudo;Ialsoreturnedwithagreater
2appreciationofwhatyou'reupagainst.I'mhoping
3asyoutravelaroundandasyouhavetraveledaround
4tothesedifferenthearings,thatyouarealso
5gettingabetterfeelofwhatweareupagainsthere
6inthecountry.

7Andwiththatinview, justacouple
8ofpersonalthoughts. Numberone, wegaveatthe
9office. In the early '90s, I can remember hearing
10thephrase, U.S. agriculture must lead the way in
11reducing tradebarriers. Well, we believe we've
12done that in the Uruguay Round, so I can honestly
13say we gave at the office. U.S. agis not in a
14position to pay any more for a level playing field.
15It's time that the others idecome to the rescue of
16the U.S. situation.

17Numbertwo,Igrewuphearingthis
18phrase:Theworldpopulationisgrowingsofast
19thatsoonwewillnotbeabletofeedtheworld.
20Well,Ibelievedit,andprobablythere'smanyin
21theaudiencewhobelieveditandmanywhomaystill

- 22 do. But the facts say that that 's no longer true.
- 23Thefactsaysthepopulationisreallyheadingdown;
- 24 technical advancement is pretty well proving that we
- 25 are assured that we are going to easily feed the

1world.InarecentbookbyPeterDrucker,hesays
2inhisbook"Managingforthe21stCentury"that
3theremustbeaparadigmshiftinagriculture.And
4Ibelievethatisthecase.ThismeansthatU.S.ag
5mustmakethisshiftbothdomesticallyand
6internationally,andinthatshiftalevelplaying
7fieldinworldmarketsiscriticalandtimeisof
8theessence.

9Andso,withthatsaid,Iencourage
10youtocontinueyourefforts.Iknowwhatyou've
11done,Iknowwhatyou'redoing,andIreallydo
12appreciateit.Iknowyou'reworkinghardtolevel
13thoseplayingfields.AndnotonlydoIappreciate
14yourefforts,Iwishyouthebestofluckaswell.
15I'llbehappytoansweranyquestionsyoumight
16have.

17MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Dennis.I
18appreciateyourverystraightforwardandcandid
19testimony.Ithinkitwouldbegoodjusttomention
20Chinaabit,becauseIthinkChinareallyiskindof
21thewildcardinmanywaysinthewholeagtrading

- 22situation.Andthey'vecertainlycomeon,of
- 23 course, as a competitor in a number of a reas in the
- 24lastcoupleofyears, and they seem to be gearing up
- 25on--evenmoreonriceintermsofjusttotal

1productionandqualityandthatsortofthing.

2And, youknow, Iunderstandyour

3concernaboutprevious statements and beliefs that,

4youknow, the world is going to run out of food and

5 that that period is just around the corner and that

6sortofthing.ButIthinkifthere'sonething

7thatisclear, it's that the current level of

8subsidies and distortions out the reworld wide really

9areencouraging aloto fexcess production that

10otherwisewouldn'toccur. And rightnow, that's

11probablyaboutastrueinChinaalmostasitisin

12Europe.It'sprettyclearthatChinaisengagedin

13apolicyofself-sufficiencyalmostatanycost, and

14they'vebeenspendinganawfullotmoremoneyhere

15latelytoreallysustainthatpolicy. And Ithink

16it'sledtooverproduction,but--youknow,waste,

17fraud,andabuseinChinaandallthat,andIthink

18therearesomeindicationsthattheyrealizethey've

19goneoverboard.

20ButIthinkthatreallygetsback,

21 though, to the real importance of getting them into

22WTOmembership.Becauseifweweretogetthesort

23ofagreementthatwasoutlinedforChina'saccession

24totheWTOthispastspring,wereallycouldgetto

25thepointwherethey'dhavetocommittoending

1theirexportsubsidies and disciplining, for the

2firsttime,theirdomesticsubsidies.SoIthink

3it'sreallyamajor,majorissueforU.S.

4agriculturetostayfocusedon,andprobablyabout

5asmuchforthericeindustryasanybodyelse.

6MR.DeLAUGHTER:Verytrue.We're

7followingthatveryclosely,becauseweknowthat

8China, while they'llimportrice right from their

9borders, from Vietnam and Thailand, they're also

10 wanting to export rice at this same point in time.

11Sowearewatchingthatinthesesessions, what's

12goingonthere, with great interest. And we're

13hopingthatthathasagreatimpactonus.

14MR.GALVIN:Thankyouverymuch.

15MR.PURCELL:Okay.Thankyou.Next

16we'rehearfromCarlKing,theTexasCornGrowers

17Association.

18MR.KING:Goodmorning.IamCarl

19King.I'mafarmer,retired-semiretardedand

20retiredboth-andpresidentoftheTexasCorn

21 Growers for some 26 years. I for medit and I'm also

- 22 chair man of the board of American Corn Growers.
- 23Ithankyoufortheopportunityin
- 24beingheretodaytosaysomethingtothisgroup
- 25that's called a listening session. We don't have a

1lotoftimeforhand-wringing,finger-pointing,more 2studies,analysis,orassigningofblame.Everyone 3knowsthecurrentfarmeconomyisinadisastrous 4situation.Thequestioniswhatarewegoingtodo 5aboutit.

6TexasCornGrowersandAmericanCorn 7Growerstakenoprideinsaying,wetoldyouso.We 8carefullyanalyzedandthenactivelyopposedthe 9trilogyofpolicyinstrumentsthatarekilling 10Americanfarmers,theFreedomtoFarmBill,the 11NAFTA, and the GATT, now called the WTO. 12Itwilltakequiteabitofworkto 13unravelallofthetroublethesethreedocuments 14havecreated, workthat we have to tackle but that 15 will take longer than we have. Reluctantly, I have 16tosaythatweneedmoneyfromtheFederalTreasury 17toflowouttoruralAmerica,andImeansoon,to 18makeitpossibletosavethebanks, schools, and 19otherbusinessesthatteeteronthebrinkof 20disasterasaresultofthiscrisisofthefarmers.

21Atthesametimewerecognizethat

22 society wants some assurance that when they hand

23 over a large amount of money, that there are

24expectations. The first is that we're paying

25attentiontootherissuesthatthepublicis

1 concerned about, like health and diet is sues and the
2 environment. Their second concernist hat we are
3 headed towards along-term effective solution to the
4 economic crisis. No body want stotry a Band-Aid
5 approach. The American Corn Growers of Texas both
6 share the seconcerns.

7Onthefirstissue,farm

8 organizationslikeoursneedtospeakupabout
9 healthandenvironmentalconcerns. We have been and
10 will continue to be active leaders in this country
11 to address keyenvironmentalissues such as the dead
12 zone in the Gulf of Mexico and climate change that
13 Clintonis pushing for rightnow.

14TheAmericanCornGrowershasalso
15beenintheforefrontonissuesrelatedtogenetic
16manipulationofseedsandcorporatemonopolies.We
17sharewiththepublicgraveconcernsaboutwho's
18controllingourfoodsupply,andwe'reworkingto
19addresstheseincooperationwithmanyconsumersand
20environmentalgroups.

21Ontheasecondissue, an eedfor

22long-termsolution, it is clear we need an entirely

23newapproach.ThecombinationoftheFarmBill,

24 NAFTA, and GATT is killing rural America. The

25 long-term solution is straightforward. We need

1non-recourseloanssetatthecostsofproduction

2withprovisionsforbalancing supply and demandover

3thelong-term. Yes, this will require a

4farmer-ownedreserve, but it will cut government

5costsdramatically. Weonly have to look at the

6highlysuccessfulpoliciesthatareinplacefor

7sugarandpeanutfarmerstoseewhatthiswouldlook

8like.I'mtalkingabouttheirdomesticbill,which

9isseparatefromalltheothercommoditygroups.

10However,togetthesekindof

11 policies means we have to radically change the

12currenttradeagreements.NAFTAcanbeeliminated

13 with only six months notice. We should start that

14processtoday.TherulesoftheWorldTrade

15Organization, formerly GATT, or General Agreement on

16TariffsandTrade, willtakealotmorework. The

17AmericanCornGrowersareclearwhatwewantand

18don'twant. Wedon'twantthecurrent WTO rules.

19Wedowantgoodrulesoftrade,likearealbanon

20exportdumping, which has been mentioned already

21thismorning.

22Iftheglobalgraincompanies are

23 banned from dumping grain, the world price will

24rise.Theriseinpricewillhelpfarmers

25 everywhere, both the U.S. and overseas. We need a

1 policythatpushes farmprices above the cost of 2 production. Asit nowstands, the current Farm 3 Bill, NAFTA, and WTO drive prices to record low

4levels, putting allofusatrisk.

5 The current WTO and NAFTA agreements

6 exclude the adjust ment of tariffs for the purpose of

7counteringmanipulationofcurrencyvalue. Asa

8result, Mexico, Canada, and other countries have

9takenadvantageofthisloopholeanddevaluedtheir

10 currency to gain an unfair competitive advantage

11overtheU.S.TheendresultisthatU.S.farm

12commodities are more expensive in these countries,

13 and the exports of the secount ries both to the

14UnitedStatesorothernationshaveanunfairprice

15advantageover U.S.-produced goods due to these

16currencyvaluemanipulations.

17 While Congress and the Clinton

18Administrationhascutfarmcommoditypricesin

19othercountries indirect response to the Uruguay

20Round, anumber of other countries have either

21 failed to live up to their commitments or have asked

- 22 to be excused from the secommit ments. Hungary, for
- 23 example, has failed to live up to promise smade to
- 24 be excused from commitments made during the previous
- 25 round of tradenegotiation.

1 Both NAFTA and the WTO agreement have

2ledtomuchgreatervolumeofimportedfoodsinthe

3UnitedStates, while the budget for foods a fety

4inspectionsattheborderhavebeenfrozenorcut.

5Theendresultisahugeincreaseinuninspected

6imports,leadingtoasharpincreaseinfoodsafety

7incidents.Withoutpropercountryoforigin

8labeling, allfarmers, U.S. and overseasalike,

9sufferfromalossofpublicconfidencewhilethese

10 foodsafety scandal soccur.

11Fallingwages, manipulation of

12currencyvalues, and weaken forcement of laborand

13environmentallawshasledtoshiftsinthe

14productionofanumberoffreshfruitandvegetable

15cropstoMexico, with vine-ripetomatoes being the

16hardesthit. Wewillsoonlosethisentire industry

17unlesschangesaremadeinbothNAFTAandWTO

18rules.

19Icutminedown,hopefullytosavea

20littletime.Becauseyouwasrunningsofarbehind,

21 Iwas a fraidy ouwer egoing to leave me plumbout of

22theprogram.SoIwantedtorushthroughit,then.

23MR.GALVIN:Wewouldn'thaveleft

24youout,Iassureyou.

25MR.KING:Okay.

1MR.GALVIN:Weappreciateyour

2effortstokeepitshort.

3Couldwetalkalittlebitabout

4NAFTAasitrelatestocorn,andwhyitisyou

5 suggest that we may be walk away from the NAFTA

6agreementoncorn?Youknow,ifyoulookatthe

7figuresforcornexportstoMexico, wehitarecord

8backin'96,somethinglike1.3billiondollarsin

9totalfeedgrainexportstoMexico.Thatnumberhas

10comeoffabitsince, but more due to price declines

11thanvolumedeclines. Andifyou'lllookatthe

12scheduleundertheNAFTA, we'rebasically guaranteed

13increasedaccesshereoutoverthenextsevenor

14eightyearswhenMexicohastocontinuetolowerits

15tariffsoncorn.

16Soitlookslikeit'sgotusona

17steadypathtowardbasicallywideopenaccessto

18Mexicowhenitcomestocorn.SoI'mjustcurious

19ifyoucould--

20MR.KING:Well,Tim,Iagreewith

21 what you're saying, that Mexico has comeal ongway

22 from what the y--the previous several years ago.

23Buttheproblemyou'regoingtorunintothere,is

24eventhoughtheyhaveaccesstoourcorn,for

25example, with a low loan rate like this that

1Congresshassetinthis'95FarmBill,whichis

2killingus;yougettoolowaloanrate,you'vegot

3lessthantwodollarsforcorn.Nobodycanlive

4withthat. Thentome, the guysare not going to be

5raisingit.

6Inotherwords, they'renotgoing to

7beouttheretoraisethatcorn. They'regoing to

8putitinCRP's, just like they'redoing now. CRP

9hasbeenaverysuccessfulprogram, and its the only

10 diversion programwe' vegot. I've pleaded for years

11inCongressandtestifiedmany,manytimeson

12raisingtheloanrateatleasttothecostof

13productionandto--youknow,let'shavesome

14supplymanagement.SomeCongressmenliketocallit

15inventorycontrol.Idon'tcarewhattheycallit.

16Weneedtoraiseitjustasmuchaswecan,

17otherwise, whyraiseit?

18Soitmaybethefactthatitgetsso

19cheapthattheycan'taffordtoraiseitover

20there.Mexicocanholleralltheywantto,you

21know, but it would be nice to have a customer. But

22wewant--weasfarmerswanttomakeaprofitfora

23change. We'retiredofgoingbroke.

24MR.GALVIN:Allright.No.I

25 understand that and I understand your concerns about

1domestic farmpolicy and the changes in the '96Farm

2Bill.ButI'mjustnotclearastohowthat

3situationwouldbefixedbyuspullingoutofthe

4NAFTA--

5MR.KING:Well,itwouldn't.I

6wouldn't.Ihearwhatyou'resaying,andthat

7reallydoesn'trelatetowhatwe'retalkingabout

8here.We'retalkingabouttradeanddomesticfarm

9policy.And,ofcourse,wedon'thaveeitherone

10that's worthadern. That's our problem.

11Butasfarasthetradeisconcerned,

12ifyoudon'thavethatpriceuptherewherewecan

13getabetterprice, the rest of the world is waiting

14toraisetheirprice. They'rewaiting on the U.S.

15 and always have. You know, we got down to less than

16\$2corn,andrightnowwheatisrightat\$2.And

17it's just terrible. There's now ayaguycan make

18100bushels--andcan'tmakeanymoney. Andthat's

19aheckofayield.

20MR.GALVIN:Iunderstand.Anyother

21questions?

22Thanks, Carl. Appreciateit.

23MR.KING:Okay.Thankyou.

24MR.PURCELL:Okay.Nextup,David

25 Cleavinger, the Texas Wheat Producers Association.

1MR.CLEAVINGER:Goodmorning.My

2 name is David Cleavinger. Is erve a schair man of

3the Texas Wheat Board, which provides export market

4developmentandpromotion, research and tradepolicy

5services for an estimated 33,000 wheat farmers in

6thestate.IamafarmerintheTexasPanhandle,so

7thesenegotiationsonwhichyouareabouttoembark

8willnotonlyhaveadirectimpactonmeandmy

9 operation, but also to the farmers and ranchers of

10Texas.

11Themost--itisimportantthatwe

12buildafairworldtradingsystem.Themostrecent

13UruguayRoundandpredecessorbilateralnegotiations

14endedupbysharplyreducingavailableoptionsfor

15 offsetting unfairtrade practices, and has led to

16thedisastrousfarmincomewhichwehavenow

17 witnessed over the recent years. In fact, as I was

18preparingtocometothesehearings, thewheat

19markethita22-yearlowyesterday.ThepriceI

20receivedatmarketwas\$2.10asofyesterday.

21TheimplementationoftheUruguay

- 22 Round provides for further negotiations in the areas
- 23 of mark et access, domestic supports, and export
- 24 subsidies. Weurgethe continuation of negotiations
- 25forreformintheseareassincewehaveseenan

1unevencompliancethathasadverselyaffectedU.S.

2agriculturetrade.

3Ifthepastisanyevidence,we

4believeweandournegotiatorsmustbegina

5negotiationfornewcommitments with an

6understandingofothernations'compliancewiththe

7 past commitments. The U.S. should establish a sits

8highestpriorityeliminationofalldirectexport

9 subsidies within three years of the conclusion of

10theupcominground. If countries have not phased

11 outsubsidies in that time period, and acknowledging

12thattheU.S.hasalreadydiscontinuedsubsidieson

13itswheatexports, our negotiators should seriously

14considerthepossibilityofresumingourwheat

15 exports ubsidies programs so they can be used as

16leverageagainstourcompetitors.

17 Eliminating State Trading Export

18monopolies should be another high priority. State

19TradingExportersfreelyadmittoprice

20discrimination, and such discriminatory pricing

21amountstoanimplicitexportsubsidy.Failureto

- 22 reform the semon opolies will continue a distinct and
- 23 serious distortion in world wheat markets.
- 24Producersinthosecountriesneedtohavemorethan
- 25 one option for selling their crops as a way of

1endingthemonopolypricingofwheatboards. This

2wouldbefullyconsistentwiththeopenmarket

3economyadvocatedbytheWTO.

4TheTexasWheatBoardbelievesthe

5U.S.hassignificantlyreformeditsdomesticsupport

6 programs ince the conclusion of the Uruguay Round.

7Thepassageofthe'96FarmBillputtheU.S.levels

8of support far below the ceilings established in the

9UruguayRound.U.S.negotiatorsshouldseekto

10 eliminate the inequities that persist between the

11U.S.andourcompetitors.

12Wesupportthecontinuation of the

13current" greenbox "conditions on direct payments,

14whichallowdirectpaymentstoproducersthatare

15notlinkedtoproductiondecisions.Inaddition,

16the" greenbox "should include market loss payments,

17cropinsurance, disasterpayments, and environmental

18programs. Alsomarketing loans should continue to

19beexemptfromfurther support reductions.

20IwouldliketostressthattheTexas

21WheatBoardsupportsinternationalanddomestic

22trade, as our very livelihood is dependent on

23 markets. We also realize that the rewill never be a

24perfecttradingsituationforeveryone. Webelieve

25 it is the role of our agency towork for the

1economicwell-beingofallproducers,andforanyof 2themtohaveafuture,wewillrequireadequate 3domesticprogramstobolsterfarmincomewhen 4economicconditionsareatsuchextremelowlevels 5thatisitjustified.

6Ourproducersneedtobeassuredof 7marketaccesstoworld'sconsumers. The experiences 8ofthepastfewyearsintheU.S.haveproventobe 9veryfrustratingforwheatfarmers.Weurgethose 10 involved in the negotiation stobesolid in their 11 position that other countries will have to lower 12theirtariffsmoreinlinewiththosenowprevalent 13intheU.S.WealsostronglysuggestthattheU.S. 14useallprogramsavailabletomeettrade-restricting 15 policies of our competitors around the world. 16Inclosing, wear egrateful for the 17 opportunity to comment on the process leading to the 18launchofthe1999WTOnegotiationsonagriculture. 19Weareconvincedthatthefutureoffarmingaswe 20nowknowitintheU.S.isdirectlyatstakebythe

21decisionsthatwillbemade.Wecannotcontinueto

- 22 existifour farmers and ranchers continue to lose
- 23tradeopportunities in a singular manner to what
- 24theyhaveexperiencedinrecentagreements.Itis
- 25imperativethattheU.S.negotiatorssustaina

1strongapproachandovercomesomeoftheopportunity

2lossesthatweretradedawaybyearliertrade

3negotiators.Intheabsenceofanaggressiveexport

4program, we have our doubts almost about how the

5U.S. will be able to position itself to achieve this

6so-calledlevelplayingfield.

7WerespectfullyurgetheCongressto

8 work with the Administration to adopt and implement

9astrategicexportplanforU.S.agcommoditiesin

10which--thiscommitmentwasmadeinaSeptember

1130th,1994letterfromformerUSDASecretaryEspy

12andformerOMBDirectorRivlinandtheneedsofU.S.

13productionforexportmarketopportunities.

14Mywrittenstatementprovidesmore

15 detailed information on the aspects of our thoughts

16ontradenegotiations, and we thankyou for being

17abletopresentourviewstoday.

18NowI'dliketogiveyouacopyof

19thisletterthatwaswrittenbyFormerSecretary

20Espy, and in that letter it justs ays, the enactment

21ofGATTisvital--thiswasin1994.Theenactment

22 of GATT is vital to continuing economic expansion of

23U.S.expandingagricultureopportunities.Our

24 estimates are that implementation of the GATT

25 agreement will lead to a cumulative increase in U.S.

1agricultureexports, and this increase will result

2ingainingjobsandsoforth.

3Thenitcallsfor, we also want to

4reiteratetheAdministration'scommitmenttouseall

5 export programs, as well as other programs, to

6maximizelevelsallowedunderGATTandU.S.law.

7Andourquestionis, have these been

8used.

9MR.GALVIN:Letmesayinresponse

10tothat, basically, these programs have been fully

11 funded over the last six years and we've been quite

12aggressiveinusing,forexample,ourexporter

13creditguaranteeprogram, wherelastyear we put out

14nearly5.9billiondollarsinexportcredit

15 guarantees. A little over four billion dollars of

16thattotalwasactuallyusedbythetrade,butwe

17putout5.8billion.AndIthinkthatwasvery

18 in strumental in allowing our exports to continue in

19someoftheseAsianmarketslikeKoreaandothers

20thatwerereallyhitbythecrises.

21Wealso, asyouknow, really have an

- 22 unprecedented whe at donation program underway right
- 23 now, more than five million metric tons of wheat
- 24beingdonatedthisyear. That by far exceeds
- 25 anything we've done in the past.

1Theoneareawherewe'veobviously

2notbeenasaggressiveisintheuseofourexport

3enhancementprogram. Andthat's not because of

4unwillingnessto, youknow, challenge the

5competitionoranythinglikethat,it'sbasically

6becauseofourassessmentthatitwouldbias

7additionalmarketshareinthecurrentmarket

8environment; and, infact, it could just further

9depresspricesacrosstheboard, eventothepoint

10 where wheat would go out just for feeding purposes

11 and displace a lot of our corn exports and that sort

12ofthing. And for that reason we just wouldn't be

13anybetteroff.

14Sowiththatoneexception,Ido

15thinkwe'vebeenquiteaggressiveinusingallthe

16exporttoolsavailabletous,includingthemarket

17accessprogram,thecooperativeprogram,andthose

18othertoolsthatwereallyhavetokeepusinthe

19game.

20MR.CLEAVINGER:Inresponseto

21Sharon'squestionwhileagoabouttheopeningSPSup

- 22andhowareyougoingtodothat,wefeellikeother
- 23countriesaregoingto--aregoingtoopenitup.
- 24 We're not going to have to. And we just need to be
- 25 prepared, that the rules that are in place right now

1areadequate, and there's noneed to make more rules

2forGMO's.Andsotherefore,wejustneedtobe

3preparedonthedefensive and just leave things as

4theyare.

5MR.ACETO: Ihaveon equestion.

6You'rethefirstspeakertobringintheissueof-

7it'sinyourstatement-ofexportcredit

8guarantees. And you just mentioned it as well. And

9InoticethatyouhadsaidthatyouwantU.S.

10 negotiators to refrain from accepting the rules that

11 would diminish the effectiveness of the program.

12We'vealreadyknownorwe'vealreadyheardfromsome

13oftheotherWTOmembersthattheywillbepushing

14onthisissueunderthekindofgeneralcategoryof

15exportcompetition.

16Inyourview, what are some of the

17elementsthatdomakeiteffective?Isitthe

18volumeorisitthelengthofthetenure?Because

19 obviously, wheat is a very important commodity that

20benefitsfromtheprogram.

21MR.CLEAVINGER: Well, we just feel

22likethisisanotherwaytouseexportsandget

23export, and if you have the secredit guarantees, it

24 allows countries that can come in here and buy more

25wheatfromus. Andwejustfeellikethat'sa

1betterwaytousetheseprograms.

2MR.ACETO:Thanks.

3MR.PURCELL:Ournextpresenterwill

4beCarlWeets,representingtheTexasSoybean

5Association.

6MR.WEETS:Thankyouforgivingme

7anopportunitytotalktoyoutoday.I'mCarl

8Weets.I'masoybeanandgrainfarmerfromCooper,

9Texas.OnbehalfoftheTexasSoybeanGrowers,I'd

10liketothankyoufortheopportunitytopresentour

11recommendationsonagriculturetradeprograms--on

12agriculturetradeprioritiesfortheupcominground

13oftheWTOnegotiations.

14WhentheTitanicsunk,thereweren't

15 enough life boats for all those on board, and the

16onesthatweren'tused--andtheonesthatwere,

17weren'tusedtotheirfullcapacity. Theagship of

18AmericaissinkingjustasfastastheTitanicdid

19 years ago, and there aren't enough life boats for

20evenafractionoftheAmericanfarmer.Andthe

21 ones Administration has at their disposal are not

- 22beingusedproperly,andsomeofthelifeboatsand
- 23safetynetsarefullofholes.
- 24 Everyother row of soy bean sproduced
- 25 by growers is exported overseas. Soy beans and soy

1productsareournation'slargestagricultural

2exportcommodity,andexportsreachedthe9billion

3dollarmarkinthe'98,'99marketingyear.My

4economiclivelihoodandthatofallU.S.soybean

5growersislinkedtoexports.

6Webelievethatalevelplayingfield

7 will greatly be nefit U.S. soy be an growers by giving

8usincreasedaccesstoforeignmarkets, eliminating

9unfairexportpractices, and stimulating demand

10 among customers. We believe that the United States

11shouldvigorouslypursuethisinitiativeinthenext

12WTOround.ItispasttimefortheUnitedStatesto

13 take of fthe gloves and be aggressive in pursuing

14thelevelplayingfieldthattheAdministrationhas

15promisedussincethepassageoftheFreedomtoFarm

16Act.

17TheAdministrationhasfailedto

18protectAmericansoybeangrowersfromunfairtrade

19practicesoftheEuropeanUnion.TheEuropeanUnion

20continues to subsidize their agsector inviolation

21oftheGATTagreement.TheEUcontinuestouse

- 22 exports ubsidies to under cut American commodities
- 23salesinnumerouscountries.Recently,theEUhas
- 24usedunsubstantiatedclaimsofunsafefoodinorder
- 25 to prohibits ales of GMO's in Europe. If the beef

1debaclecanbeusedasameasuringstick,the 2 American farmer can look forward to five years of3foot-draggingbytheEUandfiveyearsofwhiningby 4ouradministrationthattheEUisnotusingsound 5science. Hopefully we can then enjoy the WTO ruling 6inourfavorandonlyto--onlytohavetheEU 7thumbtheircollectivenosesatusandstilldenyus 8accesstotheirmarkets, all the while continuing to 9subsidizetheirfarmersandtheirexports, further 10loweringfarmers'marketshareoftheworld 11commoditymarket. 12Meanwhile,theFreedomtoFarmAct 13willhaverunitscourseandlefttheAmerican 14farmerswithnosubsidiesandfightingnotjust 15 other countries' farmers but the government's as 16well.Ouradministrationhasnotliveduptotheir 17endofthebargain. Theyrefusetotake off the 18glovesandfightbackwiththetoolstheyhave 19available; for example, to refuse to use the EEP. 20TheAdministrationstatesthattheywanttogointo 21WTOroundsnegotiationswithcleanhandsonexports 22intheexportarena.Dirtyhandsdon'tseemto

23 bother the EU. They want to protect their ag

24sector, while our administration prefer sto give us

25debtserviceandkeeptheirhandscleanandmoney

1availableforotherareasofthebudget.

 $\label{thm:continuous} 2 The Texas Soybean Growers and the$ 

3farmerswouldpreferthattheAdministration giveus

4lesslipserviceandmoreaction.Getourhands

5dirtyandprotectus from unfair tradepractices

6 like was promised us when the Freedom to Farm Act

7waspassed.Wearelivinguptoourendofthe

8agreement, now please live up to yours.

9Rulingsgoverningbiotechtrademust

10beincludedintheWTOroundinordertoensure

11science-basedregulatoryreviewsandtraderules.

12Theserules also need to be recognized for the

13disparityinfeeschargedbysamecompanies for

14identicalinputs, suchastheRhina(sp)greenbean

15technologyfees.Farmersinforeigncountriesare

16notbeingchargedthesefeesfortheuseoftheir

17GMOtechnology, while farmers in the U.S. are not

18onlypayingforthefeesassessedus,butalso

19financingthefeesforothercountries. And the

20technologyfeesarecontinuallybeingraisedevery

21 year.

- 22Inessence,theAmericanfarmeris
- 23 subsidizing his competitors in foreign countries by
- 24 funding research and development of new technology.
- 25 The level playing field that the Administrations o

1eagerlyembracedin1995mustnotonlycoverequal 2accessmarketsbutalsoequalaccesstothose 3imports.

4TheseWTOrulesmustsupersedethe
5rulesofanyotherinternationaltradeagreement,
6andtheWTOappearstobealmostaseffectiveasthe
7LeagueofNationsinthe1920s.TheTexasSoybean
8GrowersfeelthatiftheWTOrefusestotakeaction
9onunfairtradebarriersbasedonunsoundscience,
10thattheU.S.shouldtakeactiontocorrectthe
11problem.

12WeaknessinthecurrentWTOdispute
13settlementsystemisreadilyapparent.TheUnited
14Statesshouldnothavetofilecomplaintafter
15complaintinordertoachievecompliancewitha
16disputesettlementpanelthatruledinfavorofthe
17UnitedStates.

18Aswehavestatedbefore, WTO is
19almostaseffectiveasthe League of Nations and
20needs serious work in order to protect the American
21farmer's market from unfair tradepractices.

- 22 Providing in come and other support to a gricultural
- 23producershasbeenakeyfeatureofagpolicyin
- 24 many nations, including our own. WTO rules should
- 25 address this situation. EU refuses to pass any

1 substantial reforms or subsidies that are paid to
2 their agsector. Currently, the EU subsidizes their
3 agsector to the tune of 150 billion dollars, while
4 the U.S. lags far behind. Another example of
5 Administration's failure to provide a level playing
6 field.

7U.S.Agricultureneedstobe

8represented in the worldnegotiations by negotiators

9whoarededicatedtoprotectingAmerican

10agriculture.Currently,agricultureisbeingused

11asapawninatradingshipintheworldof

12commerce. Agriculture is a stepchild to any trade

13advantagewemighthave--thatweshouldhave

14shouldnotbetradedawaybyournegotiatorsin

15ordertogainadvantageinotherareas.Secretary

16GlickmanandtheUSDAneedstobetheAmerican

17farmer'svoiceinWashingtonandaroundtheworldin

18theupcomingGATTnegotiations.

19Thankyouagainforyourtimeandthe

20 priorities of the Soybean Growers of Texas.

21MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Carl.We

22appreciateyourstatement.I'dliketogoback,if

23 I could, to your concerns about our not using the

24 EEP program. Ijust want to assure you that the

25 fact that we 'renot using it is not due at all to

1 any desire on our part to go into the next round 2 with, quote, you know, clean hands when it comes to 3 export subsidies.

4AsIindicatedearlier,thereason

5we'vedecidednottouseEEPcurrentlyisbecauseof
6ourconcernthatifweuseitinthispresentworld
7environment,wherewe'veseenfourstraightyearsof
8recordworldproduction,allwe'regoingtodois
9drivepricesdownfurther.Andthat'sgoingtocome

11exportsandotherthingsthatwe'retryingtomove.

10backtobiteusintermsofdisplacingourcorn

12AndI'dalsoliketojustkindof

13 reiterate once again that we've been very, very

14 aggressive in our use of export credit guarantees

15 and in commodity donations; in fact, to the point

16 where we're taking a lot of steady critic is mfrom

17 the Australians, the Canadians, the Argentines, and

18 others that we're using export credit sunfairly,

19 that we're donating commodities unfairly to the

20 point where we're displacing commercial sales, that

21sortofthing.

- 22Iwanttosaythatthatcriticism
- 23doesn'tbotherusatUSDA.I'mjusttellingyou
- 24 that we are getting it pretty hard and heavy, to the
- 25 point where these other countries are saying that

1 they want to make sure that in the next WTO, that

2therearethesefurtherdisciplinesonexportcredit

3guaranteesorfurtherdisciplinesontheuseof

4commoditydonationsforfoodaid.

5SoIunderstandyourpointthatwe

6oughttobeusingEEPmoreaggressively,although

7I'mnotsureifwhatyou'resayingisweoughtto

8useEEPforsoybeanexports.Becauseifthat'swhat

9you'resaying,frankly,that'sthefirsttimeI've

10heardthatthesoybeanindustrywouldlikeustouse

11EEPforsoybeans.ButIthinkthat'saninteresting

12point,if,indeed,that'swhatyou'resuggesting

13today.

14MR.WEETS:It'ssomethingtobe

15lookedat,maybe.Onthedonations,Ithinkwhat's

16happeningintheworldtoday,theseforeign

17countries are using the GMO issue and the donation

18issueasamarketingplay.Becausethey'resaying,

19whyshouldwebuywheatfromyouorbeansfromyou

20orwhatever; you'regoing to give them to us

21anyway. Youknow, Idon't like that attitude, but I

22 don't know what we're going to do about it.

23 The GMO in Europe, Ithinkit's the

24same.It's justamarket playis allitis.

25 They 'rejust trying to be at the price of stuff

1down.Thenallofsuddenthey'llcomeuponeday,
2andthey'regoingtobuysomewhenitgetsfinally
3cheapenough,butthey'regoingfeellikethey're
4stealingit.That'swhat'shappening.Chinahas
5beendoingitforyears.Everybodyisusingthe
6UnitedStatesasacashcowinthiswholetrade
7deal,andit'sgettingprettytiring.

8MR.GALVIN:Idounderstandyour

9concernsaboutGMO'sasitrelatestoourtradewith
10Europe.Iwillsay,though,thateventhoughinthe
11caseofcorn,wehave,forthetimebeing,lostour
12200milliondollarsinannualcornsalestoEurope
13becauseoftheGMOissue,atleastinthecaseof
14soybeans,wecontinuetomovemorethantwobillion
15dollarsworthofsoybeanandsoybeanproductsto
16Europe.Eventhoughalotofthosesoybeans,of
17course,areround-upready,genetically-modified
18soybeans.

19Soasofyet,ithasn'thadthatreal
20directimpactonsoybeanexportstoEurope.And,of
21course,we'llbe,youknow,continuingourefforts

22tomakesurethatthereisn'tsomesortofbacklash

23onsoybeans.

24MR.WEETS:Soybeans,Iguess,have

25 been real lucky in the fact that you can use

1 soybeans for somany different things. And they are

2gainingmarketshareinotherpartsoftheworld.I

3justhatetoseetheseforeigncountriesusing all

4theselittlethingsasaplaytogetthemarket

5down.I'mgettingprettytiredofthat.

6MR.GALVIN:No.Iunderstand,

7Carl.

8MR.WEETS:Anyway,Ijust--I

9wantedyoutolookatthat.

10Andthen, youknow, on the tech fees,

11thatisareal--thatisarealproblem.These

12companies don't seem to understand how much cost per

13acreitactuallyis.AndIwastold,like,itwas

14\$4anacre.Well,that'safarce.Onoursoybeans

15thatwegrow, the technology fee is getting to be

16morethantherentofthefarm.Youknow,10or

17moredollarsanacrejustforatechnologyfeeisn't

18right.AndtheguysinSouthAmericaaren'thaving

19topayit, and there 's certain seed companies in the

20UnitedStatesthatdon'tpayit.Theyjustkeep

21 sticking itto certain ones. And the fact that

22thesecompanies are buying these edcompanies,

23 they 'retaking the technology fees all back to

24themselvesandthey'renot--intheseotherforeign

25 countries, they 'rejust not charging these fees.

1Andthatneedstobelookedat.

2MR.GALVIN:That's interesting.I

3wasn'tawarethatinArgentina,forexample,that

4therearefarmersthatareplantingsoybeansorBT

5cornwhoarenotpayingatechnologyfee.

6MR.WEETS:It'sagainst--Ibelieve

7it's against the law down the retocharge the fees.

8MR.GALVIN: Allright. Thankyou.

9MR.PURCELL:Okay.Wearenow

10gettingintoourlunchtime. Andareminder, those

11 of youthathave submitted writtent estimony, you

12don'tnecessarilyhavetoread,youknow,thewhole

13thing.Ifyoucouldjustsummarizeittohelpus

14getbackonourschedule.

15 Nextup, Jack Cobb, the Texas Grain

16SorghumBoard.

17MR.COBB:Goodmorning.We

18appreciatetheopportunitytosharewithyousomeof

19 our views of the sorghumindustry. Myname is Jack

20CobbandIliveinPlains, Texas. I'mafarmerand

21 I produces or ghumand cotton. This year it 's about

- 22equalamounts.
- 23 Irepresent the Texas Grain Sorghum
- 24ProducersBoard,andamalsoamemberofthe
- 25 National Sorghum Producers Board. I've been

6policy.

1 involved in the seorganizations for more than a
2 decade. I also served as Grain Sorghum
3 Representative to the U.S. Grains Council Trade
4 Policy Coordinating Committee. And through this I
5 represents or ghumproducers on international trade

7Sorghumbusinessisratherlargein

8Texas. Typically, it accounts for about one-third

9toone-halfofthenational production, and the

10 value of the Texas sorghumusually exceeds a half

11 billion dollars annually. It's the number two row

12crop,inacres,inthestateofTexas,ranging

13somewherebetweentwoandahalfmilliontothree

14millionacreseachyear.Beingabletoefficiently

15producegrainsorghum, well, wein Texasneed

16marketswherewecansellourgrain.Almost50

17percentofourcropinTexasisexportedto

18internationalmarkets;abouthalfofthemajor

19customerwehaveisMexico,followedbyJapanand

20thenSpain.

21Thereareseveralissueswe'dliketo

- 22addressthismorning,andthisisadded--orit
- 23willbeonyournewtestimonythatwe--you'vejust
- 24 be enhanded. But it's the GMO free grain supply.
- 25Andthesecondthingistheinclusionofminimum

1 access agreements and preferential market access

2arrangements.Thirdisthereductionoftariffsand

3theincreaseoftariffratequotas. The fourth

4thingistheenforcementofAgreementonApplication

5ofSanitaryandPhytosanitaryMeasures.Fifthis

6 export subsidies, and then six this the State Trade

7Enterprisesorentities.

8Grainsorghumstandsreadytosupply

9someoftheneedsthatmayhavebeenlost,orsome

10ofthemarketsthatmayhavebeenlostorcould

11potentiallybelostduetoGMO's.Thereare

12currentlynoJuneinsertionsorbiologicalevents

13thathappeningrainsorghumplantsthatarethe

14productofbiotechnologyresearch.Ourminimum

15accessagreementsandpreferentialmarket

16arrangements, we support the inclusion of sorghumin

17minimumaccessarrangements, and these agreements

18 can provide opportunities in the immediate future

19 for increased exports of U.S. and Texas sorghum.

20Alsointhefuture, astrade

21restrictionsarereducedoreliminated, well,

- 22 minimum mark et access agreements provide for future
- 23 for eigngrains or ghummarket development.
- 24Weseeareductionoftariffsand
- 25 increase of the Tariff Rate Quotas. We support

1these--thereduction of these imposed import

2 tariffs for grain and increase of the Tariff Rate

3Quotas. Increasing the Tariff Rate Quotas will also

4reducetheeffectsoftariffsthattheyhaveon

5restrictinginternationaltrade.

6Wewanttosupporttheagreementon

7applicationofsanitaryandphytosanitarymeasures.

8Wefeel,likemanyoftheothershavementioned

9today, that these are just restrictions to trade in

 $10 a great many instances and they {\it 'rereally not based}$ 

11onsoundscienceandethicaltrademeasures.

12Wewanttosupportthecomplete

13eliminationofexportsubsidies, and these export

14 subsidies artificially promote excess production in

15countriesthatusethem. And while it increases the

16amountofgrainontheinternationalmarket,it

17producesanartificiallylowprice. Wethinkwe

18wouldbemuchbetteroffifwecoulddoawaywith

19theseandthenletsupplyanddemandcontrolthe

20priceontheworldmarket.

21Finally,theU.S.andTexassorghum

- 22 producers encourage more transparency with respect
- 23 to the activities of the State Trading Entities.
- 24 Increase d transparency would allow the international
- 25 community to monitor the activities of these

1 entities for unfair trade practices in the markets.

2Weappreciateverymuchyourtimeand

3yourcomingtoTexas.Andweinviteyoutocome

4backandwelcomeyouagain.Arethereany

5questions?

6MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Jack.Goodto

7seeyouagain.

8MR.COBB:Goodtoseeyou.

9MR.GALVIN: Youknow, wedohave

10thisissueofnotbeingabletogetourcorninto

11 Spain and Portugal because of the GMO is sue right

12now.Doyouhaveasenseforifwechangesomeof

13thatpreferentialtreatmentfromcorntosorghum,if

14theremightbesomeadditionalopportunitiesthere

15 immediately to move more sorghum into Europe?

16MR.COBB:Yes,sir,wethinkthere

17 would be. That would not be a problem. We seem to

18havethesuppliesonhand.

19MR.GALVIN:Doesitgolargelyfor

20feedingpurposesorprocessorsrightnow?

21MR.COBB:Primarilyforprocessors

22 therein Spain is what our sorghumgoesto. Of

23course, itultimately wind supinfeed. We're

24 promoting a good bit of foodgrades or ghumaround

25theglobenowandinvariousothercountries,but

1 the rear eagreat number of things that sorghum

2worksquitewellon.

3MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Ihaveaquick

4question. Anumber of the speakers to day have

5talkedaboutStateTradingEnterprises,andyou

6calledforincreasedtransparency.Whatkindof

7information, specific information, doyouthink

8wouldbeusefulforStateTradingEnterprisesto

9makeavailablethatwouldhelpU.S.producers

10compete?

11MR.COBB:Someofthebigproblems

12thatI'veheardaboutisthe--well,takeCanada,

13 for instance. And that 's their transportation that

14theCanadianWheatBoardprovidesforthosethings.

15Ithinkifwecouldget--ifwecouldseethefull

16viewandthesethingsbetransparent, Ithinkit

17wouldbebeneficialtousandwecouldseejust

18wherealltheseenhancementtypethingsarecoming

19from.

20MR.GALVIN:Onthatpoint,ifI

21 could may be mention one other thing that we're

22 looking a tright now that might provide some more

23transparency, and that is, as many of you know,

24 every Thursday the Department of Agriculture puts

25 out this weekly Export Sales Report. And that 's

1 something that 's required by law that goes back to

21972,'73whenwehadthosebiggrainsalestothe

3SovietUnionthatcaughtalotofproducersby

4surprise, and the producers couldn't benefit, in

5manycases, from the bigin crease in prices that

6 occurred. So this reporting system was mandated so

7thatU.S.producerswouldhaveabetterideaofwhat

8currentsalesareoccurringonbehalfofU.S.

9exporters.

10 And this is a system that I think has

11workedquitewell. There's alotoftrade and

12producerinterestinthosereportsthatcomeout

13everyThursday.Plus,onadailybasis,U.S.

14companies are required to report really larges ales

15thatmightoccur,likesomethingexceeding100,000

16tonsofgrain, for example.

17Andonethingwearelookingatis

18thenotionofhavingthissortofreporting

19requirementappliedtoalltheothermajor

20exporters; frankly, whether they'resafetrading

21 enterprises or not. You know, the idea being that

22 if the rewas a lot more disclosure about sales and

23 destinations and quantities involved, that sort of

24thing,thenwewouldallbeplayingwithequal

25informationandthatwouldbebeneficialtoanybody

1thatusesthemarket.

2MR.COBB:Soundsgreat.Itwouldbe

3somethingwecancertainlysharewithourmembers.

4MR.GALVIN:Andagain,unlikealot

5ofthingsthataresuggested,Imean,thisis

6somethingthatwecurrentlydo.Werequireof

7ourselves, itworks well. Sowedon't thinkit's

8unreasonabletoexploretheideaofhavingitapply

9toothermajorexportersaswell.

10MR.COBB:Thankyou.

11MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.

12MR.PURCELL:Okay.We'regoingto

13makesomeadjustmentstotheschedule.We'regoing

14togoaheadandtakeourlunchbreaknow,andat

151:30we'llreturntothisroomandhearfromthe

16TexasGrainandFeedAssociation.

17Now,forthemedia,therewillbea

18mediaavailabilitywiththepanelists,andthatwill

19beinRoom010, which is right down the hall to your

20rightasyougooutthedoor.

21Sowiththat, we'lladjournthereand

22everyonebebackherereadytogoat1:30.

23(LUNCHRECESS).

24MR.PURCELL:Okay.Ifwecouldget

25youtotakeyourseats,we'llgetstartedherein

1theafternoonsession. Andagain, we'regoing to

2tryand--we'realreadybehindschedule.We're

3goingtotryandkeepfromgoinganyfurther

4behind.SoImightremindyouonceagain,ifyou've

5submittedwrittentestimony,there'snoneedtoread

6thewholething.Ifyoucanhitthehighlightsand

7raisesomequestions,thatwilldo,ifyoudon't

8wanttoreadyourwrittentestimony.

9Solet'sgetunderwaywiththe

10afternoonsession.First,fromtheTexasGrainand

11FeedAssociation,BenBoerner.

12MR.BOERNER:Thankyou.FirstI'd

13liketothankthemoderator.Hesaidtolighten

14thingsupjustabitafterlunch,becauseI

15understandsomepeoplecaughtsomeTexasTripleX

16chiliattheTexasChiliParlor.AndwhenI

17 prepared this presentation, Iknew that you-all were

18goingtobelisteningtoabunchofpeoplesaying

19thesamethingabouteverything, sominewasa

20summary.Sotosummarizethesummary,IguessIsay

21absolutelynothingabouteverything,andyou'll

22understand.

23Sowiththat, on behalf of the board

24 of directors and the members of the Texas Grain and

25 Feed Association, I want to thank all of you for

1allowingustoappearbeforeyoutoday.

2MynameisBenBoerner,Iserveas

3 president of the Texas Grain and Feed Association.

4Theassociationhasrepresentedthegrainandfeed

5industryofTexasforthelast101years.We

6currentlyhave650membersinvolvedinthestorage,

7transportation,andprocessingofgrainand

8feedstuffs.

9Recentlyourassociationadopteda

10policyinregardstotradeandtradeissues.Andin

11regardstogeneralagriculturalpolicy,the

12associationsupportspoliciesthatfosterfulland

13prudentutilizationofouragriculturalresources,

14andwealsosupportpoliciesthatinclude

15 multinational tradeagreements that eliminate

16market-destroyingtradebarriers.

17Andmorenowthaneverbefore,the

18futuresuccessofU.S.agricultureandcertainly

19Texasagricultureislinkedtoourcompetitiveness

20intheglobaltradearena. Wehavebeenthe

21 supporter of free trade, including pastagreements

22 such as NAFTA and the agreement sunder GATT. We've

23 also consistently supported China's MFN trade

24status.

25 Based on the negotiations that have

1 taken place already as well as the recent trade

2issuesthathavestifledourabilitytoexportin

3theworldmarket,theTexasGrainandFeed

4Associationmakesthefollowingstatements:Number

5one,thePresidentshouldhavefast-trackauthority

6inwhichtonegotiatetradeagreements; Chinashould

7begivenentryintotheWorldTradeOrganization;

8theacceptanceorrejection of Genetically-Modified

9Organisms should be based on sound science and not

10publichysteriaorpoliticalwhims. Testing and

11separatelystoringgrainsatthecountryelevatorto

12assureconsumersofGMO-freecornandothergrains

13 will hinder the ability of U.S. producers and

14handlerstocompeteintheworld.

15Therulesgoverningsanitaryand

16phytosanitarymeasuresshouldalsobebasedonsound

17scienceandnotusedasartificialtradebarriers,

18andwhenproblemsdoarise,theyneedtobe

19communicated to the industry in a timely fashion in

20 order to saveus time and also resources.

21Tariffsandsubsidiesshouldbe

22 reduced in order that all trading partners are

23tradingonalevelplayingfield;tradeagreements

24 should be enforced vigorously; and certainly last

25 but not least, the U.S. needs to exempt food and

1agriculturalproductsfromfutureunilateral

2economicsanctions.

3Weagainthankyouforallowingusto

4presentourviews,andI'llattempttoanswerany

5questionsyoumighthave.

6MR.ACETO:Ihaveaquestion

7regardingyourfourthpointthereabout

8communicating problems in a timely fashion to the

9industry.Isthereaspecificproblemthat'scome

10upinthepast--

11MR.BOERNER:Well,justfromthe

12standpoint--we'veencounteredoverthelast--I

13guesssinceNAFTAwaspassed,we'llencountersome

14problemswhereourmembersarestuckattheborder

15andthey'renotawareofsomethingthathadtaken

16place. They callus first, and we certainly hadn't

17heardanything,andthen,youknow,we'remaking

18phonecallstofindoutindeedwhatwastheactual

19problem.

20Sosomemechanism--Iknowthatwe

21 nowhave the ability, as opposed to four or five

22yearsago,to,youknow,quicklycommunicatethose

23particularissues. And I would certainly support

24thegovernment'suse--orworkingwithtrade

25 associations in making sure that word does get out.

1Becausesometimesittakestwoorthreetimesbefore

2theyactuallygetthemessage.

3MR.GALVIN:Mike,justonthat

4point,I'llmentionthatweareworkingatthe

5ForeignAgriculturalServiceatUSDAinputtingupa

6lotofthese--alotoftheinformationonSPS

7barriersonourwebsite, where people can pullit

8upeitherbycountryorbycommodityandthatsort

9ofthing, and have a very accessible inventory to

10lookat.

11AndI'dcertainlyencouragepeople

12generally,though,tolookatourFASwebsiteand

13seealltheinformationwehaveupthereabout

14tarifflevelsorcurrentestimatedproduction of

15commodities invarious countries or summaries of the

16UruguayRoundortheNAFTAorourtradeobjectives

17headingintothenextround.Therereallyisalot

18ofinformation.

19OneofthethingsthatIuseona

20regularbasisisjustthebasictradedatathatwe

21 putitout. You can go in and get copies of what we

- 22 callour BECO reports that will show you what our
- 23currentexportsandimportsaredoingbycountry,by
- 24commodity,thatsortofthing.Andit'sallvery
- 25handyandeasytoprintoffandthatsortofthing.

1MR.ACETO:Actually,ifIcouldjust

2followuponanotherthing,too,inanswertowhat

3Timjustsaid,isthatallthesesessionsaregoing

4tobeeventuallyputuponthatsite.Soifyou

5wanttoseewhatpeoplearesayinginothercities

6onthislisteningsession, you can get that

7informationthereaswell.

8MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.We

9appreciateit.

10MR.PURCELL:Okay.Thankyou.Next

11 upwe have Jerry Don Glover representing the Texas

12CornProducersBoard.

13MR.GLOVER:Goodevening.Iwasone

14ofthosethatpartookofthatchili,soI'mgladI'm

15thisfarawayfromyou.

16Tim, wewanttothankyouand the

17USDA and the US--pardonme. The USTR panel for

18thisopportunitytoexpressourconcerns.Iwould

19alsoliketothankSecretaryGlickmanand

20CommissionerBarshefskyforallowingtheselistening

21 session stobe part of this WTO information

22gatheringpointssothatyou-allcansortofhear

23 from us in the grass root southere.

24Imightexplainjustalittlebit.

25TheTexasCornProducersisa15-memberboardacross

1thestateofTexasthatrepresentsabout19,000corn 2farmersinTexas.Nextweekyou'llbeincorn 3country.Ithinkit'snextweekyou'llbeinIowa. 4Thisisalsocorncountry. We just wanted you to 5 know that we're a deficit state in the production of6corn.AlotofourcorndoesgointoMexico,which 7IthinkNAFTA--thatisoneofthefewthingsI 8thinkNAFTAhashelpedis,istheabilityforusto 9getcorninandout;thedroughtthatsortofhelped 10thecattleindustry, because they haven't had any 11cattletocomethisway.Soitwouldhavebeena 12trade-offasfaraswe'reconcerned. 13Since1964, we've seen the demise of 14theAmericanfarm.Acrossthenationourrankshave 15dwindledfromoverthreemilliondowntolessthan 16twomillion.Theacreagehasdeclinedsome,maybe 17abouttwomillion; weusedtofarmabout434million 18acresacrossthenationandnowwefarmabout432. 19Thecostofdoingbusinesshasescalated.Backin 20thosedayswheneverIfirststartedfarming,itcost

21theAmericanfarmerabout37billioninexpenses.

22Nowourexpensesareabout150billion.Andthisis

23byUSDA'slatestcensus.

24Thoughthere's been a decline in the

25farmpopulation, we'veincreased our productivity,

1 not only in farm products but in manufactured goods

2andservices. However, this increase in

3productivityhascomeattheexpenseoftheAmerican

4farmer.TheAmericanfarmerhasclothedandfed

5thisnationwithnoguaranteeofprofit, and now

6he'sborderingonbankruptcy.

7ItappearsthattheUnitedStateshas

8madeagreements in the past that probably did not

9havetheinformationthatyou'regatheringnow. The

10peopleI've--wedon'tfeellikethattheGATTand

11NAFTAtreaties really represented the feelings of

12Americanagriculture, butthey'veopenedourdoors,

13totallyopenedourdoors.Butyetsomeofthe

14foreigncountriesthatwe're--supposedlywere

15goingtotradewithduringthosetreatieshavebeen

16 closed and can be closed at any time they want to.

17Wedon'tfeelthattheword"freetrade"is

18somethingthatwereallybelievein. Webelievein

19fairtrade. And has been spokentoday, there have

20beeninstanceswherethedevaluationofsomeofthe

21 different currencies has really caused us problems.

- 22 And you would think with a good, strong dollar that
- 23 America would be really prospering in the world's
- 24 economy. But a strong dollar does nothing to us but
- 25itcutsoffourexports,becauseittakes

1more--andI'llexplaintothe--explainsmoreof
2our--takesmoreofourdollarstobuytheir
3goods.

4Ourgovernmentseemstobemore
5worriedaboutothercountries'abilitytokeeptheir
6ageconomystrong,withlittleregardofourown
7agriculturaleconomy.And,ofcourse,you-allheard
8today,andIknowyouhaveinsomeoftheothers,
9thatweshouldbededicatedtoeliminatingallof
10ourexportsubsidiesinthenextWTOroundsandto
11restrictourowninternalsupportmeasures.Ithink
12ifthisisallowed,moreproducerswillbeforcedto
13leavethevitalindustryatafasterratethanwe've
14seeninthelast30years.Ruralcommunitieswill
15vanishunlessaprofitisplacedbackinto
16agriculture.

17TheAmericanfarmerhasbeenusedas
18apawnfortoomanyyearsintheworldaffairs.
19And,ofcourse,IknowmanyofushasreadDan
20Morgan'sbookbackyearsago.Oneofhischapters
21wastitled"Food,theUltimateWeapon."Wefeel

22likewehavebeenusedasaB-1bomberfortoolong,

23 with our government puttings anctions on different

24 countries and stopping our flow of goods. And, of

25 course, the re's been mention that Cuba and some of

1theothercountries, that those sanctions should be

2 openedupifyou'regoingtocallitafreemarket.

3BackinthosedayswheneverCarter

4putanembargoonus,nothingreallyhappenedtothe

5majorgraintrade. Itwasall putbackon our lap.

6Themajorgraintradesonlyjustrevertedtheir

7 route of grain and it finally got to Russia. The

8embargowasjustplacedonus, wefeellike.

9We'rehavingahardtimecompeting.

10I'mnotaprotectionist.Idon'tfeellikeI'ma

11 protectionist, but when it comes to a vital industry

12likeagricultureinAmerica,IguessImaybea

13protectionist.BecauseIdon'tfeellikeIcan

14competeasaproducerwith\$5adaylaborinMexico,

15Ican'tcompetewithEPA.There'snottheEPA

16standardsinsomeoftheothercountries. They

17don'thavethelaborunionsthathasforcedallof

18ourgoodsandpurchasesthatwepurchasetobeso

19high.

20So, youknow, I'mreally worried

21 about how we're going to be able to have this

- 22competition fairly with the changes in the
- 23 currencies and with them not having to live under
- 24thesamestandardsthatwedo.AndunlessAmerican
- 25farmerscanbeassuredareturnonhisinvestment,

1 how can he be expected to produce in the future?

2Now,Icouldhavespokealotabout

3whatwasgoingonheretoday,whatalltheother

4speakersweretalkingaboutasfarasaddressingthe

5tariffs, exportsubsidies, themarket access, the

6internal supports, the STE's, the environment and

7laborissues, and phytosanitary standards. But I

8wantyoutorealizefirstandforemostthattheag

9 sector of the American economy must be gintor ealize

10areasonableprofit.Forwithoutareasonable

11profit,noviableentitycansurvive.

12Andwe'rehavingahardtime

13surviving.Meandmysonfarmabout3,600acresup

14inthePanhandleofTexasandwecanlivewiththe

15 weather that Godgives us. I mean, we've lost three

16sectionsofcottonsincethefirstofMay.Wecan

17livewiththatbecausethat'ssortofanexpected

18deal.Butwecan'tlivewiththecommodityprices

19thatwehavetoday,andwethinkbecauseofwhere

20thecommoditypricesare,it'sbecausewe'veopened

21 our doors. And we don't want to be come as dependent

22 on food as we do for oil. My own personal belief

23thatourdependenceonoil--youknow, wethink

24 it 's only 15, 17 dollars a barrel, but really, I

25thinkitcostsmorelike\$100abarrel.Because

1we'resoreliantonthosepeopletodeliveritthat

2we'vegotourArmedServicesoverthereprotecting

3it.Idon'tthinktheAmericanconsumerwantstobe

4thatreliantonfoodthatwehavetosendourArmed

5Services. And unless we put profit back into

6agriculture, Ithinkthat's where we're headed.

7Iwon'tspendanymoreofyourtime,

8otherthan, Tim, what didyous ay while agoto some

9grainsorghumguyaboutgrowinggrainsorghumin

10Spainorsomething?Orsendingitoverthereand

11youwantedtonotsendcorn?

12MR.GALVIN:Onlyuntilwecanget

13themtoturnaround.

14MR.GLOVER:Okay.Allright.All

15right.Iunderstand,Tim.Butthethingaboutit

16is, youknow, we groweverything. We want to be

17abletoexportourcattle, wewant to be able to

18exportourgrainsorghum,ourwheat,ourrice,our

19sugar.Weneedaprofitinthosesowecan

20continue.

21MR.GALVIN:Iunderstand.

22MR.GLOVER:Thankyou.Any

23 questions?

24MR.GALVIN:Maybejustifyoucould

25 just kind of sort of boil it down to, do you think

2basicallysincetheUruguayRoundbecauseofthe 3tradeagreementswehaveinplace?Doyouthinkon 4thewholeit'sbeenpositiveornegative? 5MR.GLOVER:Well,it's according to 6whichwayyouwanttolookatit.Imean,ifyou 7didn'thavetheAsianflu,ifyoudidn'thaveChina 8becominganexporterinsteadofanimporter--I 9thinkChinaexportsalotoftheirgoodsattherisk 10oftheirownpeople.Idon'tthinktheyhaveany 11regardfortheirownpeople. Allthey'reneedingis 12somecurrency, and that 's the only way they can get 13itistoexport. 14Ifeellikethatpossibly--you 15know,cornisoneofthemajorexportingitemsalong 16withwheat.Probablyitwouldbe,butwiththe 17 major growth and the production of cornaround the18UnitedStates-theweatherhasbeensufficiently 19good-thatwejustoverproduced.Iwon'tsaythat 20FreedomtoFarmhasbeenourmajorproblem,itjust

21hasn'tansweredourproblem.

1corngrowershavebeenbetterorworseoffthelast,

- 22AndIknowthattherearecountries
- 23 that have their subsidies-Europe and all of those
- 24thathavetheirsubsidies-andifyoulookatour
- 25 expenditures last year as far as far mprogram

1 expenditures, we spent about 30 billion dollars that

2cametous.Butwe'restillindireneedforit.

3Sosee,moneycomingdownfromthe

4governmentisnotwhatwe'reneeding.We'reneeding

5tosellthatproductataprofitandletthatmoney

6flowbackthroughtheeconomy.

7MR.GALVIN:Appreciateit.

8MR.GLOVER:Thankyou,Tim.

9MR.PURCELL:Thenextspeakerwill

10 be Jim Horne from the Kerr Center for Sustainable

11Agriculture.

12MR.HORNE:Thankyou,Panel,for

13thisopportunitytocomebeforeyou.Iserveas

14 president of the Kerr Center for Sustainable

15Agriculture. I'veserved also in a capacity on a

16scopingtaskforceforsustainableagricultureon

17PresidentClinton'sCouncilforSustainable

18 Development. I'm also a farmer and a rancher all of

19mylife,andtodayIwouldliketojustkindofset

20abacksetfortradeissuesandlookatitfroma

21 holistic and a more--perhaps a sustainable point

22ofview,notreallyrepresentingeachdifferent

23commodity, as that's well been done to day.

24SoI'llbespeakingabouttrade

25 policy on the structure of a griculture, tradepolicy

1thatshouldworkforfarmersandnotagainstthem, 2andtradepolicyanditseffectontheenvironment 3andnaturalresources.

4Acountry'stradeandagpolicyare 5inter-linked.Onecannotseparatethetwo.The 6purposebehindmajoragpolicydecisionsintheU.S. 7historicallyhavebeenallotments, subsidies, supply 8management, and other tools. And it is not just to 9balancesupplyanddemandandstabilizenetfarm 10income, but also to increase exports. And it is for 11thisreasonthetradepolicyshouldbemadeto 12explicitlyreflectnotjustthecostofproduction 13ofcommodities-fuel, fertilizers, and those sort 14ofinputs-butalsothosehiddencoststhatare 15degradingtheenvironment, such a sour soil, the 16soilerosion, and each bushel of beans or corn that 17 weship overseas. These are hidden costs that 18affecttheproductivecapacityofourfarm/ranch. 19Thetradepolicymusttakeinto 20accountitseffectonthestructureofaginthe

21U.S.Ifpolicyfavorsthecontinuedexpansion of

- 22 the industrial model of ag, then we will have less
- 23familyfarmers,lessstewardshipofnatural
- 24resources, and declining rural communities. Food
- 25 security and quality of life is sueswill accelerate

1assignificantproblemsforourcountry.

2Historically,theresultoffarm

3policywasconsolidation,ruralcommunitydecline,

4andthepriceofsubsidiesbeingbidintotheprice

5offarmland. Weallknow that the era of the

6vanishingfarmbetween1950and1980,wherewesaw

7justinthelast20years,over300,000farmsand62

8percentofallthefarmsdisappearsince1950.And

9whilemanybelievethatmovingpeopleoutof

10agriculturemakesusanefficientagricultural

11 system, the truthist hat the price of commodities

12suchaswheatandbeansandothercommodities are

13stillat1960,1970levels.Producingsurpluses

14withonlythehopeofexportmarketsisnotrational

15intermsofgoodresourceallocation.

16There's also clear evidence, as the

17Departmenthasrecognized,thatthereis

18 concentration in farming and a gribusiness. To day

19lessthan20percentofthelargestfarmsare

20responsiblefor80percentofthereceipts.The

21 largest broiler operations account for 97 percent of

- 22salesnationwide;lessthanfourpercentofthe
- 23 largest farm sproducet wo-third softhe vegetables,
- 24sweetcorn, and melons in this country.
- 25Andthenwemovetothemeatsector.

1Wefindfourlargefirmscontrolling80percentof

2themeat-packingbusinessandfourlargefirms

3controlling24percentofthetotalbushelsproduced

4ofgraininthiscountry.

5Sowe'redowntoseeingthatabout

6fourpercentofthepopulation of farmers in the

7U.S.isearning57percentofallfarmreceipts.

8Eveniffarmersusetheverybestmanagement

9techniquesinproducingfood,themostsophisticated

10 marketing techniques, such as the use of futures and

11 options, it is not enough. They cannot even come

12 close to negating the effect of a handful of firms

13controllingthemarket.Exportpolicyandtrade

14policyoftenfavorstheselargecorporateentities

15ratherthanthenation's family farms.

16Tradepolicyalsoaffectsthe

17structureandtypeofagricultureinthecountries

18 wear exporting to. In those countries that are

19developing, it is not right to encourage

20monoculture, factory styles of production, and the

21 displacement of indigenous people--the indigenous

22 population from rural tour banare as. It 's

23 something that the United States may not be thanked

24forinlateryears.

25Thenextpointisthatofpolicy

1 working for American farmers and not against them.

2Theaimoftradepolicyshouldbetobenefitthe

3American farm families and not to reflect the profit

4maximizationgoalsofahandfulofcorporate

5entitiesthatcontrolvirtuallyalltheagricultural

6 production in the United States of the major

7commodities.

8Tradepolicies should reflect the

9highestofAmericanvaluesandstandards.

10Agriculturalexports, whilehelpful, are not the

11 solution to a griculture 's problems of persistent low

12returnsandfoodsecurityissues, such asputting

13toomuchpowerinthehandsofmany

14vertically-integrated companies. When farms

15disappear, so do the families that farm them. The

16 loss of farmers and the resultant structure sput

17communitiesinturmoil,andatriskisthelossof

18manymorefamilyfarmersinthenextyear. The

19corporatestructure of confined animal operations

20andothercontractualagreementsaretakingaway

21 from farmers the right to produce food in their own

22way.Agandtradepolicyoftensendsthewrong

23 signal to farmers about how much and what to

24 produce. And, of course, embargoes destroy the best

25ofplanning.

1Inasimilarways,agribusinessesare

2assuminggreatercontrolofproductionagriculture.

3Agribusinessesaredevelopinggenetically-modified

4 seed that the farmer not only has topur chase but

5 also has to a greeto sell back to the same company.

6Corporateownedoperationslikethesearegrowing at

7staggeringrates,releasingvarietiesatstaggering

8rates, and fewarelooking at the downside of such

9technologies. The current monopolistic tendencies

10intheU.S.agindustryareundoingfamilyfarms,

11schools, competition, and destroying our rural

12communities.

13Tradeandagpolicymustbelinkedto

14ensurethattheyarenotworkingtotheother's

15disadvantageandthattheyarenotcountertoother

16U.S.initiatives; for example that reduce pesticide

17usage.Inthisrespect,wemustphaseoutimports

18offoodsfromcountriesthathavepoliciesthatare

19countertoourpolicies. Allowing other countries

20toexporttous with unfair labor practices puts the

21 American farmerata disadvantage competitively, and

22 furthermore, it encourages the exploitation of

23workersinothercountries.

24TheU.S.shouldnotallowthe

25 importation of any food products that are grown with

1chemicalsbannedintheU.S.,aswecontinueto

2producethosechemicals and export them to other

3countries. This practice endangers the health of

4Americans, particularly when enforcements tandards

5 are low, and particularly those who are the least

6abletospeakforthemselves, such as infants, the

7elderly,theill,andthepoor.

8Labelingastocountryoforigin

9geneticallymodifiedfood,irradiation,certified

10 organic, or other labels should be obtained for

11productscomingintothiscountry.

12Whatistheeffectoftradepolicyon

13theenvironmentandnaturalresources?Trade

14policies should aim to develop anational accounting

15 system that takes into account the cost of

16degradationofnaturalandhumanresourcesaswell

17asthedirectcostofproduction. Unfortunately,

18policyoftendoesnotaccountforthetrueortotal

19costofagproduction. Whatgets counted are those

20resourcesthatareexpendedandtheothersare

21 ignored, partly because it 'shard to assign values

22 to the loss of soil productivity and other measures

23ofdegradation.Socialcostsassociated with

24 a griculture and the loss of rural communities also

25 represent strue costs of our industrialized system

1 of production.

2ThereareseveralconclusionsthatI

3wouldliketomakeandsuggestionsthatIwould

4offer.Firstofall,tradepoliciesshouldnotbe

5 structured to use food as a weap on on the poor in

6 order to a chieve military or governmental challenges

7 inother countries. Tradepolicies should be

8 focused one nsuring that just the needs and not just

9thewantsofAmericansaremetinsuchwaysthatwe

10 ensure the sustainability of our food system and the

11 natural resource supon which our system depends with

12 fairness, consideration, compassion for all the

13peoplethatworktheland.

14Tradeandagpoliciesshouldtake

15intoaccounttotalcostaccountingofthenatural

16resources expended per unit of export. Policies

17shouldbe--shouldinsteadbeexaminedtoensure

18thatthebeneficiariesofsuchpoliciesare

19distributedequallytotheAmericantaxpayer,and

20moreimportantlymaybefortherankandfileworkers

21farmingourland.

- 22Iwouldliketoclosewiththe
- 23 definition of sustainable development that was given
- 24byNorwegianPrimeMinisterRoflan(sp)thatwe--
- 25thattheworkwiththePresident'sCouncilfor

1SustainableDevelopmentisused.Insustainable
2development,whetherwe'retalking--isrelevantto
3allactivitieswhetherit'stradeorwhatever,and
4it'sdefinedasdevelopmentwhichmeetstheneedsof
5thefuturewithoutjeopardizingthechoicesthatwe
6haveinthefuture.Andwedon'twanttolimitour
7futurebysimplydestroyingtherichagricultural
8basethatwehavewiththenaturalresourceswehave
9inthiscountry.

10 And so I thankyou for the

11opportunitytocome,andwouldbehappytorespond 12toanyquestionsyoumighthave.

13MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Jim.I

14appreciateit.Ithinkthismorningyouprobably
15heardsomegeneralconsensusaroundafewbroad
16pointslikegettingridofexportsubsidiesinthe
17nextroundandfurtherdecreasesintariffs,
18increasesinquotas,maybemoredisciplineson
19domesticagriculturalsubsidiesworldwide.Tothe
20extentthatthosewouldbecomeourobjectivesand
21we'dmakesomeprogressthere,doyouthinkthenet

22 impact on the environment would be beneficial or

23harmfulorwhat?

24MR.HORNE:Well,Ithinkitprobably

25wouldbebeneficial.BecauseIhavethebeliefthat

1 most American farmers want to be goodstewards of

2theirland.But,youknow,whenyou'repentup

3againstthewalltryingtomakealiving--andif

4youlookatfarmbankruptcies, it's a pretty

5startlingpicture.Ithinkiffarmersmadeadecent

6profit,theywouldplowthatmoneybackinto

7conservationactivities.AndifourUSDApolicies

8actuallyfavored, you could say green payments or

9somesortofanecologicalrepayment, Ithinkthat

10 farmers would respond very positively to that and

11 our land would be protected for ever.

12Butifwecontinuewithpersistent

13lowreturns, the farmer has to choose between his

14family, hischildren's education and controlling

15soilerosion, it's a difficult choice. And it's

16goingto--Ithinkthecheapfoodpolicydrives

17that,andit'sanunfairburdenthatwe'veputon

18ourfarmers.

19MR.GALVIN:AndIaskedthe

20question-maybeitreflectsabitofabiasonmy

21part-butIrememberthefirsttimeIwentto

22Europeandsawthefieldsthere,andthebeautiful

23fields, beautiful farms. Butyou could see those,

24 you know, lines through those fields of wheat and

25 bar ley and all that. And I couldn't figure out what

1thoselineswereatfirstuntilsomebodytoldme,
2well,that'sbecauseoftheregularchemical
3applicationstheyputontheircropsinthecourse

4ofthegrowingseason.

5AndIdon'tmeantobepickingonthe

6 EU, but Ithink when you look at their structure of

7agriculture, and there are somethings they point to

8withprideintermsoftheirnumberoffarmersand,

9 you know, the size of farmers and the strength of

10 the irrural communities and all that, but I think to

11someextentitdoescomeatacost.BecauseIthink

12it'sgenerallyrecognizedthattheirapplication

13rateoffertilizers, herbicides, and other chemicals

14isgenerallytwiceashighorevenmoreascompared

15totheU.S.

16So,youknow,Ithinkthereare

17 clearly some problems that are a sadirect result of

18thelevelofdomesticsupportthattheyoffer, as

19wellastheheavyexportsubsidiesthatthey

20ultimatelyrelyontocleartheirsurplusontoworld

21markets.

22MR.HORNE:AllIcanconcludeby

23 saying is that we have to have profits in the short

24runtosurvive,butwemustbeverycarefulwhenwe

25 trade and look at the effects, holistically, on the

1wholesystemofcommunities, farmers, and the

2American public, as well as workers in other lands

3andhowtheyaretreated.

4Thankyouverymuch.

5MR.GALVIN:Appreciateit.Thank

6you.

7MR.PURCELL:Nowwe'llhearfrom

8 Ward Stutz of the American Quarter Horse

9Association.

10MR.STUTZ:Goodafternoon.Myname

11 is Ward Stutz. I'm the director of membership

12 services and public policy for the American Quarter

13HorseAssociation. Weappreciate the opportunity to

14providetestimonyaboutthehorseindustryandour

15 concerns regarding the international trade of

16horses.

17Briefly, I'dliketotouchonthe

18economicimpactofthehorseindustryandsome

19demographicfiguresfortheAmericanquarter

20horse--Americanquarterhorsesininternational

21 countries. The horse industry is a -- in the U.S.

22 directly produces goods and services of 25.3 billion

23 dollars and has a total impact of 112.1 billion

24dollarsontheU.S.GrossDomesticProduct.There

25 a remore than 66,000 American quarter horses in

1 international countries, and that does not include

2Canada.

3In199--lastyear,international

4American quarter horsenew fo alregistrations

5totaledslightlyover4,500,andtransfersof

6ownershiptotalednearly7,000. This is an

7all-breedstatistic:LastyeartheUnitedStates

8exportedover22,000horsesvaluedat200,000--I'm

9sorry.200milliondollars.

10Theinternationalmarketforhorses

11 is very strong. International equine events are

12becomingmorepopularintheUnitedStates.With

13theintroductionofreigningasanapprovedevent

14fortheUnitedStatesequestrianteamandhopefully

15aninternationalevent, welook to these

16 competitions to increase in the United States. This

17 is a result of WTO's philosophies. Hopefully we

18 will so on see a cowboy hat in the Olympic games.

19Thehorseindustrystronglysupports

20thescientific-baseddecision-makingconceptthat

21underscoresWTO'srequirements.Anymovementaway

22 from this concept will have a detrimental impacton

23U.S.animalagriculture,particularlythehorse

24industry.Specificissuesinvolvingthe

25 international movement of horses and horse products,

1including quarantines, imports and exports,

2regulations, etc., are being addressed very capably

3bytheU.S.horseindustryandtheUSDA.

4Regardingexportregulations of

5equinegenetics and livehorses, we have a couple of

6concerns.First,horseexportsarebeinghindered

7becauseinternationalcountriesareplacinga

8quarantineontheentirestateasaresultofa

9diseaseoutbreakthatisveryregionalized;for

10example, vesicular stomatitis, wherehorses in

11 nor thern Texas were notable to be exported because

12ofpositivetestsforvesicularstomatitisin

13 southern Texas. The horse industry believes the

14conceptofregionalizationshouldcontinuetobe

15pursuedassetoutintheUruguayRound,andwe

16entirelysupportUSDA'sapproach.

17Secondistheissueofcooledand

18frozenshippedsemen. This technology of fersahuge

19opportunityforbothU.S.andforeignbreeders;

20however, each country has their own regulations for

21cooledandfrozensemen.Coolsemenmustbe

- 22inseminatedwithin72hours,andinmostcasesit's
- 23logisticallyimpossible,undercurrentregulations,
- 24togetamareinseminatedinthattime.Frozen--
- 25 many international countries also require that the

1 semen be collected and processed at approved export

2stations; again, making this process logistically

3difficult. The horse industry recommends that we

4worktodecreaseregulationsoncooledsemen

5transportation.

6Finally, wehope international

7 opportunities for the horse industry can continue to

8grow,andwelookforwardtoworkingwithyouto

9enhancetradeopportunities. Thankyouf or allowing

10ustheopportunitytotestifytoday.

11MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Ward.

12Appreciateit.Ithinkthisisthefirsttimein

13ourhearingswe'veheardfromtheQuarterHorse

14Association, so I guess we must be in Texas.

15MR.STUTZ:Weareheadquarteredin

16Amarillo.

17MR.GALVIN:Withregardtotradeand

18semen,isthereanyeffortthatyouknowof

19internationallytotrytodevelopaninternational

20standard?Oristheresomethingspecificthatwe

21 can do to encourage that, like under the Codexor

22something?

23MR.STUTZ:Ithinkanytimeweget

24 together and communicate to try to standardize those

25 procedures would be very helpful to our industry.

1AmyMannoftheAmericanHorseCouncil,sheworks

2really--sheworksstronginthateffort.Butany

3timewecanimprovethatprocess, we'dcertainlybe

4willingtoworktowardsthatstandardization.

5MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Ijusthavea

6quickquestion, and Timmightevenknow the answer

7 to this. But when you'r etalking about when they're

8quarantinedonaregionalversusastatebasis, what

9isthepracticenowofAFAS?Dotheyrecognizea

10regionalareaoraretheyquarantiningonastate

11basis,doyouknow?

12MR.STUTZ:Well,asfarasIknow,

13 for example, in Albuquerque, when those horses were

14positivelytestedforvesicularstomatitis,theydid

15 setuparegional quarantine.

16MR.GALVIN:Okay.Andasyoupoint

17out, Ithink there certainly is support in the U.S.

18 fortaking that--for supporting regionalization.

19Butwhatitalwaysboilsdowntoistheneedtodoa

20riskassessment, and that takes money. And every

21agencyisconstrainedintermsofhowmuchmoneyit

22canspendonriskassessments, and there 's different

23 priorities in terms of potential payoffs in the

24marketplaceandthatsortofthing.Butyou've

25reallygottohavethatriskassessmentdonesothat

1youcanshow, youknow, whereto draw that line

2 between northand south Texas, for example, so that

3youcandemonstratetowhoeveryou'reexporting to

4thatyou'vegotaprocessinplaceforcontrolling

5theproblem. And that 's always the riddle, getting

6thatriskassessmentdoneandthengettingit

7recognized by the country that you're exporting to.

8MR.STUTZ:Thankyouverymuch.

9MR.PURCELL:Nextwe'llhearfrom

10 Marvin Shurley, the American Meat Goat Association.

11MR.SHURLEY:I'mMarvinShurley,I'm

12presidentoftheAmericanMeatGoatAssociation,and

13IwouldliketothankthisUSDA-USTRpanelfor

14allowingusachancetospeaktoday.

15We'reverymuchafledglingindustry

16hereinAmericanagriculture, and Ifeelone of the

17 best things possibly that the USDA could do to boost

18 for eignand domestics ales of our American produced

19meatswouldbetoimplementamandatorycountryof

20originlabelingonmeatinthe U.S., and to also

21implementacertificationprogramidentifyingmeat

22producedhereintheU.S.asanAmericanproduced

23commodity.

24U.S.Productsofeverytypeare

25 recognized throughout the world as some of the

1finestavailableonthemarket.Whilemanufacturers

2havetheluxuryofbeingabletostamptheir

3products"MadeintheU.S.A."or"MadeinAmerica"

4ontheassemblyline,cattle,sheep,andgoat

5producersdon'thavethisadvantage.Wesendour

6productoutthegateasanunfinishedproductandit

7goestoaprocessoratthattime. This is why a

8USDAprogramcertifyingmeatsasbeingofAmerican

9 originis so important to us producers.

10 The United States Department of

11 A griculture per sonnel and not the American producers

12themselvesaretheoneswhoarethereonthelines

13withtheirstamps, and stamped carcasses, Ifeel,

14alongwithpackagelabelingwouldhelpusidentify

15ourdomesticproductashaving--beingAmericanin

16origin.

17 The United States Department of

18Agricultureinspectionisrecognizedworldwideas

19one of the most stringent protocol sin place to

20ensuresafefoodsuppliesfortheconsumer. These

21 in spection standards, along with a program

- 22identifying U.S. produced beefassuch, has led to
- 23 increased beef sales in a test marketing at HEBF ood
- 24 Stores in Mexico City, Mexico locations, even though
- 25priceperunit/poundishigherthantheirdomestic

1product.Thishappenedinacountrythatover30

2percentoftheiraverageannualincomeisrequired

3topurchasetheirfoodfortheyear.Inactuality,

4it'sabout34percentofincomeinMexicois

5requiredtopurchasejusttheirfoodsupplyforthe

6year.

7Thefactthatthiscantakeplacein

8acountrythatsuchahighpercentageoftheir

9incomeisrequiredtopurchasetheirfood

10 demonstrates to me how readily for eign consumers

11 will purch a sea product of the United States of

12Americawhentheyknowitissuch.

13Thispracticeofidentifyingour U.S.

14meatproductsItrulybelievewillbenefitall

15 segments of a griculture involved indomestic

16productionofslaughterstock,regardlessof

17species, whether it's beef, lamb, pork, goat, or

18poultry, and, assuch, has great potential for

19increasingworldwidedemandforourdomestic

20product.This,inturn,Ifeelwillleadtoan

21 increase in export demands and would ultimately

22 benefit producers across the entire spectrum of the

23U.S.agriculturalindustry, whether they're

24 producing feed grains or Ithinkalla spects of it.

25MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.Thiscountry

1 of original beling issue is the issue that

2CommissionerCombsraisedwithusthismorningover

3breakfast,andIcantellyouIwasveryimpressed

4tohearabouthereffortsinthestatetotryto

5encouragesomesortof, youknow, positive voluntary

6labelingintermsof, youknow, product of the State

7ofTexasandallthat.AndIthinkit'svery

8encouragingtoseeeffortslikethat,becauseI

9thinkitdoesallowU.S.producers,inapositive

10way,tocallattentiontothequalityandother

11 positive aspects of what they produce.

12MS.COMBS:Iwouldjustliketoadd

13thatIhaveraisedthiscountryoforiginlabelinga

14lot.Iaminfavor,butIdonotcontrolCongress.

15AsIsaidatbreakfast,theonlythingIcandois

16 suggest that we labelour stuffhere. We think what

17wedoinTexasisprettyfantastic,andifIcan

18stickastickeroneveryfruit, everyvegetable,

19everypieceofmeatwehavehere, Ithinkpeoplein

20Texas, by showing what we have, 90 percent of them

21 will buy Texas. If I can't get the guysin

22Washingtontodoit,maybewecandoitwithyour

23help.

24MR.SHURLEY:Wewouldappreciate

25 that. Our industry is largely a Texas-based

1 industry, but we do represent producers throughout

2theUnitedStates.Andwefeelitwouldservethe

3American consumer to have these products identified

4ashavingbeenproducedhereintheUnitedStates.

5Rightnow, alotof consumers are unaware when they

6 buy a USDA-in spected meat that it was n't produced

7hereintheU.S.Theimportedbeefcarcassesand

8everythingelsethatcomesinstamped

9USDA-inspected,theysayUSDA-inspectedproductin

10 the grocery case and they be lieve it's a product of

11theUnitedStatesofAmerica.

12MS.COMBS:Thathappenedwiththe

13lambguysinparticular.Imean,we'vegot

14carcassescominginfromNewZealandorAustralia

15andit'sruledwithaUSDAChoiceGradesticker.

16MR.SHURLEY:Yes.AndlikeIsay,

17we'reafledglingindustry.We'rereallyinour

18infancy; aboutsix years indevelopment is all we

19are.Rightnowwearen'tproducingforanexport

20market;mostofourproductisconsumed

21domestically. And we are starting to feel a little

- 22bitontheimports, imports in the last four years
- 23 have risen about 83 percent, primarily from the
- 24countriesofAustraliaandNewZealand.
- 25 And we would also like to enjoin the

1 panel to possibly consider introducing a quota

2systemorsomethingonimportedmeatsatthe

3upcomingnegotiations. We'reoneofthefew

4agriculturalcommoditiesthathasabsolutelyno

5tarifforquotaforTexasinanywayintheU.S.

6TradePolicy.

7MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Ihavea

8questionandacomment.Andforgivemyignorance.

9Butwhatistheenduseformeatgoat?Doyoueat

10itlikebeeforlamb?

11MR.SHURLEY:Itisdevelopedinto

12a--whereUSDAhasjustcomeoutwiththeirIMPS,

13 their Institutional Meat Purchasing Specification

14program, which will actually -- finally defines what

15 specific sizes of goats are and will actually

16determinethecutsofthem. Upuntilthistime, a

17goatwasagoatwhetheritwasthisbigorthisbig,

18 and the rewas really no set USDA standards or

19anythingtohavecase-readyproductsforthe

20consumertopurchaseinthegrocerystore.

21LikeIsay,it'sanindustrythat's

22initsdevelopment.AndthatIMPSprogramcomesout

23witha60-daycommentperiod,Ibelievethefirstof

24Augustiswhatit'sgoingtobe.

25MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: You called for

1somekindofacertificationprogramforU.S.origin

2meat.AndIthinkthattheAgriculturalMarketing

3Servicedoeshavesuchaprogramonavoluntary--I

4believeonavoluntarybasis.Butthatmightbe

5somethingtopursue.I'mnotsureexactlywhether

6it'sapplicabletoallmeatorisrestricted,but

7thatmightbesomethingtopursue.

8MR.SHURLEY:Yes.Thankyou.

9MR.GALVIN:Justtoclarifyone

10point, if I can. And not that you stated otherwise,

11butintermsofimportedmeat, Ithinkitshouldbe

12madeclearthatallimportedmeat, when it's

13importedcurrently, is labeled that it is imported

14whenitarrivesinU.S.ports.Buttheissuebefore

15CongressIthinkrightnow,andbeforeUSDA,is

16whetherornotthatlabelinginsomeformshould

17staywiththeproductallthewaytotheend

18consumer. And if that imported product is packaged

19forretailsale,thenitdoeshavetomaintainthat

20identityasanimportedproduct.

21Buttherealdebaterightnowover

- 22 the labeling of imported product really has to do
- 23 with imported, you know, bulk or similar type meat
- 24thatcomesinthatisperhapsprocessedor, you
- 25know,somehowfurtherhandledbeforeit'ssoldat

1retail.Andthat's really where the debate is

2centeredrightnow.

3MR.SHURLEY:Yes.Unfortunately-

4orIshouldsayfortunately-goatmeatwon'tfall

5intooneofthosecategories.Becausethere'sno

6wayit'seversoldatthistimeasablendedproduct

7likesomeofyourbeefproductsare, where you have

8 products from two or three different countries that

9areblendedtogetherinbatchestoproducea

10consistent product. And it's really--it would be

11realeasytoidentifyitthroughtheendpointof

12saleastocountryoforiginifthemandatory

13labelingwasenacted.

14MR.GALVIN:Okay.Thankyouvery

15much.

16MR.SHURLEY:Thankyou-all.

17MR.PURCELL:NextupisRossWilson

18 representing the Texas Cattle Feeders Association.

19MR.WILSON:Panelists,thankyoufor

20thisopportunitytotalkaboutthe'99WTO

21 negotiation and its significance to cattlemen. I'm

- 22 Ross Wilson, vice president of the Texas Cattle
- 23FeedersAssociation, at radeorganization
- 24 representing cattle feeders in Texas, Oklahoma, and
- 25NewMexico.Ourmembersproducedandmarketedsome

1 seven millionhead of fed cattle last year, or about

230percent--infact,inexcessof30percentof

3thenation's fed cattle supply.

4Cattlemenappreciatethe

5initiatives--well, you have my written testimony,

6soI'mgoingtoabbreviateandcuttothechaseand

7savesometime.

8Cattlemenappreciatetheinitiatives

9thathavebeenundertakentogainaccessto

10internationalmarketsandtoresolvelingering

11 is suesthat restrict the ability of the U.S. beef

12industrytoofferitsproducttointernational

13consumers.

14Amongthestrengthsofthecurrent

15WTOsystemisthewell-definedprocessfor

16 initiating a dispute case and for determining the

17finalrulingorsettlement.Aprimaryweakness,

18however, of the current system is the absence of

19enforcementmechanismstoassurecomplianceoncea

20rulingishandeddown. Theintegrityandvalidity

21 of the WTO as a dispute settlement body requires

22 that WTO members promptly comply with

23 recommendations and rulings of the dispute

24 settlement process. The TCFA recommends the --

25excuseme. The TCFA commends the Administration and

1Congressfortheiraggressiveactionsinthisregard
2andurgescontinuedcoordinatedpressuretoassure
3thattheEUlivesuptoitsresponsibilities.Itis
4timetoeithershortentheWTOdisputesettlement
5processorprovideamechanismthatallowsthe
6winningpartytobecompensatedduringthetimeit
7takesthelosingpartytoimplementthecompliance
8actions.

9Underthecurrentsystem,

10compensationorretaliationonlystartswhenthe
11entireprocessiscompleted,buttheinjuredparty
12isnotreimbursedforlossesincurredduringor
13priortothecase.Thereisnoincentiveforearly
14settlementbyalosingpartybecausethecurrent
15systemeffectivelyrewardsstallanddelaytactics.
16Asfarasspecificsareconcerned,we
17recommendthefollowingpointsduringthe'99
18negotiations:First,wemustmaintaincurrent
19languagerequiringstrictuseofscience-based
20tradingrulesestablishedintheUruguayRoundin
21theSanitaryandPhytosanitaryAgreement.Thisis

22 critical to the continue dexpansion of U.S. beef

23markets--U.S.beefexports.Asyouknow,the

24 European Union, as recently as last week in Codex

25meetingsinRome,suggestedthatsocialand

1 political considerations be added to the SPS
2 framework. We think that that would lead to nothing
3 more than a continual a dinfinitum, a dnause am, in
4 fact, non-tariff trade barriers that we would never
5 be a ble to resolve.

6Second, wemustprotect

7scientificallyapprovedtechnologiessuchasGMO's 8thatenhanceproductionefficiencyorfoodsafetyby 9establishingtransparentscience-basedrules.

10Third, we must negotiate elimination

11 of State Trading Entities and increase access to

12 whole sale and retail trade from importing countries.

13 And fourth, we must negotiate the

14 continued reduction of tariffs and the expansion of

15 Tariff Rate Quotas; existing duties in key export

16 markets such as Japan and Koreamust be reduced

17significantly. Weappreciate the reductions that

18 have taken place to this point in time; we would

19 hope that those would continue aggressively. We

20 must establish a target date for reducing all these

21tariffstozero.

22Weneedenforceableglobaltrading

23rulesinplaceandinusethatgrantmarketaccess,

24settledisputesbasedonscience,andreduce

25 tariffs. The U.S. must hold its trading partners to

1 commitments agreed to in previous trade agreements

2orrisklosingpublicsupportforadditionaltrade

3negotiationauthority.

4Onarelatednote,Imightaddthat

5westronglysupportfast-trackauthorityforthe

6President, and the U.S. is -- in essence, has their

7handstiedbehindtheirbackinsomeofthese

8negotiationsthataretakingplacecurrently.And

9 that will obviously impact the WTO negotiations.

10ThatcompletesmyremarksandI'llbe

11happytoansweranyquestions.

12MR.GALVIN:Thanks,Ross.It'sbeen

13goodtoseeyouagain.

14Acoupleofthings.Firstofall,I

15agreewithmanyofthethingsyousaidaboutthe

16deficiencies in the current disputeres olution

17mechanismandIthinktherearesomeareaswhere

18thatcanbeimproved.Ishouldjustpointout,

19though,thatmaybeasbadasthecurrentsystemis,

20thefactisthatbeforetheWTOwassetup,we

21 really didn't have any dispute mechanism at all. So

22atleastIthinkwehavemadesomeprogressinjust

23finallyhavingaplacewherewecan,inasense,you

24know,takeourcasetocourtandtrytogetthings

25resolved.ButIcertainlyagreethatoftentimes

1 the setake toolong and we ought to look at what we

2candotoimprovetheprocedure.

3MR.WILSON:Tim,Ihopeyouwillsee

4inmywrittencommentsthatwearecomplimentaryof

5theimprovementsintheprocess.WesupportedGATT,

6wesupportedNAFTA;wesawimprovementsinbeef

7tradeasaresultofthosetwonegotiatingprocesses

8thatwereputinplace. Wejustsaythatit's time

9 to move forward and make additional improvements in

10thatprocess.Ithinkyoushowedinyourownslides

11thattheU.S.marketisobviouslymuchmoreopen

12thananyoftheforeignmarkets.That'sthepointI

13wantedtomake.

14MR.GALVIN:Iappreciatethat.Just

15onespecificcommentonthehormonecase, because I

16thinkthatreallyisnowcomingdownthewire.As

17mostpeopleknow,theWTOisscheduledtoruleby

18thisMonday,the12th,andthat'sthedeadlinefor

19whetherornotwecangoaheadwiththeproposed200

20milliondollarsworthofretaliationthatwestated

21lastmonth.And,youknow,thatreallyiskindof

22thefinalstophere. And once that decision is

23 made, the intention is to pressahe adwithin a

24 matter of days to actually put in place that

25retaliationagainsttheEuropeans.Becauseinour

1view, youknow, time has run out, every body's

2patienceiscompletelywornout, and it is time to

3reallyimposeretaliation.Sothat's reallywhere

4we'reatnowonthat.

5MR.WILSON:Well,andweanticipate

6apositivedecisionbytheWTOarbitratorandwe

7appreciatethat.Andweappreciatealltheeffort

8thathasbeenexpendedbytheAdministration and

9Congresstogettothatpoint.Allwewouldsayis

10ittooktoolong.

11MR.GALVIN:Right.Iunderstand.

12Onefinalquestion,ifIcan.Asyoumayknow,

13 within the last several weeks the European Union has

14madeclearthatoneoftheirkeyobjectivesforthe

15 next round is an imal welfare. They want to see

16animalwelfarestandardsputintheWTO.Doyou

17haveanyearlythoughtsonthat?

18MR.WILSON:Wewouldbeopposedto

19those. Wethinkthat American producers are doing

20anoutstandingjoboftakingcareoftheir

21livestock,andwillcontinuetodothat.Andas

22 science develops new production techniques, that we

23 will continue to adopt those, not only from a

24 production efficiency point of view, but also an

25animalcomfort,welfare,whateveryouwanttocall

1itpointofview.ButtoallowtheEuropeansto

2dictatewhatthoseneedtobewouldbeaserious

3mistakeonthepartoftheUnitedStates.

4MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:I'djustlike

5toaddtwocomments, and one is on the dispute

6settlement.Ithinkwe'reallfrustrated,

7particularlyonthepartoftheEU.ButIwould

8 like topoint out that the time frames established

9intheUruguayRoundforwhenafinalappealis

10decided and implementation was largely at the

11 request of the United States because of our concern

12aboutourabilitytoenactmeasuresthroughtheU.S.

13Congressinanykindoftimelyfashion.Soaswe

14talkaboutthat, we have to be aware of some of the

15restraintsthatwefaceshouldweeverhavetomake

16changes.

17TheothercommentIwouldliketo

18makeisonthefast-trackauthority.And, youknow,

19goingintothenegotiatingroundandprevious

20negotiatingrounds, the Presidenthas neverhad

21 fast-trackauthority. Sowedon't see that astying

22 our hands, at least in the initial phases.

23 Obviously, it will be come critical to have that as

24wegetintothenegotiationsalittlebitfurther,

25 because other countries may be reluct ant to start

1cuttingdeals with usifthey think they'regoing to

2alsohavetonegotiatewith535membersof

3Congress.ButIwouldsaygoingintoandleadingup

4toSeattleandprobablyeventhefirstyearof

5negotiations, wethink we can do a credible

6negotiatingjob.

7MR.WILSON:Wejustthinkit's

8anotherimportanttoolthatyouneedtohave.

9MR.GALVIN:Andnottopickonthe

10EUagain, but, you know, they are the only ones that

11havedrugtheirfeetonthesedifferentdispute

12resolutioncases. Everybodyelse, from the U.S.,

13whenwe'velostacase,toJapan,youknow,others,

14they'veallplayedbytherulesandthey'venotdrug

15itout.Soifthere'sbeenoneoffender,Ithink

16it'sreallyprettyclear.

17MR.WILSON:Ourfearwouldbeis

18thatothercountriesmightlearnfromtheir

19actions.Sowejustneedtotrytoaddresssomeof

20thoseweaknesses. Thankyou.

21MR.PURCELL:Okay.Nextwe'llhear

22 from Mike Jernigan, the Texas Sheep and Goat Raisers

23Association.

24MR.JERNIGAN:Ialsowanttothank

25thepanelforallowingustocomebeforeyoutoday

1 and put in some of the issues that face the sheep

2industry.MynameisMikeJernigan.I'marancher;

3Idepend100percenttotallyonmyincomefromsheep

4andgoats.IranchinPecosCounty,Texas,whichis

5farWestTexasright,oh,averagessomewherearound

6eightto12inchesofrainfallayear.Sowehave

7tohavealotofcountrytomanageandtocontrol.

8Andittakesalotofwork.

9I,nextweek,willgoinasthe

10 president of the Texas Sheep and Goat Raisers. Our

11 organization has been around for a large number of

12 years beginning in the early 1900s. We represent

13over2,000sheepandgoatraisersacrossTexas.

14Basically, our sheep and go a traising are as begin

15withtheHillCountrythroughEdwardsPlateauall

16 the way out to somewhat we stof Fort Stockton. So

17 we cover a large and varied area of Texas and we

18havelotsofproducers, and most of those producers

19havebeenindiretimesinthelastfewyears.

20Mostofyouprobablyknowthatthe

21U.S. sheep industry recently filed a Section 201

22 trade case petition under the rules of the GATT

23 trade agreements against lamb imports from Australia

24andNewZealand.This201petitionwasvery

25time-consuming and expensive. U.S. sheep producers

1paidtotally100percenttheexpenseofthis 2trade--thetradecase,andwefeltlikeitwas 3longandexpensive. And here we are and we still 4don'tknowwhetherwe'regoingtogetanythingout 5of it. The International Trade Commission validated6ourdomesticindustry'scaseandunanimouslyagreed 7thatfourfullyearsoftraderestrictions should be 8 recommended to the President for implementation.9ThegoalofourU.S.sheepindustry 10wastostabilizeandstrengthenour U.S.lambmarket 11 and to restore the confidence and optimism needed 12forfurtherinvestmentinthebusinesstooccur. 13 Current levels of lamb imports have thrown the U.S.14pricesbelowproductioncosts.Inthepastfour 15 years, imported lambhasincreased 71 percent, with 1695percentofthoseimportedlambscomingfrom 17AustraliaandNewZealand.Newlyreleased 18informationthatwas--onresearchthatwasdoneby 19theU.S.HouseAgriculturalCommitteeshowthat 20importedgoatmeathasincreasedover83percent

21duringthesameperiod. This goat meatwas valued

22atsomewhereover--alittleover10andahalf

23 million dollars. Since the meat goat industry is a

24 relatively new and growing industry in Texas, as

25 Mar vintol dyou while ago, these import levels are

1stiflingtoitsgrowth.

2 Reports from Australia and New

3Zealandareprojectinggrowthoflambandgoat

4importstoasmuchasdoubletheselevelsinthe

5nextfewyears.Inthe201petition,ourlamb

6 in dustry proved damage and the threat of increased

7importlevelswouldcompoundourlosses.President

8Clintonhasmadenoattemptto--orhasputoff

9makingadecisiononwhethertoimplementsometype

10 of tariffor quota or some other programs for us. I

11believethatpressurefromthekeygovernment

12officialsfromAustraliaandNewZealandhavecaused

13himtobackupanddelayadecisionfurther.

14IntheeventthatthePresidentwill

15backtheU.S.lambindustrywithatariffora

16 quota, threats from Australia and New Zeal and have

17saidthatthesetraderestrictionswilldrastically

18affectyourmeetingwiththeWTOinNovember.And

19althoughIdon'tbelievetheseareidlethreats,

20it's very disheartening to us a sproducers for the

21Presidentandourtradepolicies to bemore

22responsible--responsivetopressurefromforeign

23 governments than they are too urown citizens and

24producers.

25 Opponents to the U.S. lambin dustry 's

1pleadforhelpontheseimportshassaidthatU.S.

2producersmusttightentheirbeltsandbecomemore

3competitive and produce a product more desirable to

4consumerneeds and wants, and I agree with these

5statements100percent.

6In1996, U.S. producers received

7theirlastincentivechecks,incentivepayments,and

8inmanyindustries,this--inmanyinstances,this

9industrysupportaccountedfor30to40percentof

10theproducers'income. Atthis point, producers who

11arestillinbusinesshavealreadycuttheir

12 operations to the bone.

13Howcanwebecomemorecompetitive?

14Thisistheareawhereweneedthehelp.Withour

15lossofthewoolincentivesupport, wealsolost

16mostofthefundingforournationalorganization.

17Thesefundsthatwerelostwereusedforproduct

18promotion, research, and market development. This

19nationalorganizationgaveusthestrengthtofight

20offmostmajorimportthrustspriorto1995through

21 promotion of American lamb. Loss of the sepromotion

- 22dollarsweakenedourindustryandopenedusupto
- 23 market loss esto imported lamb. The Australians and
- 24 New Zeal and ers were a stute enough business mento
- 25recognizethisweaknessandmovein.

1Iftheimportswerecominginundera

2fairtradepolicyratherthanopendoorfreetrade,

3wecouldcompeteandIbelievewecouldwin.

4Importsfloodourmarkets, with 80 percent of these

5importsbeingsoldat20to40percentbelowthe

6 price of American lamb. The re's several reasons for

7theirlambtobecheaper, butthemainreasonisthe

8currencyexchangerateoftheU.S.dollaragainst

9theAustralianandNewZealandcurrencies.

10Weinthesheepindustryhavefought

11thecurrencydifferenceswithwoolformanyyears,

12andperhapsthatwasoneofthereasonswhywehad

13theincentiveprogram.Butitwasfundedthrough

14moneythatwastariffonwoolcomingintotheUnited

15States.Onlyinthelastcoupleofyearshasthis

16beguntoaffectourlambindustryinthesameway.

17 The strong U.S. dollar versus the weaker Australian

18dollarmakestheimportlambmoreattractivebecause

19itgivesU.S.retailersmorebuyingpower.

20Iwanttoraisetheissuethat

21 currency differences should be addressed when the

22 WTO negotiation stake place. This would place

23American and for eignimports on more equal levels

24 and promote a fair trade agreement.

25TheU.S.hasthenaturalresourcesto

1 generate the wealthwene edfor a continued healthy

2economy, but we must protect our production

3capabilities and our producers. I believe our

4greatestnaturalresourceisagriculture.Ifwe

5continuetoallowagricultureandsegmentsof

6agriculturetolosemoney, weas an ation will bein

7deeptrouble.Ifweeverlosetheabilitytofeed

8andclotheourselves, we will truly be at the mercy

9oftherestoftheworld.

10Thankyou. Anyquestions?

11MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Mike.Abit

12ofgoodnews,and,ofcourse,itwasalittlelate

13incoming.ButthePresidentdidannounceyesterday

14hisdecisiononquotasandimportdutiesforlamb,

15andwe'vegotcopiesofthatdecisionhereifyou'd

16likeacopy, as well as any body else.

17MR.JERNIGAN:Good.Sure,I'dlove

18acopy. Iguess that 's one of the problems with

19livingsofarout.

20MR.GALVIN:Twoextraonesthere,

21too, for any body else.

22Anyquestions?Thankyouverymuch.

23MR.JERNIGAN:Thankyou.

24MR.PURCELL:NextupisLarry

25 Gibson, the Dairy Farmers of America.

1MR.GIBSON:Goodafternoon.I'm

2LarryGibson,dairyproducerfromTexas.Wemilk

3about400cows.I'malsoacorporatedirectorfor

4theDairyFarmersofAmerica,whichis,atthe

5presenttime,thelargestdairyco-opinAmerica,

 $6 about 20,\!000 members. DFA also is a member of the$ 

7NationalMilkProducersFederationandtheU.S.

8DairyExportCouncil,andintheareaoftrade

9 policy, we work especially closely with those two.

10 I'm pleased to appear to day before yout o discuss

11theupcomingmultilateralnegotiationsintheWTO.

12Letmestartbyunderliningthe

13importanceoftheU.S.dairyindustryinU.S.

14agricultureandtheeconomyasawhole.Dairyis

15thesecondlargestagriculturalcommoditysectorin

16theUnitedStates;itgeneratesfarmincomesin

17excessof20billiondollarsayearandretail

18 expenditures of about 70 billion dollars a year.

19Despiteitsdomesticsize,ourdairyindustryisa

20relativenewcomertointernationaltrade, yetour

21 exports harehas been growing in recent years. One

22oftheprimaryreasonsfor U.S.dairy's slowand

23 difficult emergence internationally has been the

24 fact that dairy is one of the world's most protected

25andsubsidizedindustries.

1Forexample,theEuropeanUnion,

2Canada, and Japan-some of the most important dairy

3markets-are,undertheirWTOcommitmentstoimpose

4tariffs--areabletoimposetariffsatratesof

 $5100,\!300,\!500 percent, compared to less than 100$ 

6percenthereintheU.S.Thisisjustasmall

7sampleofthehugedisparitybetweentheU.S.and

8itsmajortradingpartners.

9Noonedisagrees with the

10 achieve ments of the Uruguay Round Agreement on

11Agriculture.Nevertheless,theUruguayRound

12ultimatelyamountstojustastartingpointofa

13longprocessforagriculturaltradeliberalization,

14especiallyindairy. Asstated before, the Uruguay

15Roundleftmanymajortradebarriersinplace,

16effectivelyerectedcertainnewbarriers, and

17resultedinaveryskewedtradingenvironment.

18Ican'tstressenoughtothe

19Administrationthat U.S. dairy producers's upport of

20nextroundofWTOmultilateralnegotiationsis

21conditional,isconditionalonwhetherthese

22disparities are addressed. We're aware that the

23 U.S. dairy in dustry has much togain from successful

24 negotiations, but it could lose its future growth

25 capacity if an incomplete or poorly balanced

lagreementresults.

2Theeliminationofexportsubsidies

3iscategoricallythefirstandutmostpriorityfor

4dairyfarmersinAmerica.Westronglybelievethat

5itshouldalsobetheU.S.priorityfortheupcoming

6WTOnegotiations. Withnosignificant progressin

7reducingand/oreliminatingexportsubsidies, U.S.

8 dairy farmers would not be able to support

9negotiationsonmarketaccess,domesticsupport,or

10anyothersector.

11Ifthenextrounddoesn'tcomeaway

12withexportsubsidies--doesawaywithexport

13subsidies,thenandonlythenwouldU.S.dairy

14producerssupportworkingwithnegotiatorsin

15creatingrealaccessthroughmeaningfulreduction of

16 ordinary tariffs and harmonization of in and out

17quotatariffs. We would also seek the absolute

18 elimination of all remaining non-tariff measures.

19I'dlikebrieflytogooversomeof

20theimportantissuesandrecommendationswewishyou

21topayattentiontoduringtheupcoming

22 negotiations. Number on e, all remaining use of

23 dairy exports ubsidies must be eliminated by a

24certaindate, within no more than five years. Less

25ispreferable.

1Europeanexportsubsidiesare

2indisputablytheprimaryfactorthatkeepsworld

3dairypricesdepressedbelowdomesticprices and

4preventtheexpansionofsustainable,commercial

5U.S.dairyexports.

6Wewould also caution the

7Administrationaboutcircumventionofexportsubsidy

8commitments.Agriculture,andinparticularmy

9industry,cannotaffordthetimenortheresources

10tobringothercountriesintocompliance.Wemust

11strengthenthecurrentrulestopreventother

12 countries from circumventing their commitments

13 following the elimination of exports ubsidies in the

14nextround.

15Numbertwo,tariffinequitiesmustbe

16addressedpriortomakinganyfurthermultilateral

17tariffreductionsorothermarketaccess

18liberalization. When the Uruguay Roundwas

19deadlocked, we, the dairy industry, made several

20concessionssothatanagreementcouldbereached.

21Wefeelthatwe'veopenedourmarketsmorethanany

22 other OECD member has. For instance, except for

23 over-quotatariffs on dairy products, tariffle vels

24intheUnitedStatesaregenerallylow.Itis

25 unacceptable that ordinary tariffs average over 30

1 percentinmany countries, while in the U.S. they
2 are insignificant. With respect to over-quota
3 tariffs, Canada, the European Union, Japan, and
4 others maintain tariffs that range from 100 to 500
5 percent for such basic dairy products as butter,
6 milk powder, and cheese in. Over-quotatariffs on
7 these same dairy products range from 50 to 100
8 percent in the U.S.

9Giventhissituation,dairyfarmers
10believethatover-quotatariffsondairyproducts
11subjecttoTRQ'smustbeharmonizedinthenextWTO
12negotiationsthroughimmediatereductiontosome
13maximumboundlevelpriortomakinganyfurther
14reductions.Ordinarydairytariffsshouldsimilarly
15bereducedandboundimmediatelyatsomelowerlevel
16thatwouldprovidetheU.S.withreciprocalmarket
17accessinothercountries.

18Onceagain, U.S. dairy farmers cannot
19accept further revisions to market access lacking a
20commit ment to eliminate export subsidies; neither
21candairy farmers support changes to current tariffs

22thatwouldallownewaccesstoU.S.marketswhile

23 reducing only the unnecessarily excessive portion of

24 extremetar iffselse where, thus providing nonew

25U.S.exportaccess.

1Pointnumberthree, seek greater

2disciplinesondomestic supports while ensuring that

3EUsupportsdonotsignificantlyexceedthatinthe

4UnitedStates.Overlygenerousdomesticsupport

5programshavecreatedcontinueddairysurplusesin

6theEUandCanada, which then drive the continued

7heavyuseofexportsubsidiesand/orcircumvention

8offormalsubsidycommitments.

9WesupporttheU.S.government

10 position to tight enther ules on domestic support to

11 ensure that such programs do not encourage excess

12productionthatdistortstrade; however, westrongly

13believethatdisarmamentcannotbeunilateralandwe

14cannotaffordtoleavedairyfarmersatthemercyof

15Europeangovernmentoutlays.

16Numberfour,improvethe

17 transparencies of both export and import State

18TradingEnterprisesandimposedisciplinesonthe

19trade-distortingeffectsofSTE's,likewe've

20mentionedseveraltimestoday.WeU.S.dairy

21 farmers are very concerned with the ability of

22single-desksellers,governmentorprivate,toprice

23discriminate, keep their transactions

24nontransparent,transferthefinalrisk--orthe

25 fin ancial risk to farmers and/ortogovernment.

1Similarly, the importsingle-desk buyers, including

2STE's, can provide defact obarriers to imports

3throughsuchdevices as restrictive licensing

4requirementsandmarkups.

5Dairyfarmersfavornegotiationon

6newcommitmentsthatwouldrequireincreased

 ${\it 7} transparency in operations of both important export$ 

8STE's, as well as disciplines on the activities of

9STE'sthattrulydistorttrade.

10Numberfive,theWTO-SPSagreement

11shouldnotberenegotiated. Westronglysupport

12maintainingintactthecurrentWTOagreementonthe

13Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures.

14Theagreementcurrentlyrequiresallsuchmeasures

15tobebasedsolelyonsoundscience.

16Numbersix, scope and timing of the

17negotiations. The U.S. dairy industry strongly

18encouragesthetermination of the new round of

19negotiationsinnomorethanthreeyears. Finishing

20 negotiations by 2002 would allow countries to make

21necessaryinternalchangestoaccommodatethenew

- 22 agreement. We strongly support renewal as soon as
- 23 possible of the fast-track negotiating authority to
- 24achieveatimelyoutcomethatfurtherreduces
- 25 distortions to international dairy and agricultural

1trade.

2Finally,letmereiteratethatU.S.

3dairyfarmersarepreparedtodotheirpartto

4accomplishfurthertradeliberalizationinworld

5dairytrade; however, wedairy farmers are adamant

6aboutwhatourpriorities should be. First and

7foremost, eliminate exports ubsidies. Second,

8successfulagreementonexportsubsidies. Wewould

9engageinnegotiationsonmarketaccessthatfirst

10levelstheplayingfieldbetweentheU.S.andthe

11EU, Canada, Japan, and others.

12Thenextroundwillnotbeaneasy

13task.Infact,itwillrequirefromtheU.S.

14governmentenergeticandforcefulleadershipto

15bringaconsensuswhiledefendingU.S.interests.

16Thanksverymuchfortheopportunity

17totestify.

18MR.GALVIN:Larry,thankyou.Any

19questions?

20MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Yeah, Ihavea

21 question. Youidentified in your list elimination

22ofnon-tariffmeasures.AndIwaswonderingifyou

23 have any specific examples of what some of those

24mightbe.Iwasn'tsurewhetheryouincludedthe

25 STE is sue under that category or if there are

1 additional technical types of barriers that are

2facingdairyexports.

3MR.GIBSON:Ifitwouldbepossible,

4Iwouldliketohavethatlistcompiledandsentto

5youattachedtothis.

6MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:Iwouldwelcome

7that.Iwouldwelcomethat.

8MR.GIBSON:Iknow--IknowI

9reallyshouldtakethetimetorunthatbyNational

10MilkandDIPjusttoseeiftheyhaveanythingthey

11 wanttoaddintothere. Butifthat would be

12agreeable?

13MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: That will be

14fine.Thankyou.

15MR.GIBSON:Okay.

16MR.GALVIN:Ithinkyou'reright

17thatwehavemadesomeprogress, butthere's an

18awfullotofworkyetthatremainstobedone.I

19willsay,though,frommystandpoint,Ithinkoneof

20themostconcreteexamplesI'veseeninthelast

21 couple of years of where the set rade agreements have

- 22workedasintendedisinthefactthatwe'vehadthe
- 23 Cheese Importers Association come to uspleading
- 24 that we take the unused cheese import quota from the
- 25 European Union and real locate it for other countries

1sothatmorecheesecancomeinhere.AndIthink

2thatthefactthattheEU'scheesequotawithusis

3goingunusedisarealreflectiononthefactthat

4thedisciplinesnowinplace, the exports ubsidy

5disciplinesontheEuropeans, are really starting to

6bitesotheydon'thavetheroomundertheirWTO

7disciplinetoexportasmuchcheeseforus.Soasa

8consequence, the importers could not get their hands

9 on a smuch cheese as they used to be able to.

10Andbytheway, we have not taken

11 that unused quota and real locate ditto other

12countries.Sobasicallywe'veseenadecreasein

13cheeseimportsasaresult, and that is, Ithink,

14youknow,howtheagreement--

15MR.GIBSON: That's a positive thing.

16MR.GALVIN:--wasintendedto

17work. Andwe'vealsoseen--becauseofthatsame

18development, we've seen cases now wherein creased

19 investment has occurred in the U.S. to produce more

20EuropeanstylecheeseshereintheU.S.fromU.S.

21milk.Andagain,Ithinkthat'sallbeenvery

22positive.

23 MR. GIBSON: I agree. Thanks.

24MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.

25MR.PURCELL:Okay.Nextupwillbe

1AmyRainesrepresentingtheAmericanOstrich

2Association.

3MS.RAINES:I'mDr.AmyRaineswith

4theAmericanOstrichAssociation.I'malsoa

5veterinarianinOklahoma.Thepurposeofmy

6presentationtodayissimplytoeducatethe

7communityabouttheU.S.ostrichindustryandits

8relianceonforeigntrade.

9TheostrichindustryinAmericabegan

10inthelate1980s,andtheAmericanOstrich

11Associationwasfoundedin1988byostrichproducers

12inTexasandOklahoma.Theassociationcurrently

13representsproducers, processors, and supporting

14industriesacrosstheUnitedStatesandnineforeign

15countries. While there are other ostrich

16 organizations in the world, including the

17InternationalOstrichAssociationandtheSouth

18AfricanOstrichAssociation,theworldlookstothe

19AmericanOstrichAssociationforinformationand

20guidance.

21Ostrichesinthiscountryhavebeen

22 processed under USDA voluntary in spection since

231995. Each bird produces an average of 65 to 70

24 pounds of boneless red meat and a 12 to 14 square

25 foothide. Estimated numbers, including USDA

1 inspection and state-inspected birds and those not 2processedforresale,in1995wereabout15,000 3birds;in'96,30,000;in'97,100,000;andin1998, 4160,000. Weareayoung and growing industry. 5In1998therewasanestimated450to 6500thousandbirdsintheUnitedStatesownedby 73,500to4,000producersacrossthecountry.Most 8 of our ostrich producers are small family farms with 9anaverageofabout10hens.Whileproducernumbers 10havedeclinedinthelastyear,theoverall 11 production potential has not declined. We are the 12mostefficientcountryinraisingostrich,including 13SouthAfrica. 14Alsoin1998,anestimated85percent 15 of all of our U.S.-produced ostrich products (meat

15ofallofour U.S.-producedostrich products (meat 16andleather) were exported to countries in Europe, 17Southand Central America, and the Pacific Rim. 18Recently there has been correspondence with Pacific 19Rim countries specifically requesting American 20 ostrich meat. Breedingstock in the form of adult 21 birds, chicks, and hatching eggs also continue to be

22exportedasthesecountriestryanddeveloptheir

23market.

24IssuesthathaveaffectedtheU.S.

25 ostrich industry in the past include importation of

1largevolumesofforeignhides,primarilyfromSouth 2Africa, causing a decline in prices of our domestic 3hides.I'veseenseveralostrichbootshereinthe 4roomatlunchtime, and Iventure to guess that none 5ofthosebootsweremadewithdomestichides.In 61996, American ostrich producers were getting 7 between 300 and 400 dollars for their green hides,8andinMayof1999weweregetting80dollarsfor 9thatsamegreenhide.Ourfeedcostshave 10maintainedtobeabout125to150dollarsperbird. 11Traditionally, U.S. hideshave been considered 12inferiortoSouthAfricanhides,yettodaySouth 13Africantannersarecurrently soliciting greenhides 14fromAmerican producers. 15TheAmericanostrichindustryis 16uniquelypositionedintheworldmarket,inthat 17U.S.farmsarefreefromsomeofthediseases 18affectingostrichproductioninothercountriessuch 19asNewCastle'sDisease,salmonella,andCaribbean 20andCongofever.TheU.S.ostrichindustryoffersa

21redmeatthatisfreefromtheuseofhormones,

22 antibiotics, and other growth promoter sinits

23 production. With today's growing concern, whether

24 validor not, about chemical manipulations of

25livestockasafoodsource, Americanostrich

1producersofferaclean,healthy,chemical-freered

2meat.

3Asayoungandgrowingindustry,

4foreignmarketaccessisimportanttous.Speaking

5asanostrichproducer, aveterinarian, and amother

6ofafutureostrichproducer, Iencouragethis

7committeetoconsiderAmericanostrichproductsin

8theirnegotiations. Thankyou. Arethereany

9questions?

10MR.ACETO:I'mjustcuriousasto

11 whatyour major export markets are, what kind of

12traderegimesyou'refacingthere.Isthis

13 something that 's generally subject to tariffs or

14quota,lowtariffs,hightariffs?

15MS.RAINES:Theexport--U.S.

16exporterspayafeetotheFishandWildlife

17Department, because our birds are considered an

18exoticspecies. Andwe'retryingtomakethose

19changes.MostofourmeatgoestoEuropeandthe

20PacificRim; alotofour hides have gone to Mexico

21 and the Pacific Rimand Italy. We've been affected

- 22bytheMexicanregulationsconcerningtheir
- 23 in fluenza control measures, where they have stopped
- 24theimportationofhides, which have--there's no
- 25waythatanostrichhidethat'sbeenchemically

1treatedcantransmitinfluenza,andyet,because

2we'reconsideredpoultry, wewereaffected by that

3regulation.

4Somecountries are unable to import

5 ostrich products from the U.S. because their

6policymakersconsiderustobeanexoticspecies,

7 and yet allost rich in the United States are

8domesticallyraisedonfarms. And soweneed some

9assistancetoeducatetheforeigngovernmentsthat

10we'refarm-raised.

11MR.GALVIN:I'msorry,Dr.Raines.

12Yousaidthatyouhavetopayafeeto--

13MS.RAINES:Yes.Wehavetopay--

14becausewehavetorecordthebirdsandeggsand

15meat, anything that 'so strichrelated products, we

16havetoreportwhatwe'reexporting.Andthere'sa

17feeassociatedwiththat.I'mnotsurewhatthat

18feeis.

19MR.GALVIN:Okay.Iwouldbe

20curiousinlearningmoreaboutthat,likehow

21 prohibitive, or if it is prohibitive. If you've got

22anyinformationon--

23MS.RAINES:Icangetthattoyou.

24MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.AndI'm

25unclearjustwheretheimportedostrichskinis

1produced.

2MS.RAINES:Thisisnotanimported

3skin.

4MR.GALVIN:No.Buttheimportthat

5youweretalkingabout.

6MS.RAINES:They'recoming from

7SouthAfricaandIsrael.

8MR.GALVIN:ButyetSouthAfricais

9buyingthe--

10MS.RAINES:Currently.Right.In

11thepast,in1996,'97,theyimportedalargevolume

12ofhidesthatbasicallydumpedalargevolumeof

13hidesontotheU.S.market,causingadecreasein

14thedomestichidevalue. Theywere also claiming

15thatAmericanhideswereoflessvalueandless

16quality, therefore they weren't worth as much. And

17yettoday,intoday'smarket,theyarecallingus

18requestinghides.

19MR.GALVIN: Aretheycurrently

20sendingushidestooorno?

21MS.RAINES:Therearesome--there

22 are some hides that are being imported from South

23 A frica. The boot maker straditionally have relied on

24SouthAfricanhides.Andit'shardto--forusto

25 re-educate the mast ogetting U.S. hides. We'd like

1toseethembuying U.S. hides.

2MR.GALVIN: Aretheytannedhides?

3MS.RAINES:Tanned--somearegreen

4andsomearetanned.

5MS.COMBS:I'vegotaquestion.Do

6 you know where most of the hides are being tanned

7andprocessed?

8MS.RAINES:Untiltheregulationsin

9 Mexico, most of the hides were going to Mexico to be

10tanned, and they were coming back into the U.S. or

11beingexportedtoothercountries. Wehaveagood

12tannerinNewYork,therewasagoodtannerin

13Louisianathatwasdoingostrichandalligator.And

14that's one of the problem sour industry has is that

15there's just not enough U.S. tanneries to support

16theindustryhereintheU.S.

17MS.COMBS:Sobasicallymostare

18beingtannedoffshore?

19MS.RAINES:Yes.InMexico.Orhad

20been, until recently.

21MR.GALVIN: Andnowwhat's

22happening?YoujustshipthemallgreentoSouth

23Africa?

24MS.RAINES:Nowtheygetshipped

25 green or they go to the tanner in New York, or

1 peoplear etrying to find other tanners around the

2country. There's at annery that 's up and coming in

3Iowathat's doing are ally good job with ostrich

4hides. They seem to be a little bit more difficult

5todothanyourtraditionalcowhideorsmooth

6hide.

7MR.GALVIN:Thankyouverymuch.

8MR.PURCELL:Nextwe'llhearfrom

9HaroldSmith.

10MR.SMITH:IwanttothankSusan

11Combsformakingthismeetingpossible, and

12certainlygladtobepartofit.

13OnethingiswhatI'minterestedin,

14andthat's the balance of trade, balance of trade.

15Weneedtosellasmuchaswebuy.Weneedto

16balancethattrade.It'simpossibletohavea

17 surplus in the United States and a short age in most

18oftheworld.

19Thankyouforlettingmecomeand

20sharethiswithyou.

21MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.

22MR.PURCELL:Nextwe'llhearfrom

23 Randy Allen of RWAF in ancial Services.

24MR.ALLEN:Justtointroducethis,

25 new crop corn and soy be an sagain to day hit new

1contractlows.

2IwouldliketothanktheUSDA,the

3USTR, and the World Trading Organization,

4 Commissioner Susan Combs and the Texas Department of

5Agricultureforallowingmemyallottedtimehere

6today.

7Farmagricultureischangingas

8quicklyasAustin'scomputerindustry, yetthere are

9fewersolutionstoagriculture'scomplexpuzzle.

10U.S.farmbillsofthepasthavenotbeeninthe

11leasteffective.Wecertainlyknownow,andshould

12Isayagain,thatcommoditysupplymanagementdoes

13notwork.PayingU.S.farmersnottoproducewhile

14tryingtoentertainaDilutedConservationProgram,

15CRP,hasstillyieldedthesameall-too-familiar

16problemswefacetoday;thatis,heavycommodity

17suppliesandlowfarmprices.

18Attheheartofthisdilemmaaretwo

19rootproblems, and Ihopeeveryone gives methe

20spacetolisten. Agricultural production,

21 information, and computerization technologies are

22 not unique to the United States. Advanced ag

23technologiesarebeingutilizedinmanyother

24 nations; for example, Delta Land and Pine companies

25 sell their Bt cotton strains to China as well as

1U.S.farmers.Thishaschangedsomethingthatthe
2Americanproducerhasalwaysbeenproudof,being
3thebestintheworld.Butthat'snottrue
4anymore.

5Numbertwo,throwingtaxpayermoney
6atU.S.farmershasnotworked.Farmsubsidieshave
7notchangedtheheartoftheproducer.Pastfarm
8billsshouldhavebeennamedsomethingotherthan
9farmbills,andmaybeweshouldcallthemwhatthey
10reallyare,suchastheFreedomtoFarmWelfare
11Program,asit'sturnedouttobe.

12WhatImeanisthis:Whenhigh

13commoditypricesarepresent,producersholdoutor
14gambleforyethigherprices.Then,whentheprice
15collapses,thetaxpayerkicksinafewmorebillion
16dollars.It'slikeLasVegasprovidingmorechips
17toagamblaholicwhenherunsoutjusttokeephim
18inthegame.Andwhatdoesthishavetodowith
19establishingasoundexportprogramforthefuture?
20Ithinkithaseverythingtodowithit.Hereare
21myideastomakefarmpoliciesincentivepolicies:

22Promotedemand,andshoreup,ifyouwill,domestic

23 farmproduction.

24First,theUnitedStatesneedsto

25quitplayingthefreemarketgame.Freerglobal

1 marketsshouldremainourobjective, butwemust 2 quitpretendingthatwe'refree and that everyone 3 else is not. We should admit up front that our 4 agriculture industry is as subsidized as everyone 5 else's. Bailing out U.S. farmers nearly everyother 6 year is no different than Canada's socialized Wheat 7 Board subsidies.

8Secondly,farmprogramsshouldbe
9disaster-oriented,exports-basedonly,having
10nothingtodowiththecommoditypricebeing
11magicallyintertwined.Ifthereareincentives
12withinthesekindsofpolicies,thentheyshouldbe
13destinedordesignedforyoungbeginningfarmers.
14Iffutureagpoliciesignoreprice,thiswill,in
15turn,establishafreermarketasfarassupply
16interventiongoes.Pricesubsidiesshouldbe
17erased.Pricelevelscannotbethepresupposition
18toanagpolicy.ItcanstillbesaidU.S.farm
19agricultureistheonlyU.S.industrythataffords
20suchwonderfulbenefitsandsafetynetsalready.
21Thirdly,cropinsuranceprogramsare

- 22 on the right track and need to continue to be
- 23 defined and refined through farmer education.
- 24Fourthly,pastUSDApolicieshave
- 25donenothingbutencouragefarmerstowithholdtheir

1 inventories even when prices are very profitable.

2Theanswerisnotinextendingloansandmakingit

3easiertostockpilegrain, buttoen courage farmers

4tostaycurrentusinghealthyriskmanagementtools

5 and to operate the farm as a financial business. I

6wouldliketounderlinethatfarmproductionisfor

7saleeveryyear,notforstoring.

8Lastly, Irecommend that future

9policies avoid the bailing outstigmaas sociated

10 with low commodity prices. U.S. producers should be

11professionals,notwelfarerecipients.Futurefarm

12subsidymoneyshouldbeissuedforpractical

13businessneeds; simply, let's teach them how to fish

14insteadofgivingthemfisheverytimesomething

15goeswrong.Forexample,let's give them training

16andincentivestobecomeeffectivemarketers and

17riskmanagers.TheFCCrecentlyagreedtoincrease

18fundingforInternethookupsforschoolsand

19librariesbyonebilliondollars.Cannotrural

20Internetaccessbedoneatthesametime?Canada

21hasalreadydonethis, with 80 percent of its

22producerson-line.Itmakesuslooklikeajoke.

23AstheU.S.farmpopulationcontinues

24 to disintegrate another 30 percent in the next three

25 to five years, we must assist those farmers who

1possessthetalentstoremainwiththenecessary

2businessskills,equipment,technology,and

3education.

4Ladiesandgentlemen, myideaisto

5modernizetheproducerhimself,likethevery

6tractorhedrives, so that he is capable of using

7andmanagingeconomics101,nothissweat.American

8farmpolicyhastostartlayingprofitable

9incentivesdown;it'sabusinessjustlikeanyother

10business.

11Let'sfacethreeadditionalfacts,if

12youwill.Nothingelsehasreallyworked.It'snot

13thecommodityprices. Ampleprofits have been

14availablesince1994upuntilnow.It'stimetobe

15creativeasopposedtodefensive, evenifto

16subsidizeapart-timesecretaryandacomputerto

17puttheproducer'sbusinesshousein21stcentury

18orderandsubsidizeretrainingprogramsand

19assurancesonthecontrary.

20EnvisionaprofitableU.S.

21 a gricultural industry instead of worrying how to

- 22keepallofAmerica'sproducershappyandinthe
- 23business, which has created nothing more than
- 24politicized, socialized, and costly defense no-win
- 25strategies.Imaginedemandbeingnaturallyenhanced

1bybalancedproducerproduction,trade,and

2profitability.Let'smovetotheheartofthe

3matter, which will move U.S. agriculture forward.

4Thankyouverymuch.Arethereany

5questions?

6MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.Appreciate

7yourstatement.Ithinkthere'sbeensomeeffort

8 overthelastfewyearstotrytomakemoreprogress

9onwhatI'dcalltheriskmanagementsideof

10things.And,asyouknow,it'sbeenpretty

11difficult.Butifyoulookattheexpansionwe've

12seeninthecropinsuranceprogramoverthelast,

13say, fiveyears, Ithinkit's been a pretty sizable

14increase.Justthispastspring,Ithinkwetried

15todoinpartwhatyou'resuggesting,andthatis

16takingsomeofthemoneythatwasotherwisedestined

17 just for farmpayments and providing the minstead as

18incentivepaymentstothosewhowouldgooutandbuy

19cropinsurance, especially the so-called buy-upcrop

20 in surance policies that really would offer better

21coverageandIthinkalsoencouragefarmers, as they

- 22 buy that crop in surance, to also think in terms of
- 23 forward pricing some of their commodities.
- 24SoIthinktosomeextentwe're--
- 25youknow, wearemoving in that direction, but

1clearlythere'smorethatneedstobedonebecause

2ofalltheproblemsmakingcropinsuranceworkfrom

3oneregiontoanother. Youknow, it seems to work

4betterintheMidwest,forexample,thaninsome

5 regions in the South and Southwest. But I can

6assureyouthatthiswholedesireto,quote,fix

7cropinsurance-andit'ssomethingthatIknow

8ChairmanCombast(sp)andothersareworkingonas

9well-butitremains are alhighpriority for

10 Secretary Glick manas well as a number of members of

11Congress.

12MR.ALLEN:MayIaddsomething

13else?

14MR.GALVIN:Sure.

15MR.ALLEN:Luger's(sp)

16 representation of the risk management program, I

17thinkheproposedlastweekortheweekbeforeeight

18differentpiecesofriskmanagementfarmerscould

19use.

20Iwouldliketojustsayonpublic

 $21 \\ record that that is so kinder garten that it just$ 

22makesmyheartsick.It'snotgoinganywhereand

23 they're not taking the step that they need to take.

24MR.GALVIN:Okay.Thankyou.

25MR.ALLEN:Thankyouverymuch.

1MR.PURCELL:Nextwe'llhearfrom

2RonCoxfromSalesU.S.A,Inc.

3MR.COX:Goodafternoon,ladiesand

4gentlemen.MynameisRonCox.IamtheCEOof

5SalesU.S.A,Inc.ofSalado,Texas.Ourcompany

6processeslemonjuiceconcentrateandlimejuice

7concentrate.We'reasmallbusinessinavery

8competitivecategory.

9I'dliketotakethisopportunityto

10 commend the USDA and the USTR for holding this

11 important listenings ession on the agricultural

12negotiationsoftheWorldTradeOrganizationin

13Austin, Texas. And I certainly want to take this

14opportunitytothankCommissionerCombsandthe

15StateofTexasforthismagnificentmeetingplace.

16Mr.Chairman,Ifullysupport

17 liberalization of global agricultural markets. I

18alsoaminfullfavorofreducingandeliminating

19tariffandnon-tariffbarriersinagricultural

20trade.Butasyouknow,tradeisatwo-waystreet.

21Ifwewantothercountriestoreduceoreliminate

- 22theirtariffsandnon-tariffbarrierson
- 23 a gricultural products, then we must also do the
- 24same.AcaseinpointistheU.S.tariffforfrozen
- 25lemonjuiceconcentrate, which at present is some 50

1 to 60 percent of the value of the imported product.

2Ladiesandgentlemen,thisisanexcessivelyhigh

3tariff.Asamatteroffact,theU.S.hasthe

4highesttariffofanycountryintheworldfor

5frozenlemonjuiceconcentrate. This high tariff

6hascausedasmallcompanylikeSalesU.S.Atopay

7morethan\$600,000intariffsoverthelastyears.

8Thereasonthistariffisso

9excessivelyhighisbecauseweareprotectinga

10coupleof U.S. companies. Eventhe European Union,

11whichalsohasanimportantlemonjuiceindustryin

12SpainandItaly,assessesonlya15percentad

13 valorem tax on their imports of frozen le monjuice

14concentrate. How can we talk about other countries

15reducingtariffsonouragriculturalproductswhen

16wehavesuchexcessivelyhightariffsfor

17agriculturalproducts?

18 This kind of prohibitive high tariffs

19putsbusinesseslikemineatadisadvantage

20vis-a-vismycompetitorsinEuropeandCanada,to

21nameafew.Theyhaveaccesstolowerpricedfrozen

22 lemonjuice concentrate than Sales U.S.A. I either

23 have to pay the high tariffor buy ithere in the

24 U.S. at a very high price. This tariff causes an

25artificiallyhighpriceforlemonjuiceto

1consumers.

2Irecommendthatthetariffonfrozen

3lemonjuiceconcentratebeeliminatedimmediatelyas

4partoftheWTOagriculturalnegotiations.Iwould

5alsoliketorecommendthattheharmonizedtariff

6 schedule of the U.S. be simplified in order to make

7iteasierforsmallbusinesseslikeminetouse.

8Specifically, I'dliketoproposethatso-called

9 special tariff rates be converted to advalorem

10tariffrates.Itwouldbemucheasierand

11transparentifallagriculturaltariffswouldbe

12basedonapercentageofthevalueoftheproduct,

13 or advaloremtariff rates.

14Thankyouforyourattentiontothis

15 important matter for my company. I look forward to

16workingwithour U.S. negotiators in a chieving the

17eliminationofexcessivelyhighandunfair

18agriculturaltariffsaspartoftheWTO

19negotiations.

20MR.GALVIN:Thankyou,Mr.Cox.I

21 wasunawareofthisspecificissue, so Idon't

22reallyhaveanycomment.Butit's interesting.

23MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Ijusthavea

24 question. Where doyous our cemost of your product

25now?

1MR.COX:AfterIbuyit,Istoreit

2inourownfreezer.

3MS.BOMER-LAURITSON:No.Sourceit.

4Wheredoyoubuyitfrom, what countries or

5domestic--

6MR.COX:It's acommodity and it's

7producedinseveralcountries.We'veboughtfrom

8Spainand, of course, California, Arizona. Mexico

9hasjusthadaplantcomeon-lineinrecentyears

10 and we've bought from them, and also Argentina and

11Uruguay.Butit's--we'repayingsomethingless

12than\$6nowandthetariffis--hasacomplicated

13formulatocometothetariff,tofigurethe

14tariff.Andwe'repayingsomethingunder\$6,and

15thetariffissomethingover2.50.Sowhenyou're

16buying52gallonstothedrumand70drumsinthe

17container, you'retalking about a lot of tariff.

18MR.GALVIN: Youmentionedthatyou

19buyatleastsomeofitfromSpain.Andthatmakes

20mewonder,doesSpainsubsidizetheexportofthe

21product, and is the high tariffint ended in part to

22 kind of off set the effect of that high export

23 subsidy and therefore may be level thing soutabit?

24MR.COX:Idon'tthinkIcantell

25 youwhatyouwanttoknowaboutthat,becausewe've

1boughtfromSpaininthepast.Andinthepast,I'm

2talking10yearsago.SoI'mnotsure.

3MR.GALVIN:Oh,okay.That'sall

4right.

5MR.COX:Isincerelythankyoufor

6theopportunity.

7MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.

8MR.PURCELL:Andourfinalspeaker

9fortheafternoon, Kathy Reavis, American

10Agriwomen.

11MS.REAVIS:AmericanAgriwomenisa

12nationalorganizationcomprisedof50,000memberof

1354affiliateandcommodityorganizationsacrossthe

14nation. Webelievethat farmers and producers

15shouldberepresented in the decision-making process

16whenevertariffs, embargoes, and tradenegotiations

17 are involved. We do not believe that foods hould be

18usedasapoliticalfootball.Thusathankyouto

19USDA and USTR for this list ening session on

20 agriculture before this nextround of tradetalks.

21Ourmostimportantmessagetothis

- 22 group to day is that U.S. farmers and ranchers must
- 23 be able to utilize biotechnology and
- 24 genetically-modified organism products, or GMO's, in
- 25allavenuesofglobaltrade, without the

1unscientificapplication of unfairtrade barriers to

2futureexports.Thetechnologyof

3genetically-modifiedorganismsistouching

4agricultureacrossournationandglobally, and

5providesashrinkingnumberoffarmerswiththe

6 to ols to produce as a fean dabundant food supply for

7theworld.

8Webelieveinthepursuitof

9 biotechnological applications that promise to save

10livesorimprovethequalityoflife, while avoiding

11applicationsthatdonotrespecthumanrightsor

12thatcarryrisksthatoutweighthepotential

13benefits.

14Anotherprioritywouldbethestrict

15 implementation of international trading rules to

16preventunfairtradepractices by competing

17countries and to require full compliance with

18 existing trade agreements. American Agriwomennot

19onlyupholdscarefulmonitoringofexistingtrade

20agreementsandinternationaltreaties, but also the

21 activities of the United Nations to ensure equitable

22treatmentofU.S.aginterests.

23 In conclusion, we urge USDA and USTR

24toincludetheissueofutilizationof

25 scientifically valid biotechnological methodology

1andresultingGMO'sasastrongcomponentinthe

2upcomingSeattleroundofWTOnegotiations.And

3two,notjustfreetradebutfairtrade.

4Onceagain, on behalf of American

5Agriwomen, Iwanttothankyouforcomingto Austin,

6Texasandgivingusthisopportunitytocommenton

7thisimportantissue.Thankyou.

8MR.GALVIN:Thankyou.I'dliketo

9sayonthewholeGMOissueandthecontinuing

10 problems that we're having in Europe, it seems to me

11thatonepartofthedebatethatismissingisjust

12reallythelackofdirectdebatebetweenour

13 producers and European producers. It hink a lot of

14whathasoccurredthusfarhasbeenthe--youknow,

15thecompanies that make the seproducts, they've been

16tryingtogainaccessintoEurope,and,ofcourse,

17we'vebeentalkingabouttheissuegovernmentto

18government, but I really haven't seen much direct

19discussionbetweenproducersintheU.S.andin

20Europe. And it may be that we should look for

21 opportunities to en couragemore people such as

22 your self total kto their counterparts in Europeso

23 that, you know, hopefully we can come to a better

24understandingastowhattheissuesare.And,you

25 know, you can tell them probably better than we

1couldfromapersonalperspectivewhyyouwantto

2usethetechnologyhereandperhapswhyyouthink

3it'ssafeandthatsortofthing.

4MR.REAVIS:InJuneinD.C.,wedid

5haveasymposiumonGMO's.Andoneofthespeakers

6wasTasosHaniosis(sp)--

7MR.GALVIN:Yes.Verygood.

8MR.REAVIS:Okay.Andhepresented

9alotofvalidsuggestionsforus.Andwehada

10goodonethere.

11MR.GALVIN:Okay.Gladtohear

12that.

13MS.COMBS:Ialsospeculateyou're

14morecrediblesometimes.

15MR.REAVIS:Well,hopeso.Thank

16you.

17MS.COMBS:I'djustliketosayhow

18muchwehereinTexasappreciateyou-allcomingfrom

19Washington.It'salittlehothere.Sorryit

20couldn'tbealittlebitcooler.Butthewhole

21 issue is sovery, very important, and we really urge

- 22allofyouto,asIknowyouwill,takethese
- 23 comments to heart. The rewere a lot of specific
- 24issuesraisedaswellasalotofgeneralissues,
- 25butallofusarevery, very interested in

1agriculturethriving,notjustsurviving.Andtrade

2isahugecomponentinthatflourishingthatwe

3wouldliketosee.

4MR.GALVIN:Andagain,Susan,thank

5youfororganizingallofthis. Wereallydo

6appreciateit.Ithasbeenverybeneficial,Iknow

7tome,tocomeoutheretoday.

8Youknow, Ireally think at the end

9 of the day or at the end of the whole process we

10 really have to ask ourselves, is tradegood for U.S.

11agricultureorisitbad, youknow? And Ithinkwe

12can,ingeneral,makethecasenowthatwe'restill

13exportingalotmorethanwe'reimporting.Andif

14weweretolosethemarketswecurrentlyhave,then

15boy, wethink agriculture is introuble to day, it

16wouldreallybeintroubleifweweretolosethe

17exportmarketsthatwecurrentlyhave.

18ButIrealizethatthatisnot,you

19know,goodenoughforpeoplethatarecurrently

20facing2.50wheatand\$2cornandthewholebit.

21Andthat'swhy, youknow, we heary our message loud

22andclearthatwe'vegottoworkmuch,muchharder

23 to make sure that we do increase mark et access for

24 U.S. products and do provide a fair opportunity for

25 our producers. Because our producers can compete, I

1think, if they are given that opportunity.

2Soagain,thankyou.

3MS.BOMER-LAURITSON: Thankyou.I

4wantedtothankallofyou.IknowTexasisabig

5state;Louisianaisfarawayandalotofyouhave

6 made a major effort to come here to day. And I think

7thatshowsyourcommitment,aswell,toworkwithus

8 on policies and objectives that will be nefity ou.

9SoIwanttothankeachandeveryone

10ofyouwhospoke,aswellasthosewhosatinthe

11audienceandlistenedforparticipating and joining

12withusandprovidingusguidance.

13MR.ACETO:I'djustliketosecond

14thataswellandtosaythat,youknow,inmy

15buildingintheStateDepartment,partofmyjobis

16alwayseducatingotherpeopleaboutagriculture,

17becauseit's not something we deal with on a daily

18basis.Andcomingtodayandlisteningtowhat

19everyonesaidtodayjustreaffirmsthefeelingI've

20hadisthatpeople--Idon'tthinkthatpeople

21 outside of a griculture realize the complexity and

22sophisticationofthesector.AndI'vebeenvery

23 impressed. Ithink by in large, people know what

24theissuesare,theyknowwhat'scomingupinthese

25 tradenegotiations. And I think it 's been good to

1 he ar a lot of our feelings on what we should be2 doing confirmed by what you've told us to day.3Sothanksverymuch. 5(SESSIONCLOSED.) 7-oOo-

## 1REPORTER'SCERTIFICATE 2STATEOFTEXAS) 3COUNTYOFTRAVIS) 4 5 6I,REBECCAKING,CertifiedCourtReporter 7 for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the8aboveandforegoingpagesconstituteatrueand 9 correct transcription of the World Trade10OrganizationNegotiationsPublicListeningSession. 11 12Witnessmyhandonthisthe23rddayofJuly, 131999. 14 15 16\_ REBECCAKING,CSR 17CertifiedCourtReporter FortheStateofTexas 18CSRNo.6195 ExpirationDate:12-31-00 19 ACCURATECOURTREPORTINGSERVICE 201802ManceroPark SanAntonio, Texas 78230

21(210)558-9484